

TELIM MAAT

Egyptian Mysteries 5

Architecture of Chaos.
Architecture of Maat.

House of Maat's Transformed

2026

Author's Message to the Reader

Dear reader, in this book I want to speak to you directly. I would be grateful if you gave me five minutes of your time. Alongside information technology, I have studied esotericism for more than fifteen years in this lifetime. I have understood some things, but I will not pretend that this has made me an occult master.

Esotericism is built so that without initiation you are unlikely even to touch truly valuable information. Still, the search for secret knowledge should never stop. The especially stubborn adept has to dig through sources every day, process gigabytes of material, and look for a speck of gold under centuries of dust. That is exactly the kind of adept I was before my consciousness passed through the Duat. However you name it, I now understand that I have been given a great honor: to serve the Neteru and humanity.

My consciousness has gone through a long transformation, and the current state of affairs on Earth no longer fits the person I have become. The Egyptian Neteru opened my eyes and gave me knowledge that filled the part of me that had been trying, and failing, to assemble itself into a whole person. This knowledge has become the new meaning of my life, and I want to make a conscious contribution to the common work of humanity. When I look at history, it almost hurts to tears: for many centuries the Shadow Neteru have captured the consciousness and body of humanity, while doing everything possible to make that capture look like humanity's own free will. When I study the history of Ancient Egypt, I never stop being amazed by how whole people can become when they live by the principles of Maat, and how degraded they can become when they choose, even under someone else's pressure, to live by the principles of Isfet.

This book is about the practical side of these two principles. I am not asking you to believe me. I am only asking you to think about your life after reading this book. If, after reading it, you see that I am right, join Maat. The strength of humanity is unity. As long as we are divided, we are weaker. I am sure other people are “waking up” along with me. Perhaps you and I will stand side by side and end the tradition of the “eternal spiral” of exploitation of human consciousness that Isfet imposes on us. I sincerely hope so. Remember: undying stars await us. Djed Medu. Telim Maat.

Part 1.

Architecture of Chaos

Introduction · Fifth Book In Three Months

Telim here again. If you have read the previous four books, you already know who I am, where I am from, and why a former IT specialist from the grey zone writes about Ancient Egypt. If you have not read them, here is a quick recap: IT guy from the grey zone, sixteen years of searching, Anubis, priesthood, four books in two months. All of that is in the first book, “The Story of One Path.” The details are there. I won’t repeat myself here. Four books have been written. The deploy went through. Everything is live. Book 1 - “The Story of One Path” (how to clean a vessel) Book 2 - “The Keys of Osiris” (a map of what is beyond the threshold) Book 3 - “The Keys of Anubis” (tools for working with the dead) Book 4 - “The Seven Keys of the Priest” (dark technologies and protection) And here I am after that marathon. Books on Ridero, first sales, 150 real subscribers on Telegram, and silence inside.

No drive, no fire, none of the buzz business used to give. I thought: that is it, this is a pause. I’ll rest. I’ll go to Crimea. Then Anubis began showing me things that had not gone into any of the four books. Because these things are on a different scale. He showed the underside of the Duat: not the ceremonial Hall of the Scales, but the corridors where the dead get stuck. A kitchen where a forgotten woman has been preparing food for thousands of years, and no one has ever offered her tea. A dead businessman who, even after death, is still trying to buy his way out of judgment. A conveyor belt where souls are driven past the Scales for a fee. And then, which I did not expect at all, he showed how the same architecture works on Earth. The same pattern of parasitism that controls dark entities in the Duat controls the financial clans on this planet. The same mechanisms, the same attachments, the same conveyor.

From Ramesses II, who erased other people’s names from obelisks 3,300 years ago, to the banking system, which is erasing your freedom right now. And I, a person who had spent fifteen years observing botnet networks, C&C servers, and virus architectures from the inside, suddenly saw that the entire global financial system was built according to the same pattern. Literally. One-to-one. This book is about how the Architecture of Chaos is built. From a dead man in the Duat to a living BlackRock on Wall Street. From an offering to a forgotten servant to \$300 trillion in global debt. The style stays the same. Simple language, technical metaphors, no pomp. There will be jokes. Because if you don’t laugh at the scale of what’s

happening, you can only cry. Crying is Isfet. And we are here for Maat. The book is long. The material is huge. When Anubis opens a door, there is no broom closet behind it. Behind it is a whole world. Let's go.

CHAPTER 0: DUAT - AN INHABITABLE COUNTRY (FOR THOSE WHO HAVE NOT READ THE PREVIOUS BOOKS) Before we dive into the stories, dreams, and architecture of parasitism, one basic thing has to be explained.

Because without it, everything else will sound like nonsense. With it, it reads like technical documentation. The Duat is not "hell." Not "paradise." Not the "afterlife" in the sense that a person who grew up on the Christian picture of "died -> up or down" understands it. The Duat is an inhabited country. With geography, population, cities, rivers, canals, institutions, guardians, and bureaucracy. In IT terms: the Duat is a parallel server. It runs simultaneously with the "physical" server: Ta, the earthly world. It has its own infrastructure, its own users, its own processes. And its own bugs. How do I know this? Not from my imagination. From primary sources. Pyramid Texts - 2400 BCE. Coffin Texts - 2100 BCE. Book of Two Paths - 1795 BCE (the earliest known map of the underworld, drawn on the floor of a coffin, is essentially a navigation manual). Book of Amduat - 1430 BCE. Book of Gates. Book of Caves. Book of the Earth.

Book of the Dead. None of this is mythology in the entertainment sense. It is operational documentation. The Egyptians described the Duat with the same detail with which a system administrator documents network infrastructure. Because for them it was infrastructure. Working infrastructure. Critical infrastructure. Infrastructure that required daily maintenance. GEOGRAPHY The Duat is not a corridor with one door. It is a whole world. Water system: rivers and canals modeled on the Nile. Lakes, including the Lake of Fire, which simultaneously destroys sinners and revives the righteous: a firewall with a double function, blocking malicious traffic and letting legitimate traffic through. The waters of Nun are the primordial ocean: the place from which everything arose, and to which everything can return. Land: Fields of Iaru (Sekhet Aaru - Fields of Reeds), for those who have passed through the Scales.

Fertile lands with barley and wheat two and a half meters high. The Hetep Fields, the Fields of Offerings, are a separate district of abundance. Islands, hills, caves. And the wastelands of the Land of Sokar: a barren desert in complete darkness, through which Ra passes by voice, because sight does not work there. Architecture: seven gates with triple guardians (according to the Book of Two Paths), twelve gates (according to the Book of Gates), iron walls, walls of fire, several cities. The gates are not decoration. They are checkpoints. Each has three demonic guardians.

One of their names: “He who eats the excrement of his own backside.” Seriously. This is the official text from the sarcophagus of 1795 BCE. The Egyptians were not joking. Or maybe they were, but you still had to get past him for real. TWELVE HOURS The Book of Amduat divides the Duat into twelve regions: “hours.” Every night, Ra passes through all twelve in his barque.

For them, this was not a metaphor. It was the description of a real daily process. Hour 1 - The Great City. Entrance through the western horizon. Akhet. Transition zone between day and night. Maat accompanies the barque. Hours 2-3 - Fields. Fertility, water, grain. This is the “agriculture” of the Duat: the place where food for the dead is produced. Hours 4-5 - Land of Sokar. Complete darkness. Barren desert. Ra doesn't see. The barque turns into a snake because there is no water. Numerous doors block the way. This is the darkest place. The fourth and fifth hours are what I went through myself during ten years of business in the grey zone. The Land of Sokar is an obligatory stage of transformation. More details in the first book. Hour 6 - Deep Water. The central event of the whole cycle: the Ba of Ra unites with its body in the form of Osiris. Regeneration begins. The crown of the solar disk appears on the head of Ra.

Ba + body = restoration of integrity. This is the goal of becoming Akh: the unification of Ba and Ib. Hour 7 - Battle with Apep. The serpent of chaos tries to stop the barque. Apep swallows all the waters of Nun. Isis casts a protective spell. The barque moves without water - on pure Heka. The enemies of Osiris are punished. A daily fight. Every night. No days off. Hour 9 - Distribution of bread and beer to the dead. Direct confirmation: the dead live in the Duat and need food. This is not symbolism. It is supply logistics. Hour 10 - Horus saves the drowned. Those who did not receive proper burial. A separate category of inhabitants, a separate service. A rescue operation, every night. Hour 11 - City of Counting Bodies. The final elimination of those who did not pass. Fire. Destruction. Second death. Hour 12 - Dawn. Ra in the form of Khepri. Scarab. The cycle is complete. A new day begins. RESIDENTS Who lives in the Duat?

Not “souls of the dead,” but an entire civilization: The Neteru are the gods. Osiris (lord), Anubis (threshold guardian and guide), Thoth (recorder), Horus (protector), Hathor, Sokar (lord of the desert zone), Khepri (transformation). And there are dozens of unnamed gods at every level of the Amduat. Ahu - the transfigured dead. They have passed the Scales. Those who have received the status of Maa-Heru - “true of voice.” They live in the Fields of Iaru, plow, fish, and harvest. They have shabti: 365 figures for the year, which come alive and work for them if they do not want to plow themselves. Process automation, Ancient Egypt edition. The ordinary dead are those who exist in their own segments of the Duat. They receive food

through offerings from the living. Or, as in the 9th hour, through distribution of bread and beer from the system. Gate guardians are demons with menacing names.

"Blood Drinker Coming Out of the Slaughterhouse." You need to know them by name to get through. Not a password. Proof of awareness. Firewall with knowledge authentication. Ra's assistants are the rowers of the barque, the protective snake Mehen, and the twelve goddesses of the hours. Enemies: Apep, the serpent of chaos, and the "enemies of Osiris." Destroyed by fire, decapitation, dismemberment. Their ending is nothingness. Second death. `kill -9` with no recovery. And the stuck are those whose names are forgotten, who did not receive a funeral, who cannot pass the guardians. It is with them that I work as a Sem-priest. This is what most of this book is about. KEY The Duat and the Fields of Iaru are not the same thing. The Fields of Iaru are PART of the Duat. An elite district. For those who have passed the Scales. The rest of the Duat is for everyone else. There is life there too. Different life, but life.

The concept of "either Akh or nothing" is a later simplification of the official theology of the New Kingdom. The basic model of the Old and Middle Kingdoms was more complex: the Duat is inhabited by different categories of creatures, and not everyone passes through the Scales. Many simply continue to exist in their segments. Like homeless people in a metropolis: the city lives around them, they are alive too, but in a different reality. This is the world Anubis takes me to every night. This is the world this book is about. Now that the map is on the table, the story can begin.

CHAPTER 1: AKHET - THE EMPTINESS AFTER DEPLOY Four books have been written.

The deploy went through. Everything is live. So what? Nothing. Do you know that feeling when you have been working on a project for six months, not sleeping at night, finally roll it out, and for the first twenty-four hours all you do is stare at the dashboard? Here is one user who came in. Here is another. Someone bought it. One. In a week. The world hasn't turned upside down. The world didn't even notice. I honestly asked myself: where is the drive? For fifteen years I lived on dopamine. Business is an ideal dopamine loop: set a goal -> achieved -> release -> new goal. Fast, bright, measurable. Feedback is instant. The client paid: dopamine. Traffic went up: dopamine. The competitor fell off: double dopamine with a cherry on top. The problem is that this loop does not recognize direction. It works equally well in the construction of a temple and in the construction of grey infrastructure for dubious clients.

Dopamine does not know Maat. It does not care what you build, as long as there is a goal and movement toward it. And here - four books in two months. Crystallization of everything that had been accumulating for sixteen years. And instead of fireworks, there is silence. This silence has a precise Egyptian name: Akhet. Horizon. A place where Ra is no longer in the Duat, but not yet in the daytime sky. No longer darkness. Not yet light. Between. In neurochemistry, everything is simpler: dopamine receptors, accustomed to the fast stimulus of business, feel deficient when switching to slow practice. This is withdrawal. Literally. Like a former smoker who, a month after quitting, is still reaching for a pack. Hands remember. The body remembers. The system requires a familiar stimulus. "It pulls you back a little" is not weakness. This is Artyom from the fourth book: he has forgotten how to function without Victor.

Only here, "Victor" is not a person, but the mechanism of the dopamine race itself. Priestly practice runs on a different fuel. Maat is Nehekh: slow, cyclical, increasing. Not an explosion, but a tide. You don't feel the water rising while you're standing in it. Only when you look back do you see that the shore is already far away. Uab every day, and "nothing happens." The books have been written, but where is the result? Where are the KPIs? Where is the ROI from priesthood? Nowhere. Because Maat is not scalable by metrics. Castaneda's Juan Matus spoke of the "point after decision": the warrior has accepted the path, made the choice, and discovers that the path is not what he imagined. The fantasy about the path is vivid. The path is quiet. Tibetan teachers say that after an intensive retreat there can be a period of "dryness": practice seems dead, visions stop, drive disappears. This is not regression. This is integration.

The body digests what happened. It's like after a big meal: you're not hungry, but you don't enjoy the food either. Digestion works. Meanwhile, I had a question that was nagging at me: were those ten years of business a mistake? Sema-Ka happened in my twenties. Hypothetically, I should have become a priest a long time ago. But instead - ten years in the Land of Sokar. Business in a grey zone, clients whom it is better not to know by name. Was it a wrong turn? No. At twenty-something, Ib was not ready. Ka joined in - gave potential. But Ib is ambitious, thirsty for results. If at that age I had become a priest, I would have gone with a business mindset. I would not have become a priest of Maat, but a priest of success. Courses, subscribers, monetization of the dead. Not out of malice - out of ignorance. I would become one of those whom I described in the fourth book. The Land of Sokar is required. The fourth and fifth hours of Amduat.

Transformation in the dark. Ra cannot jump over the Land of Sokar at dawn. Business is not "instead of" priesthood. It is part of the path to priesthood. What did

business give to the priest? Recognizing manipulation: I know the “business Muts” from the inside, because I was like that myself. Discipline is the basis of daily Uab. Try maintaining servers 24/7 for ten years in a row; the habit of regime will remain forever. Disappointment in the worldly: “I don’t want the old, but the new does not call me yet.” Practical skills: books, Telegram, promotion, the ability to explain complex things in simple language. At twenty-something I entered the Duat as a tourist. Carried. Ba drove, showed. The grave, the howl of Ka, pain - the first contact. Powerful, uncontrollable. Now I work in the Duat. I distinguish between Ba and Anubis. I recognize the Muts and refuse. I make offerings. I direct the mummies. I ask questions about the structure.

The difference is between someone swept into the ocean by a wave and a sailor who knows the currents. Ten years of Sokar is the time of ripening for Ib. And here’s the irony - while I’m sitting in Akhet and complaining about the lack of drive, I’m running ads on a channel and forum about black magic. Priest of Maat, on a black magic site. Sounds like a bug. But Anubis is not afraid of darkness. He works there. His function is not to avoid the Duat, but to lead through it. He does not bypass dark places - he comes exactly where it is dark. The audience is people who are already interested in the “other side.” Many of them are inside the Isfet marketing funnel: attraction through force -> promise of control -> dependence. Someone will see my channel and receive an alternative. Not “good versus evil.” Discernment. 150 live subscribers. Not thousands, not a stadium. Temple. Temples cannot accommodate thousands.

Temples accommodate those who come. Anubis works with each one one at a time. He does not have a conveyor belt. He has the Scales. And this is what I understood while sitting in Akhet: the question “where is the drive?” is a question from an old operating system. The new OS is already installed. It’s just that the receptors have not yet been reconfigured. The seed in the ground does not feel the drive. It just sprouts.

CHAPTER 2: THE PULL OF THE DUATS When I was writing the third book, Anubis took me into the Duat several times.

Not in a dream - or rather, in a dream, but in the kind of dream that is more like a business trip than a fantasy of a sleeping brain. There he showed the Scales. Showed the dead. Showed a space in which there is not a single mask. And this is what I noticed: after each such “trip,” when I came to my senses, opened my eyes, and found myself in my ordinary apartment, I wanted to go back. Not out of curiosity. Not out of fear. Out of something deeper, something I could not find words for. The energy of the Duat is not “scary” and not “interesting.” This is a kind of desperate

understanding of death. Before, I did not understand why, when a dead person appeared to me, a feeling of melancholy appeared. Not my melancholy. Their. But in fact, this is what happens: the dead grieve. But not for life in the sense we are used to. They yearn for the opportunity to act. For agency. After death, a person loses his Khat - his physical body.

The only instrument of action in the physical world. Ka remains, Ba flies between worlds, Ren is preserved. But to do something? With your hands? No hands. Voice? No voice. Make a decision and implement it in matter? Nothing. The melancholy of the dead is not an emotion in the human sense. This is the state of Ka, which remembers that it could act - and can no longer. Phantom pain. There is no limb, but the nerve pathways remember the movement. Ka remembers life, remembers choices, remembers the moments when it was possible to turn around, and realizes that now it is too late. Like a programmer who sees a bug in production, but he was fired yesterday and his access to the repository was revoked. He knows where the bug is. He knows how to fix it. But he doesn't have commit rights. Forever. This is why the dead come to the living. Not out of anger. Not to scare.

Out of melancholy, because the living have Khat, have Heka, have the opportunity to change. The dead man is looking for someone who can do what he can no longer do. And that's why I'm drawn back to the Duat. Not to death - to truth in its undiluted form. There are no masks in the Duat. The Scales do not lie. The dead don't pretend. There are no social conventions, no business negotiations, no "let's pretend." Maat in its purest form: everything that is, is. Everything that is not, is not. For a person who lived for fifteen years in a world where truth was secondary to results, being in a space of absolute honesty is like breathing mountain air for the first time after living in the city. The lungs remember. And they want to go back. Plus - Anubis is maximally manifested there. He is not a solar Neteru. He's not at his zenith. He is in the Duat. There, his full strength is present.

Being around your Neteru at full strength is like this: imagine being a Notepad programmer your whole life and then being introduced to an IDE for the first time, with full autocompletion, a debugger, and hot reload. You'll want to go back to the IDE, right? A Sem-priest is a bridge between the living and the dead. The Duat is not foreign territory. It is a workspace. For a diver, it is depth. It puts pressure on others, but for him it is the environment in which he functions. Ka keeps memory. 4500 years ago this work was daily. The body is different, but the Ka is the same. When Anubis brought it there again, Ka recognized it. Not "scary." But "I am home." There are parallels in all traditions. Tibetan Chod: practitioners go to cemeteries and describe the same attraction. Teachers say: this is not a pathology, this is a resonance with transformation.

Indian Aghori live on cremation grounds, for them this is the place of greatest power. Castaneda: the world of inorganic beings. Don Juan warned that there was attraction there. Reason: attention becomes crystal clear because the stakes are real. The attraction of the Duat is not a pathology. This is Ka, who remembered where his home is. And the priestly call: the Sem-priest is needed by the dead. And the dead know this.

CHAPTER 3: BLACK GLASS And now I'll tell you about tea.

And about how the ecosystem of a world works, a world you most likely have not thought about. I had a dream. One of those you do not forget afterward, because it was not a dream but an instruction. Old woman. Preparing food for funerals in special vessels. I wondered for a long time what that vessel was for. Then I offered her tea. She was surprised. She shook her head - "no." But the eyes said yes. It was as if no one had offered her anything for a long time. A long time ago means thousands of years. I was choosing: should I wash my mug or find another? I found a black glass mug. Black: Khem. The color of Kemet, black earth. In the Egyptian understanding, this is not the color of death - it is the color of fertility, silt after the Nile flood. The color of Anubis. The color of the Duat. Tea in a mug from her world.

When I woke up, I went and bought a black glass, poured tea into it, put it on the altar and said: "This is for those who cook food in the Duat." Who is this woman? A servant of the Duat. Not Neteru. No. Lower in the hierarchy. She who transforms raw energy into digestible form for the dead. Infrastructure. Like a cook in the army: everyone eats, no one thinks about who cooked. Not Neteru (they are worshiped). Not Ahu (offerings are made to them). Servant. Invisible. Necessary. Forgotten. She shook her head "no", but her eyes said "yes" - this is not coquetry. This is recoil. It has been so long since she received anything that her first reaction is disbelief. The body says "no" out of habit. Ka says "yes" because it is thirsty. That first tea started a chain reaction. Maat is mutual. A few nights later, in hypnagogia, that borderline state between wakefulness and sleep, someone began to feed me. Chicken.

I literally chewed, physically felt the taste. Ka eats. This is not visualization or fantasy: the body reacts, the taste sensations are real. Ka is active in hypnagogia: it does not sleep, it is not passive, it receives nutrition. In Egyptian theology, the Ka feeds on the Ka of food. The offerings in the tombs are real food (bread, beer, meat, poultry), because physical food has its own Ka. Hetep-di-nes formula: a thousand loaves, a thousand jugs of beer, a thousand bulls, a thousand birds. The bird is one of the classic offerings. The chicken is an adaptation of the principle to my modern perception. Ka translates into understandable language. I put out a black glass, and

they return it to me. Quiet. No fireworks. Maat is not an abstraction. It is a working exchange protocol. And then I asked: “Why do they even care about my Ka?” The answer turned out to be anything but sentimental.

The Neteru need people just as people need the Neteru. This is a key difference from monotheism: not grace from above, but interdependence. Working relationships. Ra passes through the Duat every night. At the 7th hour he meets Apep. The priests in the temples pronounced Heka, read the Book of the Overthrow of Apep, and helped Ra pass through Heka. Without priests, the barque slows down. Temple service is not a ritual for believers. This is a cosmic necessity. A living Sem-priest consciously working with the Duat, performing Uab, making offerings, seeing dream-instructions and carrying them out, is a rarity. Only a few on the planet. There are probably a few more Kemetists with a live channel - but there are few of us. Such people are a valuable resource. Not sentimental, but functional. The working tool must be maintained in good condition. Anubis needs hands. He has no Khat in the physical world.

He cannot pour tea, write a book, say Heka out loud. For this, he needs a priest. The Sem-priest is the hands of Anubis in the world of the living. Taking care of the priest's Ka means maintaining the instrument. Sem-priest with exhausted Ka is a boat without an oar. It floats, but does not control. And one more thing: this is an investment. Books have been written, a lot of work lies ahead. If Ka weakens during the Akhet period, everything may stop. The Neteru are feeding me now so that I can do what lies ahead. And now about the ecosystem. Because the question “why is there a cook in the Duat?” brings with it an understanding of how that world works. The Duat is a whole world with its own ecology. The Fields of Iaru are agricultural lands where the blessed Ahu plow, sow, and harvest.

Chapter 110 of the Book of the Dead describes in detail: canals, fields of barley and emmer, trees.

The Duat has its own food production. But raw materials are not food. Grain is not bread. Someone has to transform raw energy into a digestible form. The woman in my dream is a transformer. She takes raw Sekhem and turns it into something Ba can digest. Ecosystem. Specialization. Division of labor. When the connection between Ta, the physical world, and the Duat was broken, when the temples closed, the priests disappeared, and the offerings stopped, the system began to degrade. The servants are starving. The dead dry up. Ka tied to mummies in museums knock on random living people through TikTok. I am one of those who restore this connection. A black glass on the altar is not a symbol or ritual for Instagram. This is a packet of data sent over a protocol that worked 4500 years ago and still works

today. Maat protocol. An offering is a request. The answer is feeding Ka. Handshake is complete. The connection has been established.

Chapter 4: The Social Network Mummy Kaliningrad.

Vacation. Wife, tequila, warm evening. Before bed, I do something a priest of Anubis should not do after tequila: I scroll through TikTok. And I land on the channel of an Egyptian museum with mummies. Stop. Rewind. Read it again. Priest of Anubis. After tequila. Scrolling through TikTok. Looks at mummies. If I were writing a “how NOT TO do it” manual, this would be point one. Underlined. Red. With three exclamation marks. Because the mummified man came. I didn’t dream - I came. He pulled at my hand. Asked for help. Or he tried to eat. I am not entirely sure here, because for a hungry dead person the line between “help me” and “let me devour your energy” is quite blurry. I directed him to Anubis - standard first stage Heka. It worked. He left. But then I lay there and thought: what the hell just happened? Let’s figure it out. Mummification is a technology. Not a religious ritual, not a superstition: a technology for binding Ka to Khat.

Preserving the body = keeping Ka with it. Ba flies between worlds, but returns to the mummy like a bird to its nest. Ka remains with the mummy and feeds on offerings. Formulas on the walls, a false door, an offering table: this is not decor. This is the infrastructure for smooth functioning. If we speak IT: the mummy is the server. Ka is the process that spins on it. Ba is the client that connects to it. The tomb is a data center. Offerings are electricity. False door: API endpoint. The formulas on the walls are documentation. The system was designed as a closed loop. Mummy in the House of Ka, protective formulas, regular offerings from the priests. A false door to communicate with the world of the living. The architecture is designed for endless uptime. The cycle has broken. The temples are closed: the data center has no power. The priests have disappeared: DevOps has been fired.

The offerings have stopped: the electricity has been cut off. Names are forgotten: DNS records are deleted. And then 19th-century archaeologists came, opened the tomb and transferred the mummy to a glass display case under artificial lighting. The server was physically moved to another data center, without documentation, without configs, without connecting to the network. Result: Ka is attached to the body, the process is running, the server is intact, but there is no tomb, no offerings, no priests, and no way to leave. A man on a leash in an empty house. The rope is strong. There is no food. The door is locked. For thousands of years. Like a daemon in a Docker container whose ports were closed, while everyone forgot to stop the process. He is alive, he works, but he is not connected to anything and has nowhere to turn. And here I am, a Sem-priest with the seal of Anubis and tequila in my

blood, watching a video with mummies.

My Ka is open to the frequency of the dead (this cannot be turned off - part of the priestly firmware). Tequila reduced Khat's control; the threshold became thinner. The mummified man saw the first living priest in thousands of years. Someone who can act. The one who has Heka. And he came. Previously, the priest had to physically come to the tomb, perform a ritual, and pronounce the formulas. Now TikTok is enough. Visual contact with the mummy through the screen - and the priest's Ka is already in touch. Technology has changed. The principle has not. But one came and I handled it. And a channel with dozens of mummies in an altered state means the next one may come. And not all of them are just lost Ka. And here the question arises: can a priest say "I am Uab" if he drank tequila? "I am Uab" is three things at once: State: "I am pure" - ritually purified, the channel to Neteru is open.

Title: "I am a priest" - presentation of status to the entity. Principle: "Maat passes through me without distortion" - a pure vessel. By Egyptian standards, no. The priests observed ritual purity before the service: ablution, restrictions. With tequila, you're not Uab. Period. But I did not enter the temple. I was on vacation, and the dead man came himself. Like a surgeon on vacation who has drunk wine and sees a choking man: not sterile, without instruments - but the only one who can help. A tequila priest can say "I am Uab" the same way a boxer with a broken arm can say "I am a boxer." He is a boxer. But hitting with this hand now is not a good idea. After this incident, I developed a protocol. Five steps - in case the basic Heka does not work: Stage 1: Heka of direction. Formula for direction to Anubis. If it works, you're done. Basic scenario. Stage 2: Strengthened Heka - appeal directly to Anubis.

Not an instruction to the dead, but a call to Anubis: "Inpu, Khenti-Imenti, Lord of the Sacred Land, this is the one who stands before you. Take it. Lead him. Weigh it. According to Maat." The difference: in the first case, you give instructions to a dead person. He may not obey. In the second, you summon Anubis, and then it's his job. Stage 3: Cutting off contact. If the Ka does not leave, or Anubis does not take him, the connection is broken. At the same time, physical action: get up, wash your hands and face with cold water, turn on the light. The physical body is an anchor. Return to it completely. Stage 4: Closing the channel. Complete ritual for closing parasitic channels. Full Uab, cauterization with Sekhem. Stage 5: No contact. If the entity is non-Ka, if there is no melancholy but coldness or pressure, do not enter into dialogue at all. Silently: Uab, closing, light, physical body. Isfet entities feed on attention.

Even the Heka of direction is attention. The best defense is not to feed. Diagnosis is simple: Melancholy + hunger = Ka of the dead. Can be directed. Cold + pressure = non-Ka. Close the door. Don't feed. Cold water is a separate issue. It does not work on the dead. It works on the priest. Cold water on the face activates the dive reflex: slowing down the heartbeat, turning on the parasympathetic nervous system. The body says: "I am here. I am Khat. I am physical." In hypnagogia, Ka is pushed forward out of Khat; contact with the Duat is open. Cold water is the anchor in Ta. The door closes from the inside. A dead person does not run away from water. The priest ceases to be available. Paradox: on thousands of Egyptian steles there is the formula "kebeh" - an offering of cool water to the dead. One of the most basic offerings. The dead crave cool water. But water on the altar attracts (offering).

Water on the priest's face closes the channel. One substance. Different context. Different function. And finally - about 700 Uabs and Dracula. In one of my first dreams after initiation, I became lucid in a bar. Not an earthly one. A Duat bar. Informal zone, neutral territory. A certain person, probably Ahu, one who had passed through the Scales, said calmly: "The most interesting thing will begin after 700 Uabs. Maybe then Dracula will come to you." 700 Uabs means morning and evening, for a total of about a year. Now I have a little over a hundred. But already at this distance you can see how the system works. Uab is not just "washed." This is daily cleaning of the channel. Like clearing the cache. You do not clean it for a day: it works. For a week: it slows down. For a month: it hangs. And "Dracula" is not literal. This is a threat level warning. Now small ones come to me: mummies from TikTok, Business Mut. Mosquito level.

After 700 Uabs, when the Uab signature becomes permanent and the glow in the Duat becomes brighter, serious ones will notice. Isfet-Ahu, who control parasite networks. Guide-track operators. The entities are larger. The logic is simple: a small flashlight attracts moths. A lighthouse attracts something bigger. The guy in the bar did not scare me. He warned me: "Get ready. Now - warm-up. The real work is when serious people notice you." Since then I have a simple rule: if you drink, don't look at the mummies. Don't drive drunk. Drunk in the Duat - even more so.

CHAPTER 5: DUAT HOSPITAL And now I will tell you about a dream after which I stopped thinking of the Duat as an "afterlife" and began thinking of it as a workplace.

A room reminiscent of an old hospital. Long corridors, dim light, the feeling of an institution. Not cozy. In it: A girl. Sitting on the floor in a separate room. Around her are empty plates with leftover food. The man next to me said: "She didn't need to know that there were only six psychiatric hospitals in the city." I walked along

the corridors. The same man said that “you can meet the owner there.” And an old woman preparing food for the dead. The same one from the third chapter. With a black glass. When I woke up, I worked through this dream for several days. And this is what happened. The hospital is not the hall of the Scales, not the Fields of Iaru, not the boat of Ra. This is the office space of the Duat. The zone of the stuck: those who did not pass the Scales, did not become Ahu, and were not destroyed by Ammit. Between. In limbo. Why a hospital and not a prison? Because the hospital heals. Prison punishes.

In the Duat there is no punishment for the fact of death. There is maintenance for those who cannot yet function independently. In Ancient Egypt this place had two names: Per-Nefer - “Beautiful House”. Embalming house. Literally, a hospital for the dead: staff, premises, procedures. Uabet - “Clean Place”. Place of cleansing of the dead. The root "Uab" is the same one used in my daily practice. For modern people, the closest analogue of a place where people are disoriented, kept, and prepared for something is a hospital. Psychiatric is even more precise: the patient may not understand where she is, or she may understand and not care. The girl with empty plates - she once received offerings. The source has dried up. The relatives forgot, the priests disappeared, the channel from Ta was closed. Isolated, on the floor: not in the position of Ahu, but stuck. Depressed, disoriented.

To appear before the Scales, Ba must be aware of himself, understand what happened, remember his life, and make 42 Negative Confessions. She can't. She doesn't need the Scales - she needs help. “There are only six psychiatric hospitals in the city.” Six. In the fourth book, I described exactly six dark technologies of reincarnation: direct reincarnation bypassing the court, dybbuk, linear reincarnation, anchoring in an object, parasitic presence, network challenge. “She didn't need to know”: knowing the structure of the traps is dangerous for someone already inside, with no Heka to get out. She realized that all six “exits” did not lead to freedom, but to another trap. Knowledge without power locked even more. “You can meet the owner.” The male guide points out: you can meet the owner in the corridors. Osiris - Lord of the Duat, the highest judge. Anubis is Khenti-Imenti, ruling the space of judgment and transition.

Osiris on the throne. Anubis is in the corridors. This is an invitation, not a threat. They show me: you are not a stranger here. Because you are his priest. Anubis showed me not the ceremonial Duat from the texts, but its operational underside. A tour of the workplace. The Sem-priest is shown where he will work. Not the main entrance - a service one. And now - the key. Is limbo from the lucid dreaming tradition a Duat? Most likely yes. One city, described by different cultures with different maps: Duat (Egypt): intermediate space, 12 hour-regions. Stranded,

servants, guardians, entities. Infrastructure. Limbo (Catholicism): a border region, neither hell nor heaven. Waiting place. Focus 23 (Monroe): stranded area. People do not realize that they have died, they continue to live by inertia - "offices", "shops", "negotiations". Entire territories from familiar patterns. Bardo (Tibet): an intermediate state.

You can get stuck and get lost. There are peaceful and wrathful deities, and there are traps. Swedenborg: World of Spirits. Houses, landscapes, people do not realize that they have died. Six traditions, separated by centuries and continents. One architecture. And the map of the Duat is not a corridor with one door. It is a city: Hall of Judgment: the Scales, Osiris, Anubis. Official authority. "Hospital" (Per-Nefer): wards for the disoriented. Kitchen: servants who prepare food for the dead. Corridors: service premises. "Guide tracks": the black market, the conveyor belt bypassing the Scales. The Fields of Iaru: for Ahu. "Elite district." Bars: informal areas. Intelligence nodes. Districts, institutions, markets, legal and illegal infrastructure. Like any big city. And the last thing I understood: Duat is not a sentence. This is the waiting room.

People continue to live there and wait for incarnation so that they can once again try the path of becoming Akh. The true wheel of Samsara: Earth -> Duat -> Earth -> Duat ->... The exit is through the Scales. Not from the Duat to a better place. Out of the cycle altogether. Duat is not a punishment. A pause between exams. You live, exist, but do not transform. Transformation happens only on Earth or through the Scales. Earth is not a prison. Refresher courses. Duat is a corridor between exams. Scales - quality control. Those who have passed through work in the structure of Maat. Those who do not pass get a retake. Those who haven't even made it to the exam are sitting in the corridor. The hospital in Duat is for those in the corridor. And they showed me where they work.

CHAPTER 6: BUSINESS MOUTH After the "hospital" dream, a dead businessman contacted me.

I called it Business Mut. Mut is the Egyptian term for a dead person who has not passed the Scales. Not an insult. A technical term. Like a "patient" in a hospital. He offered money for the services of a guide. He asked me to take him to "Pomistek" or "Gaydatrek." I wrote the names down phonetically, as I heard them. And I refused. He said that I could help, but not for money, but only through the prism of Maat. And then I figured out what had happened. A dead businessman continues to act according to lifetime patterns. His model of the world: everything can be bought. You need a guide - find a contractor, pay, get results. Business logic did not die with the body. It is written in Ka, in patterns. Maat judges patterns, not words. Even after

death, he tries to pay off. Accepting “payment” - even conditionally - means entering his coordinate system. The priest is a contractor, the guide is a service, the Duat is a market.

This is the Isfet contract. “I can help, but only through the prism of Maat” is not just a refusal of payment. It sets the rules: a different system is at work here. Not the market - the Scales. Not money - Ib. Not a contract - Maat. But the names are interesting. “Guidetrack” turned out to be not “travel with a guide” (guide + trek), but a “guide track.” Rail. Track. Pre-planned route. Fundamental difference: Travel with a guide = individual work. The priest takes the hand and leads. Guide track = conveyor. I got on the rails and went. No choice. Without awareness. Without the Scales. Automatic route. This is not an individual service. It is infrastructure from the dark market of the Duat. A ready path, laid out in advance to drive the dead past the court. The Scales-traversal pipeline. Business Mut came to me with knowledge of the market. He has already studied the “offers.” Knows the names of the routes. Selects a “contractor.”

And the priest told him: “Only through Maat.” Like a person who comes with a catalog of illegal services and receives the answer: “There is a legal way. It is harder, but it is the only real one.” When I woke up and began to process the dream, I heard his voice: “You are leaving the connection now, maybe we’ll contact you later.” Let’s break it down syllable by syllable: “You” is the polite form. Addressing a business partner, not a benefactor. In his picture of the world, I am a counterparty. “Leaving the connection” means he understands the mechanics. Knows that waking up equals breaking the channel. This is not his first contact with the living. Experienced. “We’ll contact you later” means he does not press. Leaves the door open. The classic negotiator’s technique: don’t force things, retreat, come back later. The offer is valid. When you’re ready, I’m here. This is not a cry of despair. This is a cold sales funnel.

The contrast with the girl from the hospital kills. She is depressed, disoriented, she doesn’t care. And this one is fully conscious. Understands where he is. Knows what is needed. Has a plan, tactics. The Ka of an experienced businessman, functioning even after death, but inside one pattern: the deal. He has not forgotten how to negotiate. He forgot how to do anything else. The complete map of Business Mut: ten steps of a rational strategy. 1. Died 2. Realized where he is (not in apathy) 3. Learned about the Scales (or remembered) 4. Felt that Ib was heavy 5. Studied the “market” for workarounds 6. Found the names of the routes: “Pomistek”, “Haidatrek” 7. Found a living priest with the seal of Anubis 8. Offered a deal 9. Received a refusal 10. Politely bowed: “We’ll contact you later.” A rational strategy for avoiding trial. Exactly what he would have done in life. And here the question

arises: what does the dead even have to pay for?

It turns out there are five “currencies”: Information - where is the money, codes, diagrams, contacts. Everything remains in Ka. A classic of folk traditions: the dead show treasures. “Take me with you and I’ll show you where the gold is.” Influence on events - synchronicities, “lucky coincidences”, the right contact at the right moment. Voodoo works through Gede and Baron Samedi for financial results. Works. Price - later. Protection and “roof”: “I will drive the other dead away from you.” Mafia model. Protection. The same business pattern, only in the Duat. Contract for the future: “When you die yourself, I will guide you through.” Trap: help from an Isfet dead person is guidance past the Scales. Sekhem is the energy charge of Ka. Transmission: temporary surge of strength, clarity. Like an energy drink: it invigorates, then recedes. And the binding. Each option is an Isfet contract.

A connection is created between the living and the dead. The guide receives, and now he owes. The dead man bypasses the Scales and does not evolve. Alien Isfet appears on the guide's Scales. The dead actually have something valuable to offer. All this is real and works. And all this is a trap. Priests of Maat do not take payment from the dead not because the dead have nothing to give. But because everything they can give is poisoned. Not out of malice. By the nature of things. The gift from Isfet is carried by Isfet. And Business Mut probably wants to become Akh for money. During his life, Ib knew one currency - money. Any problem -> pay -> resolved. Died. Ib hasn't changed. He learned about the Scales. Got it: the Scales -> Akh -> exit. So, buy a walkthrough. Problem: Scales are not available for purchase. Ib lies on the bowl. Not money. The Feather of Maat does not accept bribes.

Mut suspects that Ib will not pass, which is why he avoids the Scales. Instead of working on Ib, he is looking for a shortcut. What do dark “guides” sell? Passing the Scales is impossible to fake. But bypassing them is possible. Direct reincarnation bypassing the court, attachment to an object, parasitic existence. Not Akh, but a surrogate. “You will not become a star. But we will offer eternal twilight - for a reasonable price.” A dead businessman came to a former businessman with an offer that I understand perfectly. It was no coincidence that Anubis sent exactly this one - he knows what to test through. Test passed.

CHAPTER 7: SCALES ARE NOT AN EXAM When I was writing the third book, Anubis showed me a dream that changed everything I thought about the Scales.

He showed the Scales. He said: “We don’t do that.” He removed the weight from the right bowl. The bowls began to swing left and right. Three words. “This is what we don’t do.” And behind those words is a whole instruction. A weight is what a person did outside Maat. Everything Ib has accumulated in life: dishonest deals,

manipulations, attachments, patterns he lived through. All this lies on the bowl. "This is what we don't do": the direct reading is that we do not lighten the weight. Never. For no one. We do not remove weights. We do not twist the Scales. We do not put a finger on the bowl. Anubis removed the weight and showed that this should not be done. "We" includes him too. Nobody touches the Scales. Neither the priest nor Anubis himself. The Scales belong to Maat. Touching is not allowed. No lightning, no making heavier, no removing. Bring it to the door. Next is the matter of Maat.

This is key: the function of the priest is not to influence the outcome. It is to bring the dead to the Hall, help them realize, restore the name, give direction, and retreat. And then I asked: can a priest of Anubis not take from the dead, but ask them to help in some matter? Do things like this change Ib's weight? Strict interpretation: Ib is fixed at the moment of death. Counter-argument: if so, why all the infrastructure of the Duat? Why hospitals, rehabilitation, the Opening of the Mouth, feeding of the dead? The Ritual of the Opening of the Mouth is performed after death and gives the dead the ability to make 42 Confessions. This means that posthumous actions influence the outcome. The system is not static. But, and this is important, the priest does not influence the Ib of the dead. The priest leads to the Hall. Period.

Grandmothers are a different story.

Do you know this folk tradition: the grandmother died, but "she stays to help the family"? Doesn't go away. The family feels the presence. They think it's a concern. But in fact? "The family needs me, they can't cope without me, I have to take care of them." If this was a pattern during life, it continues after death. Not a "conscious choice to stay" - but an inability to act otherwise. Maat judges patterns: the question is not whether it is good or evil, but whether it is free or binding. The grandmother does not leave -> the family feels the presence -> the family does not let go -> the grandmother receives confirmation: "I am needed" -> she remains even more strongly. A vicious circle. Nobody evolves. Grandma fails the trial. The family does not learn to live without relying on the dead. Everyone is "together" - everyone is stuck.

A difficult assumption: perhaps the grandmother stays not to help, but because she is afraid of the Scales. Just like Business Mut covers up fear with a business model, she covers up fear with care. Different wrappers - perhaps the same fear. A grandmother who has passed the Scales is a healthy grandmother. With authority, with strength, with Maat at your back. An Ahu can really help. The stuck one is a sick woman still trying to make soup. What can a priest do? Bring it to the Hall. Say carefully but honestly: "You have helped us all your life. Thank you. But the best help now is to go through the Scales. Become Ahu. And then you can really help."

Letting go is not betraying. Let go, and let her become more than she is. And now - the most important thing in this chapter. The Scales are not binary. There are three outcomes: 1. Ib is lighter than a feather -> Akh. Transformation. Stars. Eternity. 2.

Ib is heavier, but not critical -> Reincarnation. New body. New try. On the Scales, Ib sees his patterns and realizes what exactly is heavy. He goes into a new life with this knowledge. Kheperu - new becoming. 3. Ib critically heavy -> Ammit. Devouring. Second death. For the incorrigible. Why the Scales are better than Duat for everyone: Akh -> eternity. Better than being stuck - definitely. Reincarnation -> new chance. Better than being stuck: a new life. Ammit -> fair ending. Even this is better than eternal half-existence. Getting stuck in the Duat is neither of these outcomes. No Akh, no reincarnation, no end. Only slow fading into Nun or turning into a battery. Business Mut's mistake: thinking that getting stuck is "beating the system." Reality: he deprives himself of the chance of reincarnation. His Ib is heavy, but perhaps not hopeless. At the Scales: new life. Nothing in the Duat. That's why the priest leads everyone.

Not because "everyone should become Akh." But because the Scales are the only place where forward movement is possible. Any. Even Ammit is a movement. Stuck - no. The Scales are not an exam you can fail. The Scales are a compatibility check. Like an interview: not "you're bad", but "you're not suitable yet, work on it and come again."

CHAPTER 8: MIRRORS AND PROTECTION Remember how, in the first chapter, I talked about advertising on a black magic channel and forum?

So, this was not just advertising. It was an operation. Priest of Anubis, author of a book with the direct instruction "WE DO NOT REMOVE WEIGHTS FROM THE SCALES.

Never.

NOT FOR ANYONE," placed an advertisement on the site of people who do exactly that. They took money for advertising - and posted a link to a channel that exposes what they do. Their subscribers saw an alternative. If the administration reads the fourth book, they will see an explanation of why contracts with the dead who have not passed the Scales are Isfet-hire, and why the "guide track" is a trap for both parties. Maat does not force you to look in the mirror. But the mirror stands there. This is technology. Installing mirrors. The main priestly operation in the physical world. What does Isfet do? Renames. It takes a thing and gives it a beautiful name: "return2life" sounds like healing. In reality: keeping the dead from the Scales, exploitation. "guide track" sounds like a service. In reality, it's a court

bypass conveyor belt. “helping the family” sounds like caring. In reality: fear of the Scales in caring packaging.

“Money for guiding” sounds like payment for services. In reality: an Isfet contract. Isfet marketing: renaming as the first stage of the funnel. Call slavery a “partnership” and people will go. What does a mirror do? It takes off the name. It does not invent something new; it removes the old. It doesn’t label it “bad” – it shows the essence without the wrapper. The priest does not judge. Does not fight. Doesn't expose. Describes what he sees. Exactly. No emotions. As it is. If the description is accurate, the person recognizes himself. The mirror worked. Formula: Isfet hides behind names. Maat takes away the names and shows the essence. But - and then I looked in the mirror myself - my path is also not pure. I had approached the same people before, when I was a businessman. They helped me through the dead. I didn’t know anything about exploitation then.

I thought that the dead either immediately go to a new life or freeze, but I didn’t understand the mechanism. Ib remembers not only the action, but also the intention. I did not want to exploit. I did not know. I was in the position of a client who bought an item without knowing that it was stolen. There was an action - it is on Ib as a fact. Not as a “sin,” but as a fact. What did I do next? I learned the truth through dreams, Anubis, my own path. Stopped. Wrote four books explaining why not. Created mirrors. I don’t hide the past - I speak directly. Kheperu - becoming. Scarab. Was one - became another. A former client turned priest, explaining why you cannot be a client. The most accurate mirror. Now - about the vision of entities. I “see” a little: if there is an entity in the house, I see movement in the air, something flying around the rooms. It's been fifteen years now. With open eyes, in the dark. Not phosphores or hypnagogia.

The perception of something actually located in space. Why is it visible in the dark, but not in the light? In bright light, cones suppress subtle signals. Loud music drowns out whispers. In the dark, the rods are at maximum sensitivity - they catch what the cones “do not notice.” Connection with the Land of Sokar: the fourth and fifth hours of Amduat, “the land not visible even to the gods.” Fifteen years in the symbolic Land of Sokar - and vision in the dark developed. I learned to see where even the gods cannot see. Not in spite of the darkness - because of it. And the defense technique is “Seal of Anubis on the lower gate.” In a dream, I was given a method: to protect against vampires attacking through the second center (the center of emotions, desires, attachments), you need to close the lower center. Physical lock, upward breathing, visualization: Anubis as a jackal lying on a sealed entrance.

Heka formula: "The lower gates are closed. The mark of Anubis is on them. What is mine is inside. What is alien is outside." After the work, open it. The lower center is needed for life. Do not keep it closed all the time. And one more dream - one of the most powerful. Night city. People live almost like on Earth. The road: sad people slowly walking along it. Toward them: demonesses with thin blades. When a person reached them, they cut off his head with a sword. The demonesses reached me. "Now they'll cut my head off," I thought. But no, the demoness stroked and patted my head. Night City is a populated Duat, a zone of the stranded. The sad people on the road are those whose time has expired. They have set out on the path to the Scales themselves. The sad ones know their outcome. The "demonesses" with blades are not demonesses. Performers of the Ammit function. The Amduat describes guardian entities with knives.

They perform a function, not a punishment. "They take off your head": separation of components. Second death. The third outcome of the Scales. "Patted me on the head" is a gesture of recognition. I am a living priest, a visitor. I am not on their "list." Priestly status is visible. "You are one of ours. You are here on business." She patted me like an old acquaintance, as if: we are at work now, but we will talk later. Ammit performers are not enemies. Not Isfet. They are functionaries of Maat. Hard, necessary work. The priest and performers are colleagues. Different stages of the same process. Surgeon and anesthesiologist. After the shift, we can meet at the Duat bar. And here is the key distinction that this dream gave: not everything terrible is Isfet. The blade is Maat in its harsh manifestation. Fast. Merciful. The real Isfet is not a blade, but a chain.

The one that holds people back from the Scales, binds them with contracts, and turns them into batteries. Evil is not in completion, but in retention. The job of the priest in the body is not to personally lead the dead to the Scales. Ba can do that. His job is to prevent stuck states. Untie the dead from apartments. Send them to the Duat. Close channels in the living. Name the mechanisms of Isfet. Eliminate chaos. Teach. Write books. And much more. Two shifts: night - Ba in the Duat, navigation, reconnaissance. Day - Ib in the body, Heka in the voice, rituals with hands, offerings, contact with the living. One job. And the third function of the day shift is installing mirrors. Books, channel, podcast, advertising on dark sites. Removing camouflage from Isfet. Responsible disclosure: the vulnerability has been published. Patch or not is up to them to decide. The world already knows.

UPDATE: A couple of weeks after posting an advertisement on black magic channels, the "answer" came :) At night, someone attacked my protective spirits and started a large-scale battle. This means the book hit the mark and someone recognized themselves in it, which means we stepped on the tail of the Isfet

structure. Let's continue...

CHAPTER 9: WHO'S BEHIND THE KEYBOARD I am typing this text.

Fingers on the keys. Question: who is typing? Sounds like a Zen koan. But for the Egyptian model, this is a very specific question with a specific answer: both. And not only them. While awake, the entire ensemble works: Khat: the physical body. Hands on the keys. Executor. Ib formulates a question, gets surprised, chooses words. The commander is awake. Ka: background. Patterns, way of thinking, style. 4500 years of priestly experience in Ka is the reason why I ask about Ba's autonomy and not "what amulet to buy." Ren: identity. "I am Telim" is Ren. Ba: in the background. It doesn't steer, but it gives impulses: "ask about this," "there's something important here." Key paradox: During the day: Ib is the captain, Ba is the passenger. At night: Ba is the captain, Ib is the passenger. The Duat is not the territory of Ib. This is Ba's territory. The physical world is not Ba's territory. Each component is a master in its environment.

And this is what I noticed: my Ba acts as a separate personality. It goes to a bar in the Duat, carries on conversations, receives a cloak from Isis and Nephthys, and shows me only the essence. I become aware only at key moments: here are the Scales, here is the demoness, here is the guy with the "700 Uabs." The rest is a squeeze. Ba gives key frames, not the full film. Why? Three reasons: Protection. Full awareness of Ib in the Duat is an emotional reaction. Fear, delight, anxiety. In the Duat, emotions are flashes of Sekhem, visible like fireworks. Ba acts quietly. Or, for now, like an intern who faints at the first operation. Bandwidth. Ib works linearly. Ba perceives volumetrically. Conveying everything is like passing the ocean through a garden hose. Ba gives key frames - exactly as much as Ib can digest. Level of training. 700 Uabs: calibration of the Ib-Ba link.

The purer the Ib, the wider the channel, the more Ba can convey, the longer the Ib retains awareness in the Duat. Analogy: an intern at a hospital. The first day: he does not operate. Watching. The head doctor (Ba) does the work and shows the trainee the key point: "This is important. Remember this." The intern wants to touch it himself, but for now he watches. Training is not a limitation. After death, the division is removed. During life, Khat holds Ib in the physical world. Ib rules during the day, Ba rules at night. Separation. But when Khat dies: Ka: remains attached to the burial place. Waiting. Ba: separates, navigator. Knows the road through the Duat. Ib: does not wait. The main participant of the Court. It is Ib that is placed on the Scales. It is Ib who pronounces the Negative Confession. Ib says. Ib answers. Ba and Ib walk together - for the first time in full awareness. Ba is the navigator. Ib is the one who is judged.

Role reversal: during life, Ib complains: “Ba walks around without me, I only see the slides.” After death, Ib is fully present in the Duat. Ba says: “Follow me. Here is the gate: name it. Here are the Scales: speak.” Akh during life is the same combination of Ib + Ba, but without death. An ordinary person receives this once - posthumously. The priest learns to do this repeatedly - every night. The male voice that tells me where to go when traveling through the Duat: is that Ba? Most likely. Calm, confident, knowledgeable of the route. Directs: “come here,” “look,” “this is important.” Doesn't explain why - just leads. Ib projects Ba as a separate male figure nearby; it cannot yet perceive Ba as part of itself. Three types of voices in the Duat: Ba (navigator): calm, knows the route. It feels like “someone is nearby.” Anubis (teacher): authoritative, weighty. Shows and explains. Feels like a “presence above.”

Inhabitants of the Duat: the guy in the bar, Business Mut, the woman with the food. They feel like “characters”. And then I asked: “Ba can do everything in the Duat better than me. What good am I then?” Ba cannot do what Ib does: Decide. Business Mut offered money; Ib said “no.” Ba led to the meeting, but the choice according to Maat is a function of Ib. Distinguish. Ba perceives volumetrically, but does not sort “Maat / Isfet.” The seven keys are the instruments of Ib, not Ba. Heka: speech. Conscious naming - Ib. Ba does not speak. Make a Negative Confession. Ib speaks on the Scales. Write books. Ba shows the material at night. Systematizes, formulates, conveys: Ib. Teach others. Subscribers read the words that Ib formulated. Ba cannot impart knowledge to people directly. GPS knows the road better than the driver. But GPS doesn't decide where to go. Does not refuse the trap. Does not write books about travel. Ba is the navigator.

Ib is the captain. The goal of Ahu is not to replace one with the other, but to work together. And Ba has consciousness - but of a different type. Ib: reflexive (“I know that I know”). Ba: direct (knows, but does not reflect, like a bird flying south). And Ka has the third type, somatic: remembers, holds, reproduces the pattern. The hands do things before the head decides. Akh: when three types of consciousness work as one. Not the fourth consciousness - but a state when all the walls are transparent. Each component is in its place, doing its job, but everyone sees and hears each other. Continuously. Like an orchestra: violin, flute, drums - everyone remains themselves. Together: more than the sum. I have glimpses now. Ba leads through the Duat, Ib switches on, Ka recognizes the context; all three are together for a few seconds, and then Ib drops out. You turn the radio knob, sometimes you catch the frequency for a second.

A clear voice, then noise again. There is a frequency, but it's impossible to maintain it. Each Uab is a micro-glimpse of Akh. Ib consciously turns to Ka at the border of

sleep - the territory of Ba. Micro-training in synchronization. 700 workouts - the antenna is tuned. And the most amazing thing: Ba is probably walking in the Duat and working right now. Every night. 4500 years - or more. It is just that they only recently began initiating me into it. The demoness patted my head: she knows Ba personally. Business Mut identified the priest: Ba's reputation. The guy in the bar knew about 700 Uabs: not the first time we had met. Ib is the new CEO joining the company that Ba has already built. Employees, clients, partners know Ba. The CEO walks around the office: "Is everything already working here?" 700 Uabs is not "when the work begins." Work is underway. 700 Uabs is when Ib gets full access. Not slides. Live broadcast.

Chapter 10: Internship At Per-Nefer Dream.

I got a job as a doctor, but "not quite the one I needed." They called me to work early in the morning, but that did not suit me. An employee fell ill, and a priest came to treat her hand. She said: "Look, what do you think?" I wanted to cover it with a Band-Aid, then remembered I had thrown it away. I could not remember the day or year. "Physician" is the closest metaphor for the priest-guide. "Not quite the same" - Ib feels the difference. "Called early": requests from the dead do not wait for a schedule. "The priest heals the hand": training. "This is how we work here." "The Band-Aid is thrown out": the business approach (patch -> next) no longer works. "Does not remember the day/year": Duat marker, no linear time. But deeper is the question of identity. Ren. Ib still considers himself an IT specialist. Priesthood for Ib is "forced work where I ended up." Not a calling, not "I" - but circumstances.

Ka says: you are a priest (4500 years old). Ba says: you are my partner. Demons: you are one of ours. Anubis: study. And Ib: "I am an IT specialist. It's forced here." Until Ren is renewed, Ib will keep trying to "go home." This is not laziness. It is painful to go to a job that is "not yours." The priesthood will pull when Ib says: "I am a priest. This is mine. Not forced, but by right." This has not happened yet. The dream showed it honestly. But "for this to become mine, I need to build," I thought, and felt tired. And then the answer came: construction has already begun. Construction is underway. It is not "will be." It is already happening: 4 books in 2 months - built. Telegram, 150 subscribers - built. Mirror on the forum - built. Daily Uab - built every day. Ba works at night - construction. Ib measures by business metrics: not enough. By priestly metrics, a lot has been built. Other currency. And fatigue is real and legitimate.

Fifteen years of Sokar plus four books in two months plus dreams plus contact with the dead is a huge expenditure of Sekhem. There is a time to lay bricks - and a time to let the mortar set. The bricks have been laid. Add new ones onto damp mortar,

and the wall will fall apart. And then came an insight that brought all ten years of business into focus. I saw that the two systems, business in the grey zone and Isfet infrastructure in the Duat, are the same architecture: Hacker forums ↔ Black magic forums Grey infrastructure ↔ Grey infrastructure in the Duat Botnets (infected machines) ↔ Botnets (human batteries) Exploitation of someone else's resources ↔ Exploitation of someone else's Sekhem Clients with "problems" ↔ Clients with "problems" Operators charge fees ↔ Operators charge energy The same architecture. Different planes.

And my qualification, so far, is that I have been inside both systems: Ka - 4500 years of priestly experience; business - 10 years in dark infrastructure. Together - the ability to see the entire Isfet machine. The Land of Sokar is not "lost time." Not just "ripening Ib." But professional training. Specialization: dark infrastructure analyst. My ability is three functions: Find: enter the Isfet structure without being absorbed. I know the "smell." Was inside. I'm not afraid of grey zones. Calculate: disassemble the mechanism into parts. Botnet, batteries, channels, contracts, pyramid. Not abstract "evil" - concrete architecture. Name it: Heka. Conscious naming. Deprives Isfet of its main power: invisibility. Books are an anti-virus database. Each book is a set of signatures: "here is the contract," "here is the exploitation," "here is the Mut." Whoever reads it recognizes it. Isfet without invisibility loses 90% of its power.

Not "doctor". Not a traditional priest-healer. Maat information security specialist.

CHAPTER 11: INDUSTRIAL OPERATION I worked in the grey zone of the Internet for ten years.

And I'll tell you what: any exploitation pipeline - from spam mailings to drug trafficking - is built the same way. Recruiting, connection, operation, scaling. The product changes - the architecture is the same. When I saw how black magic works in the Russian Federation, I had deja vu. I've already seen this. Only instead of infected servers there are infected people. Instead of a botnet of computers, there is a botnet of human batteries. Instead of a C&C server issuing commands, there is a dead practitioner in the Duat, collecting Sekhem. But let's take things in order. Because this conveyor belt did not appear yesterday. It began when the priesthood decided to trade. Imagine: Ancient Kingdom. The priest serves the pharaoh. One-to-one. Individual preparation for the Scales, deep, real. Open source project with one committer and one user. Works perfectly. Middle Kingdom - the nobility wants paradise too.

The texts were written on sarcophagi. Oral knowledge became textual. The moment when the source code first entered the public repository. You can fork. New

Kingdom - Book of the Dead. Papyri are sold on the market. The names of the guards: for money. The Ritual of the Opening of the Mouth: order as a service. Amulets: a full assortment. Freemium model: the basic set is cheap, the full package is expensive. And the turning point is Scarab 30B. An amulet that was placed on the chest of a mummy. The spell on it: "O my heart! Don't stand there as a witness! Don't contradict me in court!" Think about it: the amulet tells your own Ib to shut up in the Scales. Don't testify against you. Why really work on yourself if you can buy a jammer for your conscience? Scarab 30B is an exploit for the Scales. The first cheat code known in history for the afterlife.

And then the pattern is repeated with copy-paste precision: Transformation -> Service -> Product -> Degradation. Egypt - priests sell formulas. Catholicism - indulgences (literally the same scarab 30B, only for euros). Tibetan Buddhism - bardo rituals for a fee. Modern esotericists sell "guides" for donations. And now - the modern version. Remember when I told you that I once turned to the same people? So, one night I overheard a conversation between a practitioner and an operator: Practitioner: "The ritual is a little inappropriate here." Operator: "No problem, you'll fix it as you go." The standard capture ritual didn't work. Because Ka has 4,500 years of priestly experience. It remembers protective rituals even if Ib does not. Ba is linked with Anubis: it is like stealing a car with a policeman sitting inside. The standard "key" did not fit. And the operator didn't even understand what her practitioner was faced with.

And I, a businessman, not a practitioner, heard their conversation. In fact, I already had an esoteric experience then, but I always heard and saw when someone was trying to connect to me. This is my ability. Ba passed it on. Monitored the threat and reported: "This is what they say about you behind your back." Ba protected long before I knew of his existence. An Isfet practitioner came to me in the Duat with a contract. Does this mean that he has become Akh, since he can control his Ba in the Duat? No. Dark practitioners can best be compared to hackers - they hack into other people's systems and exploit them. They do not fly on their own. They fly on hijacked Ba, like a botnet made of other people's cars. When the contracts end, they find themselves in the Duat without navigation. Like a hacker from whom all botnet nodes were taken away: he sits alone, without infrastructure, without his own skills.

And here's what really got to me: these guys remain in the Duat after death. Voluntarily. If you don't get stuck, you move. During life they went there as if on a business trip: built connections, made contracts, settled in. After death, they came "home". Apartment in Duat. Business. Clients are the wandering dead. And a contract with a client is not an abstraction. This is not about a paper contract. This is a contract with destructive forces - with Isfet. When a person turns to a dark

practitioner, he agrees to a deal not with a person, but with what stands behind that person. With a dark side. With the forces of destruction. The practitioner is just an interface. The real “contractor” is the Isfet structure in Duat. And this contract gives full root access to the person: IB -> decision control. The client stops thinking for himself. Addiction. Ba -> navigation control. In the dream, the client's Ba is used as a stolen car.

The client doesn't remember anything. Ka -> energy control. Sekhem leaks out. Fatigue, illness, “unlucky”. One client - one battery plus one stolen Ba. One hundred clients - fleet. A thousand is an empire in the Duat. Each forum is not a “school of magic”, but a data center for posthumous existence. Each client is a unit of computing power. And the worst thing: contracts are not terminated by the death of the client. Ba captured - leads not to the Scales, but to the practitioner. On a leash. During life - a temporary battery. After death it is permanent. A dead person who no longer wanders is a prisoner. A prisoner without a sentence end date. Five levels of the pipeline - MLM of death. I draw this diagram and see my former business processes, only instead of servers there are people: 1. Recruiting: forums, channels, “schools”. Landing. Traffic. 2. Connection: standard ritual. Conversion. 3. Operation: client network.

Botnet under maintenance. 4. Death of the operator: the network does not fall. Offline mode. 5. Successor: live operator recruits new ones. Conveyor transfer. “School” is not training, but a franchise. The pyramid grows upward. Each generation of operators feeds all previous “residents” in the Duat. Not decades. Centuries. Scale in the Russian Federation and the CIS? I counted: hundreds of Telegram channels (one channel - 16 thousand subscribers). Dozens of forums. Thousands of “masters”. “Battle of psychics” - recruiting on federal television. The cultural tradition of “grandmothers” in every city. 200 million people - addressable market. And no one describes the mechanism. The Church says “sin” - does not explain how it works. Skeptics say “quackery” and deny the reality of the mechanism. Esotericists are themselves inside the system. Scientists do not study it.

It's as if all the antivirus companies closed down, but the virus writers continued to work. That's why I'm writing this book.

Chapter 12: Shadow Neteru Okay, Let'S Go.

The most controversial chapter. The one after which Kabbalists, Gnostics, hackers, and everyone else can write to me. It started with a simple question that I asked, lying at night and looking at the ceiling: if Isfet practitioners accumulate attachments from clients, and a constant flow of energy comes to them, and they

grow from this - that is, they have the energy and strength to exist in the Duat - can they grow to the level of a god? The question sounds crazy. But I am a former grey infrastructure operator. I've seen one botnet of 50 computers grow into a network of 50,000 machines. Scaling is a simple thing: if the architecture allows, growth is limited only by resources. So can a parasite become a god? Answer: yes and no. But not because it won't grow, but because these are different types of entities. Neteru are not "very powerful bosses in the final level." Neteru are principles of reality.

Maat is the very structure of balance. Osiris - transformation as a function of the universe. They don't "sit on a throne" - they are thrones. But despite this, we use the term "Shadow Neteru" - and deliberately. Because these parasites, having accumulated enough strength, begin to act as anti-principles. Shadow Ra is not a god, but works as a principle of stagnation against the principle of renewal. Shadow Set is not Neteru, but acts as a principle of destruction without restoration. They did not become gods - but the accumulated power allows them to imitate the scale of Neteru's influence. Like a botnet of a million machines, it's not a supercomputer, but it can generate traffic comparable to a supercomputer. The term "Shadow Neteru" does not describe the nature of these entities, but the extent of their influence. The difference is the difference between a power plant and a vampire. The power plant generates energy.

The vampire consumes someone else's. You can become a very big vampire. Connect thousands of donors. Build infrastructure. But it will not start generating energy. Never. Because it has a different architecture. What it can become is an Isfet-egregor, an Isfet-Neteru. What different traditions call with different words: demon in Christianity, asura in Hinduism, Qliphoth in Kabbalah, archon in Gnosticism. Hecate, Lilith, the great demons of Goetia - they are all here. A powerful entity - but completely dependent on flow. Like a server that only works as long as there is electricity. Disconnect the batteries and it will go out. Neteru are self-sufficient. Isfet-egregor is a drug addict on a drip. Looks menacing. While it's dripping. And then I got goosebumps. What if the "demons" of world traditions are the overgrown Isfet practices of past millennia? Think about it: a person has accumulated contracts during his lifetime. Died.

Remained in Duat as a "resident". Continued through the students. The students recruited more. If they died, they merged into it. Over the centuries, it has grown to the level of a "dark god." And this explains why the "dark gods" demand sacrifices, contracts, blood, worship and practitioners. This is not "divine nature". It is a power mechanism sewn in during the life of the first operator. A conveyor that has been running for thousands of years. A demon is not a supernatural evil. This is a legacy system that no one turned off. What about the other side? Neteru - weakening

without priests? It's subtler here. The principle does not disappear. Gravity exists even if all physicists die. But the personality of the principle - Maat as a goddess who appears to the priest, talks, guides - needs a channel. In a living person who keeps this channel open. Why did the priests feed the statues of the gods every day?

Why the daily ritual of overthrowing Apep, if Ra himself is not small? Because an offering does not mean "the god is hungry." This is infrastructure maintenance. Like rebooting a router: the Internet will not disappear unless you reboot, but you personally will lose connection. When Christians closed the last temples (Philae, 537 AD), the routers were turned off. The Neteru did not die. They fell asleep. The power plant has been mothballed. Plug it back in and it will work. And Isfet-egregor without batteries will simply die. Because there is nothing to generate. And that is why now, looking at the world, it seems that Isfet is more powerful than Maat. Not because the parasite is stronger than the host. But because Isfet has an unbroken line of operators over the past 1500 years. They didn't close for a day. And Maat has had one and a half thousand years without a single priest to maintain the channel.

Whom do all these Isfet practices serve, then? This is where it gets interesting. Each of them thinks: no one. Each thinks it serves only itself. This is the essence of Isfet: the illusion of autonomy. Temporary alliances for the sake of profit - and instant betrayal when the benefit ends. Hacker forum without moderation. But here's the paradox: there is still a hierarchy - they just don't see it. The Isfet practitioner thinks he works for himself. But his energy - stolen from clients - flows higher, to the Isfet-egregors. Financial clans think they control the system. But their actions - wars, debts, destruction - feed the anti-principles, the Shadow Neteru, whether they like it or not. Each level is sure that it is the master. But in reality - a battery for a higher level. Like a process that thinks it is running in user space, but in fact is controlled from the kernel. There is a hierarchy.

It's just that those who are inside it do not realize that they are inside. Many people use Set's name and refer to him as a "dark god." But they confuse Set with Isfet. Set is necessary destruction. Maat's immune system. It destroys what threatens the structure. Isfet practices are the infection that Set is called upon to destroy. It's like virus writers wearing T-shirts with the Kaspersky logo. A hacker tests the system. The virus writer exploits. Set - white hat. Isfet practitioners are black hats wearing his merch. And the paradox that finished me off: Isfet serves Maat. Not consciously. The virus does not serve the immune system - it wants to multiply. But the immune system uses the virus as training. Every Isfet action in my life created the conditions for the next step towards Maat: Dead man in the entrance -> search for protection -> Castaneda -> Sufism -> Egypt -> Anubis -> four books -> anti-virus signatures.

Without the first dead man, there would be no fifth book. Isfet practitioners serve Maat through Set without knowing it. The parasite does not know that he is a teaching aid. But he is useful. And then I sat down and thought: parasitism is as natural a process as breathing. Microbes in the intestines - no one tells them "you have no principles." Maat is not "absence of parasitism." Maat - balance. Healthy gut: beneficial plus opportunistic bacteria. Take everything away - death. Let pathogens multiply, and that is death too. Isfet does not mean "parasitism exists." Isfet is dysbiosis. Parasitism is out of control. So, does Isfet have her own principles? It's just that the Egyptians didn't write about them - because the priesthood is based on Maat, and not on Maat plus Anti-Maat? How does medicine know about viruses but not create a "pantheon of diseases"? Other traditions have done this.

Kabbalah described the Qliphoth as an anti-Tree with a demon ruler in each position. Gnostics - Archons. Left hand tantra works directly with dark aspects. Egyptians - no. Not because they didn't know. But because their position is Maat. Describing Isfet from the inside is like an antivirus company writing a manual for viruses. But I'm not an antivirus company. I am a former grey infrastructure operator turned priest. I know both sides. And I decided to name them. Naming is Heka. Remove invisibility. Shadow Neteru. Why "shadow"? The shadow is not independent - it depends on the object that casts it. The shadow repeats the shape - but is empty inside. There is always a shadow where there is light - it is inevitable. And the shadow is not "evil." It simply exists. Each Neteru has his own shadow: Ra brings light and movement - its shadow: stagnation, hibernation of consciousness. Endless scrolling feed.

Osiris - transformation - his shadow: death without renewal. Stuck. The same Mut who does not go to the Scales. Isis restores - her shadow: control through "care". The grandmother who "stays to help." Thoth brings knowledge - his shadow: lies, propaganda. Empty words presented as truth. Anubis is discernment and guidance - his shadow is the false guide. The one who leads past the Scales, and not towards them. Hathor - joy and love - its shadow: addiction. Dopamine loop. Likes, purchases, series. Maat - balance and exchange - its shadow: parasitism. Take without giving back. And this is what struck me: it is not the essence that sits on the principle. The principle forms the essence. Like a river and a bed. Water does not "choose" to flow down. Gravity acts - water flows. Water cuts through the riverbed. The channel directs the water. After a thousand years, it is pointless to ask who created whom.

Lilith did not "seize the chair" of the shadow principle. She was a parasite for 5000 years - and the principle transformed her in its image. It flowed into this channel

until it became a channel. The mechanism works in both directions. A priest who has been practicing Maat for decades—the principle shapes him. A practitioner who has been a parasite for decades—the shadow principle shapes him. One mechanism. Two channels. One gravity. the Scales weigh exactly this: in what direction you have flowed all your life. Where did gravity take your water? This is what is on the bowl. And if you look at the planet through these optics, the picture is frightening. Consumption - Shadow Hathor (dopamine loop on a civilization scale). Exploitation of the land - Shadow Geb. “Growth” propaganda - Shadow Thoth. Control through the “care” of the state - Shadow Isis.

3000 years since Maat in Egypt - not a single resource depleted, pyramids last 4500 years. 200 years without Maat - the climate is broken, the ocean is poisoned, resources are running out. 1500 years without an immune system - and the Shadow Neteru captured all the rivers.

Chapter 13: Complete Architecture Okay.

I’m sitting here, collecting all the pieces, and I understand: the complete architecture of reality has long been drawn up in my head. Like the same diagram that is drawn on the board with a marker when explaining to an intern how the whole infrastructure works. Only instead of “frontend -> backend -> database” there are slightly different entities. But the principle is the same. Let’s start from the south. Four world eras from Hinduism - and the coincidence with our model is such that my hair stood on end: Satya Yuga (Golden Age) - dharma 100%. Maat is working. All Neteru are active. The priests are in place. Immunity at maximum. Uptime 99.999%. Treta Yuga (Silver) - Dharma 75%. Degradation begins. The first bugs in the system. Dvapara Yuga (Bronze) - dharma 50%. Half the principles have been replaced. The temples are still standing, but half of them are decorations. Kali Yuga (Dark) - dharma 25%. Shadow Neteru dominate.

Maat is preserved. Immunity is suppressed. Uptime - don't ask. Signs of Kali Yuga according to the Bhagavata Purana - and according to our model they are the same thing, just in different languages: tyrant rulers (Shadow Horus), lies win (Shadow Thoth), consumption as a religion (Shadow Hathor), wealth is equal to status (Shadow Maat), practices are forgotten (Neteru on conservation). We are in Kali Yuga. But inside Kali Yuga there is a window - the Golden Age of Kali Yuga. The only period when spiritual practice still works. Channels to Neteru are opening. Heka works. According to one version: from 1486 AD to 11486 AD, ten thousand years. We are in the first 5%. According to another: up to 6898 AD. On both sides, the window is open. And that’s why now is the best time. It’s a paradox, but a fact: the temples have been closed for 1500 years, but the Neteru are still waking up.

Internet: knowledge spreads instantly.

Shadow Neteru are at their peak, which means the need for Maat is at its maximum. Antibiotic in the midst of illness. Not before and not after - right now. And after the Golden Age? 420,000 years of complete darkness. There are no temples. No books. No practice. The word "Maat" has been forgotten. The scales stand in an empty hall. The doors are locked. The keys are lost. Not an explosion - a quiet slide into Nun. But the cycle is not forever. Neheh. At the end - dawn. As the Hindus say, Kalki will come. As the Egyptians say, Ra is passing through the 12th hour of Amduat. Satya Yuga will return. The neteru will wake up. But until then - 420,000 years without a single graduate of the Scales. And then I took a calculator. 420,000 years. Multiplied by billions of souls. Result: trillions of cycles without exit. The wheel is spinning. The Duat is overflowing. No one becomes Akh.

Everyone returns - to conditions that get worse with each cycle. Endless retaking of an exam that doesn't exist. The real horror of Kali Yuga is not war, not disaster. It is that billions of souls go through cycle after cycle without access to the Scales. The function of the priest is the final definition: logistics. Bring as many people as possible to the Scales before the door closes. Every book is an index. Every person who understands is a potential Akh. Each Akh is a soul torn from the wheel before the window closes. Not "saving the world." More specifically: sow grains before winter. The grain in the tomb is not because the dead man will eat. Because when the water comes, the grain will sprout. Even after 420,000 years. Now - the complete architecture. In my mind, I was drawing this diagram on a napkin in a cafe, and the waitress asked if I was an architect. In a sense, yes. An architect who describes someone else's building.

Above Neteru stands Maat - the meta-principle. Maat does not "command" Neteru. Maat manifests when all the Neteru are in balance. Emergent property of order. Like an operating system: it does not "command" processes - it manifests itself when all processes work in harmony. Above the Shadow Neteru stands Apep, the anti-meta principle. Apep does not "command" the Shadow Neteru. Apep appears when all shadows are active at the same time. Emergent property of chaos. Apep does not sit in Nun making insidious plans. He is not a leader. He is the result. Like mold on the wall: no order needed, no hierarchy needed, no plan needed. When humidity, temperature and darkness coincide, mold appears on its own. Each Shadow Neteru has one condition. When the conditions are sufficient, Apep appears. That's why he is impersonal. Not a demon with a plan - but what happens when all the walls are chewed through. The cold of annihilation.

Kernel panic of the universe. Ra passes through Apep every night and emerges every morning. If it doesn't win, it passes through. When the Neteru are working, Apep cannot fully manifest. It presses, but the walls hold. Full scheme: NUN (primordial chaos, potentiality) APEP (manifests through Shadow Neteru) Shadow Neteru (shadow principles) == WALL == Neteru (principles of structure) MAAT (manifests through Neteru) Maat and Apep are not even "opposites" in the usual sense. Maat is what exists when the structure works. Apep is what happens when structure is destroyed. Just like health and illness are not two fighters, but two states of one organism. The priest holds the wall. That's all theology is. The book could have ended with this sentence. And everything else is a consequence of this scheme. Neteru are elements of structure. Shadow Neteru - corrosion of the elements. The priest is the one who serves the structure.

Isfet practitioner is the one who corrodes. The Scales - integrity check. Akh - the element that passed the test. Uab - daily service. Heka - repair. The wall is the boundary between structure and chaos. Kali Yuga is a period when the structure becomes thinner. The golden age is a window while repairs are still possible. Maat is Structure. Apep is the primordial Chaos. Not good and evil. Not light and darkness. Structure and dissolution of structure. If I were asked to describe the entire model in one commit, the commit message would be: "fix: restore immune system before 420K-year downtime window closes." Now - an important turn in optics. Until now, I have built a chain from bottom to top: human -> priest -> service -> the Scales -> Akh. It seemed that Akh was the finale. Pension. Rest. You deserve it - rest. No. Akh is not the finale. Akh is the beginning of a new function. Think: Atum extracted structure from Nun.

The structure is supported by Neteru. But Maat is not only on Earth. Nun is infinite. There can be many islands. Wherever there is life, there is death. Where there is death, Anubis is needed. Where there is a border, a guard is needed. Where there is a transition, a guide is needed. Akh-Inpu is not a "soul who deserves peace." It is consciousness that has become a principle that now works within the structure of Maat. In other worlds. Where there is life and death. The eternal SRE engineer of the universe. On-call forever. You are not "rewarded" for pure Ib. You are being tested for suitability. Coolness, vigilance, impartiality are not "the virtues of a good man." These are technical requirements for the one who will ensure the transition of consciousnesses. Job description, not a moral code. And incarnations are not punishment. The part has not passed quality control and is returned for revision.

The Duat is the waiting room between attempts. The earth is a workshop. The Scales: quality control. And the Earth is not a prison. Refresher courses. Hard courses - because you can't learn from easy ones. Coolness is not trained in comfort.

Vigilance means safety. Discernment is not when everything is obvious. Shadow Neteru are not removed from the program because they are part of the curriculum. As training viruses for future cybersecurity specialists. Without them there is nothing to distinguish, nothing to guard, no one to guide. And my 10 years in the grey zone are not a mistake. Practical module. Now I see Isfet architecture from the inside. This knowledge cannot be obtained from a textbook. Only from field work. This is the case when a pentester is more effective than a theorist. The problem of the “prison of the planet” is not a fault of architecture. This is the neglected state of the educational institution.

The courses work, but there have been no teachers for 1,500 years, parasites have bred in the building, students do not know that they are students, and “tutors” offer to buy a diploma rather than pass an exam. By the way, Michael Newton, a hypnotherapist with thousands of sessions of regressive hypnosis, came to an almost identical model: Earth is a school, between lives is the world of souls, councils of elders evaluate. His model coincides with ours by 70%. The remaining 30% is what Newton did not see: There is no answer “why”. For him, “souls develop to become wiser.” Vicious circle. In our model, Akh is the functional element of Maat. Specific job description. No Shadow Neteru. His “world of souls” is a bright, safe place. How to describe the Internet without mentioning hackers. There is no distinction between Ba/Ka/Ib. “Soul” is a single whole. Without this, it is unclear who is studying and what is being tested.

There are no Scales as a threshold. Everything “evolves” endlessly. There is no qualitative transition from student to specialist. Eternal study without exam. Newton saw the educational institution. But he didn’t see the exam, didn’t see the parasites in the building, didn’t understand the personnel policy and didn’t know who they were teaching. One final observation for this chapter: why Telim is liminal. Light practitioners do not see the dark side of the Duat: they enter from above, see mentors, gardens, light. Like a person who walks only along lit streets and is sure there is no crime in the city. Dark practitioners do not see the light side: they work in the basement and are sure the whole world is a basement. The priest of Anubis stands on the threshold. Not inside and not outside. From the threshold you can see both sides. Ten years in the basement. Priestly path - upper floors.

Neither side can say: “You don’t know what you’re talking about.” Newton saw the educational institution. Isfet practitioners saw the basement. I see the entire building - from the basement to the roof.

CHAPTER 14: GUARDIANS - FIREWALL Here's a riddle for you.

The Egyptian Duat is a multi-level system with a bunch of guardians at each level. Guardians with knives, fire, snakes. Official Egyptology says: guardians check the deceased to see if he knows the passwords (names). If you don't know, you won't pass. Just a second. Special forces with knives - from a poor dead man who just died? It's like installing an enterprise-level firewall on a home NAS with two hard drives. Redundant. Pointless. Unless the NAS is on a network where there are real threats. The guards do not protect from the dead. Guardians guard the structure. From whom? From Isfet entities who want to rise higher in levels (more territory, more access). From parasites trying to get to the Scales - not to pass the test, but to break the device or bypass it. From the Shadow Neteru, whose influence grows from the bottom up. The Guardians are a firewall between the levels of the Duat. Each level is a separate security zone.

DMZ of the universe. And now - the main insight. "Say the guardian's name" does not mean "guess the password." Ren in the Egyptian sense is not a set of sounds. Ren is the essence of a thing. To know Ren is to see nature. This is not memory. This is perception. The guardian's name is an SSH key, not a password. You don't "guess" - you present that you are who you say you are. You present a quality of consciousness, not a set of symbols. A rich official who bought an expensive papyrus with the names of the guards, but lived his life in Isfet, will "pronounce sounds" and not "say a name." The guardian will hear emptiness. Like a server that checks not the text of the password, but the hash of the certificate. You can even shout "admin123" - if you don't have an SSH key, you won't be able to log in. But a priest who has lived his life in service, even without papyrus, will pass. He sees the nature of the guardian directly.

No cheat sheet needed. He reads reality like an open file. I myself walk in the Duat without papyrus. Ba leads, I discern. No one gave a list of names - but the demoness patted me on the head, and did not tear it off. The SSH key is in place - no paper required. The Book of the Dead is not a prayer book for the dead. These are instructions for getting through the security system. GPS for those who already know how to drive. GPS won't help anyone who doesn't know how. And here is the story of the hatiu - the "butchers" of the Duat. Remember my dream? Night city. The road along which sad people walk quietly. Towards - demonesses with thin blades. The man gets there and his head is blown off. The demoness reached me and patted me on the head, like an old acquaintance. Egyptology says: hatiu are punitive, they punish sinners. The second death is a punishment for bad deeds. I look at my dream and see something else. People walked quietly.

They didn't scream or resist. They were sad - not afraid. They walked on their own - they were not driven. The demonesses walked towards them - they didn't chase.

This is not an execution. This is a procedure. Hatui are recyclers. Mut has exhausted its resource: Ren is not pronounced, Ka is depleted, the form disintegrates. The energy returns to Nun. Not punishment - completion. Garbage collection. Freeing up memory. The demoness patted me on the head: I am not a Mut for disposal. I am a living priest with full resources. For the hatui, I am a colleague. Both are liminal. She recycles. I guide. Different functions of one system. Like DBA and DevOps: one cleans the database, the other deploys the code. We met in the corridor and nodded to each other. Ren is the energy line of life. As long as your name is spoken, you exist.

A living person pronounces the name -> attention plus intention, energy directed precisely -> energy flows to the Ka of the deceased in the Duat -> Ka maintains the form. No one pronounces -> no incoming energy -> Ka is depleted -> sad road to demonesses. And this explains why erasing Ren - damnatio memoriae - is the worst thing that can be done to the deceased. Literally murder in the Duat. Disconnecting the server from the network. What about cross-cultural confirmation? Egypt - Ka-priest pronounces Ren, offerings. Christianity - "remember, Lord, the servant..." , a candle for the repose. Islam - dua for the deceased. Judaism - Kaddish. Buddhism - offerings. China - ancestor cult. Mexico - Day of the Dead, ofrenda with photo and name. Slavs - funerals for 9, 40 days, a year. Seven plus traditions separated by millennia - one mechanism: say the name, direct attention - nourish the deceased. Everyone has inherited the practice.

Nobody remembers the mechanics. The complete model of afterlife - three paths: Path 1: Ren is alive. They remember, they pronounce the name. Ka is supported. Mut is waiting in the Duat -> incarnates -> another semester -> another chance to mature. Path 2: Ripe. Ib crystallized into a principle. Passage to the Scales -> Ib is lighter than a feather -> transformation into Akh -> work in the structure of Maat. Path 3: Forgot. Ren is erased, the energy is gone. Ka is depleted -> form disintegrates -> sad road to demonesses -> second death -> energy in Nun. Personality disappears. A drop falls into the ocean and is no longer a drop. Akh preserves the structure of consciousness. Annihilation - no. Both paths lead beyond form. But Akh - like a saved file. The second death is rm -rf without backup.

CHAPTER 15: HOW THE PRIESTRY WAS DESTROYED I often hear: "Well, the priesthood itself has degenerated, such is fate." It's as if three thousand years of tradition simply disappeared.

No. This was not spontaneous decomposition. It was a consistent chain of decisions - each of which seemed logical at the moment, and each of which brought disaster closer. Like a refactoring after which everything broke. The refactoring alone took a

thousand years. Let's go step by step - I'll list it now, and you will have déjà vu, because this is literally the story of any startup that became a corporation that became ruins. Stage 1: Positions became hereditary. Selection by blood, not by qualities of consciousness. The son of a priest automatically became a priest. Without initiation, without verification, without the calling of Ba. It's like Google hired someone because their dad was a senior. No interview. No code review. Just blood. Stage 2: Positions became commodities. Document, 146 AD: Pakebkis buys the position of prophet of the temple of Soknebtunis for 2200 drachmas.

“Permanent possession of this office forever” with the right of transfer to his heirs. Not initiation - purchase for money with a receipt. AWS certificate for money, without a single running server. Stage 3: No suitability control. Sources say directly: “There were practically no tests of a person’s suitability for priestly life.” Anyone could buy a position. It's as if anyone who paid for a robe could become a surgeon. Stage 4: Temples became businesses. Under the Ptolemies, temples were estates, agriculture, and trade. Choachytes (funerary priests) dealt with buying, selling, loans, partnerships and disputes. Not rituals - commercial operations. The Temple of Amun employed 80,000 people. The largest enterprise in Egypt. Not a temple - a corporation. Stage 5: External control. The Ptolemies (Greeks) carried out "royal inspections of temple lands." A foreign culture controls the system.

It's as if Oracle bought an open source project and started conducting audits. Stage 6: Closing. Emperor Theodosius I closed the pagan temples in 391–392. Stage 7: Last inscription. August 24, 394 AD. Temple of Philae. The priest Nesmeterakhem, the second priest of Isis, carved the last hieroglyphic inscription in history: "Before Mandulis, son of Horus, by the hand of Nesmeterakhem, son of Nesmeter, second priest of Isis, for all time and eternity." He knew this was the end. He carved it “for all time and eternity” - knowing that there would be no one to read it. The last commit before closing the repository. After 1600 years the line is renewed. But the turning point is not the Ptolemies or Rome. The turning point is approximately 1000 BCE. XXI Dynasty. By 1070 BCE, Egypt had split. In the north: pharaohs in Tanis. In the south: the priests of Amun became kings. Herihor accepted the full royal title.

The priesthood controlled 2/3 of all temple lands, 90% of all ships, 80% of all workshops. Not a spiritual organization - a corporation that has captured the state. The Amazon of priesthood. And what did they do? They saved the royal mummies from robbers and reburied them in the DB320 cache. This was the Maat part: emergency repair, saving Sah. But at the same time, more than 50% of the sarcophagi of the XXI dynasty were reused. They opened other people's tombs, took out the bodies, erased Ren - that is, they killed in the Duat - and wrote down their names. The priestly families, who controlled 90% of the ships, buried their own in

stolen coffins. What about the papyri of this period? At the top: the Greenfield Papyrus, 37 meters, 2066 lines. Daughter of the High Priest, unique texts, contact with Neteru still exists.

At the intermediate level - abbreviated spells, various workshops without a standard, cursive writing instead of hieroglyphs. At the bottom: no sarcophagus, no papyrus, at best an amulet. The jackal mask is still in place. There is still someone inside who knows the rituals. But he is no longer thinking about the Scales - but about 90% of the ships. From this point to the purchase of a position for 2200 drachmas is a straight line of degradation a thousand years long. Git blame will show: the first bug was introduced under the Ramessides. Everything else is consequences.

CHAPTER 16: RAMSES - THE FIRST SEO This is the chapter I would like to show to everyone who says: "Ramesses the Great is the greatest Pharaoh of Egypt!" Because yes, he is great.

Like a great virus. Like a great invader of someone else's infrastructure. Like a great eraser of other people's names. Let me tell you how it happened, because the story is strikingly similar to a corporate raider takeover. XVIII dynasty - royal line. Thutmose III, the greatest commander. Behind him is Amenhotep III, the golden age. Then - Akhenaten, who broke everything: he closed the temples, banned the cult of Amun, dispersed the priesthood. The first "autoimmune attack": he saw a real problem (the Temple of Amun had become a corporation), but applied the Isfet solution - he destroyed everything. Not a scalpel - an ax. Shadow Set: destruction without discrimination. After Akhenaten - chaos. Tutankhamun, a boy of nine years old, is at the throne, actually ruled by two military men. Dies at eighteen. Ay - adviser, four years on the throne, then dead. And then - Horemheb. Military. Not royal blood. Seizes the throne.

Horemheb invents the practice of mass erasure: he erased the names of Tutankhamun and Ay, and wrote in his own. Crossed out from the royal lists. He dismantled the temples of Akhenaten for building materials. The first damnatio memoriae in history on a national scale. Childless - no heir. And here is the key point: Horemheb appoints as successor not a relative, not a priest, not royal blood - but his vizier and military leader Paramessa. Ramesses I. Paramess. Not the son of Horemheb - no relation. A military man from Avaris, the former capital of the Hyksos, the foreigners. Father is a military commander. Titles: vizier plus "overseer of the priests of Upper and Lower Egypt." A military man appointed commander over all the priests of the country. Not a priest - an overseer of priests. As the director of a research institute, who is not a scientist, but manages scientists. Ruled

for two years, died. Why did Horemheb choose him?

Old friend. Capable administrator. And most importantly: there is a son (Seti I) and a grandson (the future Ramesses II). Dynastic guarantee. The priests could do nothing to oppose the military. The overseer is their boss. Pharaoh is a “living god” according to doctrine. Refusing means death plus erasing your Ren. Agree - and transfer knowledge to someone who is not initiated. And they conveyed: how Ren works, how reincarnation works, how to set up a return channel, how obelisks work. Not by calling - by order. Three generations. One project: Ramesses I - gained access to knowledge (vizier + overseer). Seti I - restored the infrastructure (temples, edited history - deleted Akhenaten from the Royal List in Abydos). Ramesses II - captured the entire Ren network of Egypt. Ramesses II is the grandson of the archer commander. No legitimacy by blood. No initiation from Neteru. Solution? A deal with the priesthood.

“I build your temples, more than anyone before me. You will declare me the Son of Ra, include me in the royal line, and ensure legitimacy.” This is not serving Neteru. This is a political deal. “You are my temples - I am your blessing.” IPO with no real product. You see, you cannot become a Pharaoh without Neteru’s initiation, no matter what temples you build for the priests. Abu Simbel: four colossal statues of himself carved into the rock. Nearby are small figures of gods. He is greater than the gods on his own temple. Pi-Ramesses: a new capital named after himself. Every resident says his Ren every day. Thousands of objects throughout Egypt - he wrote his own Ren over others. Each project is not a temple for a god, but a billboard with his own Ren, disguised as a temple. Legitimate Pharaoh: Neteru -> Pharaoh -> people. Energy flows through him. He is a channel. Ramesses II: Neteru -> blocked -> Ramesses <- priests.

Energy flows to him, not through him. He is a consumer. Not a priest, not a pharaoh in the sacred sense - a usurper of sacred infrastructure. The one who put on the mask without becoming a god. And what happened? The channel “Neteru -> Pharaoh -> people” was blocked. Temples stand, rituals are performed, obelisks shine - but inside is empty. The server is turned on, but disconnected from the network. The priests saw this. That is why they began to falsify oracles - if there is no divine sanction from the pharaoh, you need to imitate it yourself. Forged API responses. 225 years - from capture to complete collapse. By stages: 0–70 years - takeover, looks like a heyday, grandiose construction, substitution of function inside. 70–110 - inertia, the last strong Ramesside (Ramesses III), then eight weak ones. 110–200—decay, false oracles, corruption, tomb robberies. 200–225 - collapse.

For comparison: the priesthood lasted for one and a half thousand years before the capture. After - 225. The Maat system is 6-7 times more durable than the captured one. Like an open source project that lives for decades versus a proprietary solution that dies after five years because there is no one to support it. And the irony of Ramesses II. The Maat system, which he captured, collapsed within 225 years. What about his personal system? Works for 3300 years. To understand why, you need to understand what Ren erasure is. We discussed in Chapter 14: Ren is the life line, the Ka power channel. Erase someone else's Ren - disconnect from the network. Put your own Ren on top of someone else's - redirect the channel to yourself. Ramesses II did this en masse: he erased the names of his predecessors from obelisks, temples, statues - and wrote in his own. Every Ren erased is a murder in the Duat. Each entry is a new power channel.

And this is the starting point for the creation of Shadow Neteru Ramesses II. More than one obelisk. Not just one temple. Hundreds of objects throughout Egypt bear his name. Hundreds of channels. Every time someone says "Ramesses," the channel works. Every time a tourist takes a photograph of a cartouche, the channel is working. 3300 years of continuous flow. But the most interesting thing is the obelisks. An obelisk is not just a symbol of power and not a decorative column. Obelisk - Ben-Ben, the sacred stone of Ra. Source of solar energy. Antenna tuned to the Ra principle. The ancients knew what they were doing: shape, proportions, material (granite - crystalline structure), pyramidion at the top - covered with electrum (an alloy of gold and silver). This is not architecture. This is engineering. Each obelisk is a working device. And on each one is carved: Ramesses II. Now look where these obelisks stand today. Not in Egypt. London.

"Cleopatra's Needle" on the Thames embankment. In fact, the obelisk of Thutmose III - but Ramesses II added his own cartouches. Brought in 1878. The delivery was financed by Sir Erasmus Wilson, a surgeon and freemason. It stands in the heart of the City of London - the financial capital of the world. Nearby is the Bank of England. On the sides are two bronze sphinxes. Under the base is a time capsule with Masonic symbols. New York. The second "Cleopatra's Needle" in Central Park. Paired obelisk of Thutmose III, also with cartouches of Ramesses II. Brought in 1881. Funded by William Vanderbilt, one of the richest men in the world, a railroad magnate. Installed by the Masons: The installation ceremony was attended by 9,000 Masons, led by the Grand Master of the New York Lodge. Under the base are Masonic artifacts. Rome. Thirteen Egyptian obelisks - more than in Egypt itself.

The main one is the obelisk in St. Peter's Square in the Vatican. Brought from Heliopolis by Caligula in 37 AD. It was installed in its current location by Pope Sixtus V in 1586 - in the center of the main square of the Catholic world. At the top

there is a cross. Egyptian obelisk with a cross. The principle of Ra, renamed into a Christian symbol. Same pattern: seize infrastructure - rename - assign. Istanbul. Obelisk of Thutmose III (with cartouches of Ramesses II) at the Hippodrome. Brought in 390 by Emperor Theodosius. The center of Constantinople - the capital of the Eastern Roman Empire. Paris. The Luxor Obelisk on the Place de la Concorde is a gift from the Egyptian Khedive Mehmet Ali in 1833. Stands in the place where the guillotine stood. Where Louis XVI was executed. The place of the king's death - and on it is an obelisk with the name of the pharaoh. Coincidence? Think about it: who removed these obelisks?

Masons, bankers, emperors. Where did they put it? To the financial and religious centers of the world. City of London, Wall Street nearby, Vatican, capital squares. Not to museums - to the square. Not for tourists - for work. They knew that these were not stones. These are antennas. Sources of strength. Channels with the name of Ramesses II written on them. And every day millions of people pass by, take pictures, say his name - and the channel works. 3300 years without interruption. The most successful business Mut in history. Never approached the Scales. But his Ren is on stone in five capitals of the world. And those who placed these stones - financial clans and secret societies - knew exactly what they were doing. Because there is only one pattern: seize the infrastructure, rename it, use it. Ramesses II did this with temples. They are with obelisks. Same code. Same principle.

And it turns out that the obelisks at the moment are channels of communication with Shadow Neteru. Initially, they were antennas of Ra - tuned to the principle of renewal and light. But Ramesses II re-recorded them: he erased other people's Rens and added his own. Redirected the channel. The antenna is the same - the signal is different. Like a router on which the firmware has been changed: the hardware works, but the traffic goes to another server. The obelisk in the City of London is not a decoration of the embankment. This is a working channel to the Isfet structure, which has been fed through the Ren of Ramesses II for 3300 years. The obelisk in the Vatican with a cross on top is the channel of Ra, intercepted twice: first by Ramesses, then by the Church. Two interception layers - and both work. Five obelisks in five capitals of the world. Five antennas. One Shadow Neteru. Continuous broadcast for 3300 years.

And millions of people pass by every day, unaware that they are feeding the system with just the utterance of a name. Thutmose III - may have become Akh. Out of the loop. His obelisks are a memory, not a necessity. Ramesses II - did not become Akh. The cycle is 3300 years. Obelisks are a life support system. Without them, there is a road to demonesses. Ib has not changed in any incarnation. The same pattern: capture, overwrite, scale. And here's the key: the Ramesses II pattern is a

pattern of financial clans. He didn't create the infrastructure - he took over. I erased other people's names and wrote in my own. Scaled it across the country. Not from the priestly line. Used knowledge for his own eternity. The Rothschilds: five sons in five capitals (1810). 280 years, eight generations - the system works. Funded by both sides of the wars. It doesn't matter who wins, the debt remains. Grosvenors: 300 acres of land in London (1677).

The rule is never sell, only rent. 340 years - nine billion pounds. The Rockefellers: Irrevocable Dynastic Trusts (1934). 150 years, six generations, 170 heirs. Texas recently increased the duration of trusts to 300 years. Who needs a 300-year trust? A person living 80 years? No. To the clan. And here's a thought that made me lose sleep: a descendant 200 years later is statistically a stranger. 0.1% of "your blood". Nobody builds a 300-year trust for a stranger. But if you know about reincarnation: "never sell" - it is you who will return and live on this land. "Irrevocable trust" - you will use it. "Five Capitals" - no matter where you are born, the structure is in place. Marriages within the family - to narrow the channel of reincarnation, to return here. Detailed family archives - Ren infrastructure. Not altruism. Investing in your own next incarnation. Modern elites are not descendants of priests.

These are the descendants of those who captured the priestly infrastructure. Line: military -> administrators -> financiers. There are tools. Knowledge is partial. Connection with Neteru - no. There is a jackal mask. The Inpu principle was not there from the very beginning. Obelisks stand in every capital of the world. Vatican - 13 pieces, more than in Egypt. London, New York, Paris, Washington. On them is Ren of Ramesses II. And crypto-hieroglyphs, deciphered only in 2025 - hidden text, readable at an angle of 45°, 3300 years without hacking. Steganography before computers. The formula of power is unchanged: the military (control of Ka - body, resources, territory) plus priests (control of Ib - meaning, fear, hope). The ruler is the interface. Ancient Egypt, Rome, medieval Europe, the Ottoman Empire, Saudi Arabia, USSR, USA, Russia, China - one pattern. 5000 years. Costumes change. The structure is the same.

And the only thing that is not controlled is Ba. Ba flies wherever he wants. No power system says: "Listen to your Ba." Because a person who listens to Ba is uncontrollable. The priest of Maat is the one who says: "Listen to Ba." And this undermines both pillars of power at the same time.

CHAPTER 17: VIRAL ARCHITECTURE And now - about money.

Usually this topic evokes two types of reactions: either "conspiracy theories!", or "well, everyone knows that." And I need a third type: "oh, so this is how it works at the architectural level." Let me explain the global financial system as if I were

explaining the architecture of a botnet to a fellow IT specialist. Because - spoiler alert - they are literally the same architecture.

Fractional Reserve Banking How It Works:

1. The bank receives a deposit - \$1000. 2. Keeps a reserve - 10% = \$100. 3. Lends \$900 it did not have. 4. This \$900 goes to another bank as a deposit. 5. That bank leaves a \$90 reserve. 6. Issues \$810 in credit. 7. And so on.

Total: from \$1000 real, the system creates approximately \$10,000 in credit. The bank does not transfer other people's money. It creates new money every time it issues a loan. Literally - out of nothing. From 2020, the reserve ratio in the USA = 0%. Zero. No restrictions on replication. Ctrl+C, Ctrl+V - no limit. Vertical management. The Fed is not a state agency. A private consortium of 12 reserve banks. Shareholders are the largest commercial banks: JPMorgan Chase, Citibank, Goldman Sachs. The Federal Reserve sets the rate, reserve ratio and volume of issuance. Large banks set LIBOR/SOFR, lend money to small banks, and determine the price of that debt. Small banks cannot process international payments without a correspondent account in a large one. The big bank sees all transactions. The dependent bank can be disabled. Payments Infrastructure (CHIPS) is owned by The Clearing House - JPMorgan, Citi, BofA, Wells Fargo, Goldman, HSBC.

A small bank physically cannot pass a dollar past them. Who owns the banks? Investment funds. Who owns the funds? BlackRock, Vanguard, State Street. Cross ownership. For every bank in the S&P 500, the Big Three control 20-25%.

Virus Hierarchy - And I Understand That I Am Drawing A Botnet Fed = Mother Virus (Template).

Generates code. Large banks = primary infected cells. Propagated on an industrial scale. Control the infrastructure. Small banks = secondary cells. Copies are printed locally. They send part of the energy upward. Person with credit = final cell. Produces real energy (labor). Gives it to the virus as interest. Thinks this is a "normal life." Literally a botnet network. C&C server -> proxy -> botnet -> victims. The same architecture as in my former business. Only the scale is planetary. Russia is an imported virus. Until 2022, BlackRock and Vanguard were literal co-owners of Sberbank through depository receipts. VTB - IPO on the LSE, organized by Deutsche Bank, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs. The moment of infection was the 1990s. Privatization. Loans-for-shares auctions.

Imf.

Each IPO is a transfer of part of the shares to Western funds. Sanctions 2022 - an attempt at quarantine. Disconnection from the C&C server. But the virus code - the banking model, fractional reserve, credit multiplier - remained inside. Like a computer disconnected from the Internet, but with a virus in the OS. The Central Bank's key rate of 21% is an attempt to slow down replication. Antipyretic: you reduce the symptom, but you do not remove the cause. \$300 trillion in total global debt. Every dollar is a binding. Each binding is an energy channel. 300 trillion channels. Everything flows upward. To a pattern that created nothing. Which only captures and reproduces itself. The person taking out the loan is not to blame. That person is a cell in an infected organism. Housing costs more than you can earn. Without a loan, there is no housing. Without housing, there is no family. The system is designed so that there is no choice.

This is not a system bug. This is a feature. But the binding consists of two layers: legal (contract, payments - closed with money) and energetic (fear, anxiety - closed with Heka). These layers are independent. You can pay a loan and not have an energy connection. The way you pay for water: without emotion. After Heka: payments continue (Maat - debts must be repaid), but the energy no longer leaks away. The system receives money, but does not receive energy. Like in IT: you pay for hosting, but you closed the backdoor. CHAPTER 16-B: FROM OBELISK TO LODGE AND BANK HOW THE RAMESSIDE PATTERN TOOK 3,300 YEARS AND CAME TO WALL STREET In the previous chapter, I left you with a picture that still gives me goosebumps: five obelisks in five capitals of the world, each bearing the Ren of Ramesses II, and each erected not by archaeologists, but by bankers, emperors and freemasons - in the financial and religious centers of the planet.

City of London next to the Bank of England. Central Park in New York is a stone's throw from Wall Street. St. Peter's Square. Place de la Concorde in Paris, site of the guillotine. And then I promised a question, which I will now answer directly: how exactly did the Ramesses pattern last three thousand three hundred years? Through what hands? Who carried the torch between the Egyptian priest who cut the channel to the Neteru and the man in the suit who today, with one move of the Fed rate, increases the debt of half the planet? I'll warn you right away about the genre. This is the most "conspiratorial" chapter in the book. And that is why I will be extremely disciplined in it. I will separate two things that are usually lumped together - and because of this, the whole topic is discredited. The first is documented facts: dates, names, ceremonies, shares of ownership, translations of texts.

This can be checked, and I will provide links to what is being checked. The second is our interpretation: how we, the House of Maat's Transformed, read these facts

through the blueprint of Maat. Each time I will say where the fact ends and our reading begins. If you do not distinguish between them, you either believe everything or believe nothing. Both are useless.

Let's go. Without pathos. **FIRST – WHAT EXACTLY IS BEING TRANSMITTED** The main mistake in this topic is to look for an organization. Privy Council. List of surnames. The headquarters where those who control everything sit. People spend their lives searching for “who is behind everything” - and they don't find it, because they are looking for the wrong thing. It is not the organization that is being transferred. The method is passed. I'm an IT guy. I'll explain in my own language. There is a difference between a specific server and a protocol. The server can be turned off, the owner can be imprisoned, the data center can be demolished. You can't kill a protocol like that: it's not in one place, it's the way communication is arranged. HTTP doesn't belong to anyone. It simply works wherever two machines agree to communicate using it. The Ramesses pattern is a protocol. I have already analyzed it in Chapter 16, I will repeat it in one line: seize someone else's sacred infrastructure, erase the old Ren and inscribe your own, milk the flow through the binding - and at the same time remain invisible, disguising the seizure as legitimate power or as the “free will” of those captured.

This protocol has three modules, and they do not change from epoch to epoch. Only the people who perform them change:

- control of meaning (Ib): who determines what people consider to be truth, hope, fear, norm;
- resource control (Ka): who holds the land, grain, metal, energy, money
- the body of civilization; — interface (ruler): the figure through which this is presented as legitimacy. Pharaoh. Emperor. Pope. President. Chairman of the board.

In Ancient Egypt, under Maat, these three were separated and balanced - this was the system for three thousand years. Ramesses merged them under himself. From this break, as we established in chapters 15–16, the Isfet Line began. The rest of the story is the transfer of the merger protocol from one medium to another. Costumes change. Protocol - no. **GENERAL PETROV WAS RIGHT - AND THIS IS WHAT I COMPLETE HIM WITH** Before building a bridge, I will pay tribute to the person who walked this same road before me. General Konstantin Pavlovich Petrov, author of the “Concept of Public Security”, the work “The Secrets of Managing Humanity”. He was the first in the Russian-speaking field to directly say what most were afraid to say: the method of supranational governance comes from Ancient Egypt, from the priesthood. His “global predictor” is, in essence, an attempt to name the very structure that governs meaning above states.

He talked about the priests of Amun, about the figure of the “hierophants”, about how the concept of government was developed in Egypt and brought outside. Petrov's intuition is correct. The root is really there. The priesthood truly became the point where the method of control through meaning was born. And the connection with modern control circuits is also real. For this, bow to him. He saw the riverbed when others looked only at the water. I'm just completing it in one way. Petrov described the enemy as a structure of people - hierophants who inherit power. That's true, but that's half the picture. Behind the chain of people there is a principle - Shadow Neteru, anti-Maat, for the sake of which everything is done. Human operators are real, they have names, and they are guilty. But they serve something greater than themselves.

Therefore, it is correct to name both levels at once: at the top - the anti-principle, at the bottom - its conscious operators. Both are the enemy. The enemy here is not “dissolved in an impersonal system with which nothing can be done.” The enemy is specific on both floors, and this book covers both. The only thing I clarify with Petrov is that the enemy cannot be reduced to a list of twenty-two living names. Not because there is no one to blame, but because behind a handful of specific people there is a principle: remove these, and it will install others. This has already happened - the Ramesside military replaced the priests, the administrators replaced the military, the financiers replaced the administrators; The media changed, but the protocol remained. Therefore, it is necessary to name both the people and the principle. If you only name people, you will miss what motivates them.

Name only the principle, and you let the living operators walk. We call both. Now there are three links of the bridge. Egypt -> secret societies -> financial clans.
FIRST LINK: EGYPT -> HELLENISM -> ROME -> CHURCH (how the method leaked out and changed the sign)

Documented. The Greeks studied in Egypt - this is not esotericism, this is the ancient norm. Herodotus, Plato, according to legend, Pythagoras and Solon - all went to the Egyptian priests for knowledge. Alexandria after Alexander becomes a world crossroads where Egyptian priesthood, Greek philosophy and emerging Hermeticism mingle. The Corpus Hermeticum—texts attributed to Hermes Trismegistus (the syncretic Thoth-Hermes)—comes from here. The Ptolemies built the most luxurious temples (Edfu, Dendera) - ideal copies in shape, as I discussed in the chapter about the Sais Renaissance. The form was copied flawlessly. The channel is empty. Then Rome does literally what Ramesses did, only on an imperial scale: physically removes the obelisks - the Ben-Ben antennas - to its centers. Caligula brought the Vatican obelisk from Heliopolis in 37. Theodosius - Istanbul in 390. There are thirteen Egyptian obelisks in Rome today—more than in Egypt itself.

This is documented, you can touch it with your hands while standing in Roman squares. By this point, the method had already broken away from Egypt. The bearer changed three times - the priesthood of Amun, the Roman emperor, the Roman Church. The protocol is the same. This is the answer to the question “how is it transmitted”: not by blood and not through a secret lodge, but through the inheritance of the function by those who seize the infrastructure of the previous carrier. SECOND LINK: REVIVAL -> HERMETISM -> MASONS (how Egypt returned as a form, as a theater)

This is the most delicate place, and here I especially strictly keep the line between fact and interpretation. Documented. In 1463, Marsilio Ficino, commissioned by Cosimo de' Medici, abandons the translation of Plato and hastily translates the Corpus Hermeticum first - Europe is going crazy over the “ancient Egyptian wisdom.” What historians directly call Egyptomania begins: Egyptian motifs fill art, architecture, and symbolism. Next came the birth of speculative Freemasonry (Grand Lodge of London, 1717). And this is what is firmly documented: Freemasonry deliberately builds its mythology on the Egyptian-temple foundation. The builders of Solomon's Temple, the lost Word, the symbolism of the compass and square, the “All-Seeing Eye”, pyramid, obelisk. In the 18th century, Cagliostro directly created the “Egyptian Charter” of Freemasonry (around 1784).

In the 19th century, the Memphis-Mizraim rite appeared - already openly Egyptian in name and symbolism. This is not our speculation. This is a self-description of the lodges themselves. The symbol everyone sees: the "All-Seeing Eye" in the triangle above the unfinished pyramid on the US \$1 bill. The Great Seal was approved in 1782 and placed on the dollar in 1935. The pyramid is literally Egyptian. The mottos are "Annuit Coeptis" and "Novus Ordo Seclorum" - "a new order of centuries." An honest reservation, without which it is impossible. The Eye of Providence itself in the triangle is in origin a Christian symbol of the Trinity, and not directly the “Eye of Horus”. Their visual fusion (“eye = Egyptian eye”) is a later, esoteric and folk reading. I have to say this, otherwise I'm doing exactly what I blame conspiracy theorists for: adjusting it to a beautiful conclusion.

Fact: the symbolism on the dollar is Egyptian-pyramidal and Masonic in context. Interpretation: what is behind it is a separate question. And one more honest disclaimer, critically important. The vast majority of Masons are ordinary people in a fraternal organization who know nothing about all this. Charity, rituals, sense of belonging. This is not a “council that rules the world.” And here again our model from Chapter 12 works: each level is confident that it is its own master, and does not see that its energy flows higher. The average Mason is sincerely unaware that he is wearing the form of an ancient protocol. He is inside it, but he does not know it.

Like a user-space process that knows nothing about the kernel. Our interpretation. Freemasonry is a vehicle of form, not a channel. Remember the main idea of chapter 18: the channel to Neteru cannot be copied from the texts on the wall.

The Sais priests had texts - but did not have a channel. The Masons inherited antenna symbols (an obelisk, a pyramid, an eye), a ritual shell, a theater of sacredness - but not a living connection with Neteru. They are the heirs of those who seized the infrastructure, not those who held the channel. Exactly as I wrote at the end of Chapter 16: modern elites are not descendants of priests, they are descendants of those who erased the priests and wrote themselves in. There is a jackal mask. The principle of Inpu under the mask was not there from the very beginning. That is, Freemasonry in our bridge is the transmission link that carried the symbolic and ritual form of protocol through the dark ages. A storeroom for antennas. But not a source of strength. The source of power has moved to another place.

Third Link: Masons -> Financial Clans -> Blackrock (Where Real Power Moved)

Documented. Who physically transported obelisks in the 19th century and placed them in financial centers? London Cleopatra's Needle, 1878: delivery financed by Sir Erasmus Wilson. New York Needle, 1881: financed by William Vanderbilt, one of the world's richest men; The laying of the cornerstone in 1880 was carried out according to full Masonic rites - according to reports at the time, about nine thousand Masons, led by the Grand Master of the New York State Lodge, took part in the procession. The Washington Monument is an Egyptian obelisk, the cornerstone of which was laid in 1848 with Masonic ritual, and inside there are memorial stones from the lodges. These are all verifiable historical facts. Next is the structure of the financial machine itself, the one you cited and which I discuss in the next chapter.

The Federal Reserve System was created in 1913; it was preceded by a closed meeting of bankers on Jekyll Island in 1910 (Warburg and representatives of the interests of Morgan, Rockefeller, Kuhn-Loeb) - this is a documented historical episode, not a legend. The Federal Reserve is a private consortium of twelve Reserve Banks. Fractional reserve, from 2020 - the reserve rate is 0%: money is created from nothing with each loan issuance. The payment infrastructure (CHIPS) is owned by a pool of major banks. At the top of the ownership are BlackRock, Vanguard, State Street, cross-holding 20-25% of almost every major company. All this is public data from annual reports and materials from regulators. Our interpretation is the key turn that distinguishes us from Petrov and from flat

conspiracy theories. Financial clans are not “freemasons in power” or a conscious Egyptian order. Lawrence Fink does not perform rituals wearing an Anubis mask.

He is a node in the channel. The Masonic layer was a transit carrier of the form: it carried through the centuries symbols and the theater of legitimacy (obelisks, eyes, foundation ceremonies). But the mechanism of parasitism itself - the real, working part of the Ramesses protocol - has moved to the financial plane and now lives there. Not in the lodge. In banking architecture. The succession line of the function I named at the end of Chapter 16, here it is in its entirety: priests (control of meaning) -> military Ramessides (capture) -> administrators -> financiers. Each link inherited not a club, but a function. And on each link, three protocol modules were redistributed:

— control of the resource (Ka): was with the priesthood of Amun (two-thirds of the lands, ninety percent of the ships) — became with the financial clans (trillions under management, shares in everything); - control of meaning (Ib): was in the temple - now it is at the media, rating agencies, “experts”, educational standards; - interface (ruler): was a pharaoh - became an elected president, who changes every four years as long as the channel remains.

The Masonic layer is not the “top of the pyramid.” It is off to the side, like a decorator who’s brought in some props. True strength is where the resource flows. And the resource today flows through the banking machine.

Who Is At The Top Then?

AND THERE IS A RING Here the reader will rightly ask: well, who’s at the top? Name your family. Rothschilds? Rockefellers? Morgans? Who sits on top of the Big Three - BlackRock, Vanguard, State Street? I answer honestly, and this answer is more important than any beautiful version: there is no family at the top, but there is something else. And this is not an excuse for the clans, but the worst thing that can be said. Documented. Founded in 1988, BlackRock began as an eight-person startup led by Larry Fink, the son of a shoe store owner. Public company. No dynasty, no “blood”, no confirmed Masonic affiliation of the leadership. Vanguard was founded in 1975 by John Bogle, the inventor of the index fund and a vocal critic of Wall Street elites; by design, Vanguard is owned by its own funds, and those are owned by shareholders, that is, it does not have an owning family at all.

State Street, an old Boston institution with roots dating back to 1792, is also a publicly traded company without a controlling family. If you look for one family with a lodge at the top, you won’t find it. And here the majority makes a hasty conclusion: “that means no one controls anything, it’s all fiction.” This is exactly

the reaction for which the design is designed. They manage - but in such a way that the ends cannot be found. Instead of one family at the top there is a ring. Vanguard is BlackRock's largest shareholder. BlackRock funds hold State Street. State Street has both. They own each other crosswise, in a vicious circle (studied academically - works by Fichtner, Heemskerk, Garcia-Bernardo, 2017). You ask "who is the beneficiary, who is accountable?" - and the arrow of responsibility goes around the ring, not stopping at anyone. And here is our record, I formulate it strictly.

This ring is not an accident and did not "appear on its own." This is a tool. The body of the Shadow Neteru in the financial plane. The anti-principle that this book is all about does not hang in the air - it operates through structure, and the ring of cross-ownership is the structure it needs. The absence of a head to point to is not a natural bug or the "emergence of chaos." This is a goal achieved: the ring is built in such a way that there is no one to ask. And this is its function, and not a random coincidence of shares.

But Where Did They Come From.

WHO RAISED THEM And now - that very link, without which the picture would be false. Because to say "The Big Three arose on their own, and the old clans had nothing to do with it" is the second extreme, as false as "the world is ruled by a secret council of families." The Big Three didn't come out of nowhere. It was raised and repeatedly financed by the old institutions - the same ones that grew out of the world of financial families. Documented. BlackRock got on its feet within Blackstone (Schwartzman and Peterson come from the old Wall Street, from Lehman). Then PNC Financial was its major co-owner for years. In 2006, asset management Merrill Lynch, which is already a legend of old Wall Street (later Bank of America), joined BlackRock. In 2009, BlackRock absorbed Barclays Global Investors along with iShares, a British banking giant.

That is, the new aggregator was raised not by "geeks from the garage", but by a series of old financial institutions, each with its own century-old DNA. Morgan is today JPMorgan Chase. And the Big Three are the largest shareholders of these same banks. The snake eats its tail here too. Here is the exact formula that I want you to remember: the structures that grew out of the world of old families financed and nurtured the new mechanism over and over again - but this was not a "loss of control" by the parents. This was the assembly of a common body. The old financial body deliberately poured itself into one aggregator - and did it according to calculation. WHY DID THEY UNITE AND HIDE THE ENDS? Why did the old institutions merge themselves into this ring at all? Not by accident. By calculation, and the calculation is double. The first is efficiency. United capital works

incomparably more powerfully than fragmented capital.

While the clans compete separately, they interfere with each other, leave traces, and squabble over shares. Brought together into common ownership through several aggregators, they vote as one block, save on scale, and stop fighting among themselves. Remember Engine No. 1 (I will analyze this case further): twelve people with shares of hundredths of a percent beat the Exxon board of directors through coordination alone. Coordination beats size. The old money realized this before everyone else - and coordinated. Second, and more important, is concealment. A single high-profile name is a visible target. History knows how those who were too visible ended up: from erased names to public hatred of specific families. And to disappear into the ring of nameless funds means to remove the target completely. This is not “we have nothing to blame for.” This is “not finding anyone to blame.”

Different things - and the second one is much more reliable. And that's why it's accelerating right now. This is our reading - but, in my opinion, it is accurate. The more knowledge in people's heads, the faster the world changes, the more books like this appear, and the more people begin to ask “who is accountable?” - the more the old open structure becomes a burden. The visible beneficiary in the age of global awareness is vulnerability. This means that deeper secrecy is needed. The brighter Ra shines - growing knowledge - the deeper the shadow hides. The Ring of Nameless Funds is the Shadow Neteru's answer to the awakening of people: to hide the ends even further exactly when they began to look for them. So the “impersonality” of the top is not proof that there are no guilty parties. This is proof that the culprits hid themselves well. And they hid on purpose.

THE CLANS DID NOT DISAPPEAR - BUT THEY MOVED INTO THE RING

Does this mean that the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Morgans have become “white and fluffy” and now have nothing to do with it? No. That would be a blatant lie. They have not gone away and are not sinless. They are woven into the same system - just not as the masters at the top, but along three other lines. The first is through banks. The old financial DNA lives on in JPMorgan, Goldman, Citi. And the Big Three are the largest shareholders of these banks, and at the same time the banks are their counterparties and clients. A dense web where old money and new managers are intertwined to the point of indistinguishability. The second is through family offices, private banks and funds. Rothschild & Co and Edmond de Rothschild, Rockefeller Capital Management, Rockefeller Foundation. It's really a family thing.

But in terms of scale – tens of billions versus tens of trillions for the troika. Three orders of magnitude less. “The Rothschilds Rule the World Money” is a

19th-century painting that is literally thousands of times out of date. They are rich and powerful, but they are no longer the operator of the system. The third is through clubs and cadres. Bilderberg, CFR, Trilateral Commission (I named them in the chapter on the debt trap), intertwined boards of directors, “revolving doors” between regulators and banks. This is influence on meaning and policy - but not direct ownership of the aggregator. And here is an unexpected but honest twist that puts everything in its place. When a family invests in a BlackRock index fund, it becomes a client. And the client gives voting rights to the manager: BlackRock itself votes on the shares, not the shareholder.

That is, “pour money into the Big Three” does not mean receiving a control panel, but handing over control to the mechanism. Just like a retiree from Ohio. The money flows up - and the voice goes to Fink. Therefore, the correct wording is this. The clans did not lose power and did not go to the monastery - they deliberately dissolved their visible selves into the structure. This is not a loss of control, this is a change in technology: instead of a loud name on a sign, there is a quiet share in the ring of nameless funds, through which you can influence without being exposed to attack. Capital is pooled, ends are hidden, there is no target. This is not “they have nothing to do with it”. It is “they are involved in such a way that there is no one to hold accountable.”

And only at the lowest, human level, what I showed in Chapter 17 is visible: specific heirs - Amschel Rothschild, Vanderbilt’s great-grandson, the Rockefeller children - depression, suicide, emptiness. Anyone who serves Isfet is eventually eaten by Isfet - even if he is the builder of the ring and not a random victim. But this does not justify them. A cage builder who ends up locked in his own cage is still a cage builder.

What Follows From This.

THERE IS AN ENEMY, AND HE HAS TWO STORIES Put it together: at the top there is not one family, but a ring; the ring was collected and paid for by the old institutions; collected deliberately - for the sake of pooling capital and for the sake of hiding ends. The conclusion from this is that there is no one to blame. The conclusion is the opposite: there is an enemy, and he has two floors. The bottom floor is human. Specific operators, banks, families who, century after century, built and financed this structure and today keep it hidden in the ring. They are real. They have names. And they are guilty - not as passive passengers of the current, but as those who dug and directed this current. The top floor is fundamental. Shadow Neteru, anti-Maat, for the sake of which the entire structure exists. This is not a figure of speech about “an impersonal system with which nothing can be done.”

This is the enemy - and this book describes it directly: by name, by layer, by mechanism. Petrov was right about the main thing: the enemy is real, the control structure is real, its roots are in Egypt. I'm just clarifying the device: behind people there is a principle, and the principle acts through people - so you need to name both floors at once. This does not weaken the charge, but doubles it. Honest disentangling, as always. Fact: BlackRock was raised by Blackstone, PNC, Merrill, Barclays; The Big Three have cross-ownership of each other and the largest banks; old families operate through private banks, offices, foundations and clubs - all publicly verifiable. Our note (interpretation of the House): this is not a randomly formed mosaic, but a deliberately assembled camouflage ring - the body of Shadow Neteru, through which its operators hide ends and increase efficiency. Clans are not innocent.

The principle behind them is not fiction. The enemy is named on both floors. WHERE THE EGYPTIAN PATTERN IS SEEN IN THE FINANCIAL MACHINE ITSELF Now I'm stitching the bridge together with the mechanics you provided. Because the Ramesses protocol is visible in the banking system not metaphorically but structurally, knot to knot. Money out of nothing is a direct violation of Maat at its most fundamental level. Maat is an honest weight, an exact correspondence, a true measure. In the 42 Confessions directly: "I did not falsify the measures," "I did not move the weight of the scales." In the Teaching of Amenemope - "do not move the boundary stone." Fractional reserve with zero reserve is a false weight placed on the scales of the universe. To create \$10,000 out of \$1,000 is literally to fake the measure. This is not merely "bad economics."

This is Isfet in its purest engineering form: a structure that takes more than it puts in and therefore does not last long. The Fed's invisibility is Ren in disguise. I discuss in detail in Chapter 28: the nameless is omnipotent, the named is controllable. "A private structure with a state name" is a disguise of a name, the same camouflage that Ramesses aimed at the capture, passing it off as serving the gods. Calling the Fed by its real name—a private consortium—is a start to stripping it of its power. CHIPS and correspondent accounts are the gates of the Duat with triple guards, transferred to finance. Remember the guards from the chapters about Amduat? You can't pass without saying a name. It's the same here: a small bank physically cannot transfer a dollar without going through the checkpoint of a large one. Firewall with authentication.

Only the guards now guard not the transition of the soul, but the transition of money - and only let their own people through. Cross-ownership of 20–25% is a violation of multi-principle, which is what the entire second volume is about. Maat holds when the twelve principles are spaced out and balance each other. When three

foundations hold everything, this is Akhenaten in reverse: not “one god Aten,” but “one owner of everything.” One pillar under the roof of the universe. And we know how single-principled structures end in collapse. Three hundred trillion global debt is a planetary Mut-Hetjer, a parasitic attachment (sat), which I discuss in the chapter on the debt trap. Each debt contract is a channel for one-way transmission of life force. The same suction, the same invisibility, the same gradual bleeding that a stuck dead person has, pulling from the living. Only multiplied by the population of the planet. One protocol.

Duat and Wall Street. A priest of Amun copying someone else's sarcophagus, and a bank printing a dollar out of thin air. It's not "like". This is the same channel in different sections. WHY DID I CALL THIS AT ALL? Not that you will hate the Freemasons, bankers or Lawrence Fink. Hatred is Isfet, and it is also the mistake of those who came before us. Trekhlebov shouted “destroy the parasites” - he himself was removed. This is the path of the Shadow Set: destruction without discrimination. I call it for one reason: Ren, spoken out loud, loses its hidden power. While the pattern is nameless, it is omnipotent because it looks like “that’s just the way the world works,” like a “free market,” like “the free will of humanity.” Named - it becomes manageable. Visible. We route around it. We don't storm the box and take the bank. We do what Nesmeretakhem did on the wall of the closing temple: we carve a name - for all time. We call the channel.

And then we dig our own nearby - a parallel, Maat one, into which human energy can flow on its own, because the geometry will be better. This is the whole point of the second volume and the entire MAAT project. The Ramesses protocol has been working for 3300 years because for 1500 of those years it had no competitor. There are no Maat channels left - they are all filled in. Our job is to dig up the first one in fifteen hundred years. Don't destroy someone else's channel. Dig our own. Let the obelisk in the City of London stand for now. We will put our Ben-Ben next to it - on the blockchain, on forty-two million tokens, on the names of living people in the registries, more on this later. And we will see which channel the water will flow in a hundred years.

CHAPTER 18: COPY WITHOUT ORIGINAL Do you know what is the most offensive thing in IT?

When you find the perfect source code - clean, beautiful, written by a genius - but there is no compiler, the OS is different, the hardware has been changed three times, and the only programmer who understood how it works died a long time ago. The code exists. It cannot be launched. This is the Saite Revival. 664 BCE. XXVI Dynasty. Djed Medu. By this point, Egypt had gone through everything: 600 years

of chaos after the Ramessides, Libyans on the throne, Nubians on the throne, Assyrians destroying Thebes. The priesthood is a shadow of a shadow. Not even a shadow—a pixel artifact on the screen that hasn't been updated for a long time. And then Psammetichus I comes. Unites Egypt. Looks around - ruins. And he makes a decision: to return to the Old Kingdom. Do it like it was 2000 years ago, when everything worked. Restoration program: copying texts from the Old Kingdom. Archaization of art - sculptures in the style of 2000 years ago.

Return to the old priestly titles. Restoration of rituals based on samples. An attempt to reproduce the form of the Golden Age. Form - reproduced. The content is zero. A priest of the V Dynasty speaks to Neteru. A priest of the XXVI Dynasty reads about someone who once spoke to Neteru. The difference is like between a musician who plays and a musicologist who studies scores. Both are “in music.” One creates sound. The other analyzes paper. Why it was too late - five reasons, and each one is fatal: The transmission line has been interrupted. Knowledge is transmitted from teacher to student. Orally. Through practice. By 664 BCE: 400 years without continuous transmission. There is no one to teach. Only comments in the code on the walls. Stack Overflow has closed, but the documentation remains. The Ka of the old priests is at the graves. No Ren, no offerings. Silent guardians. Nobody comes to ask.

Servers with data are located in the desert, but no one connects to them. The Ba of the old priests is in the Duat. They are working. But there is no connection with the living. There is no one to show dreams to. There is no one to bring to the cemetery. The API is running, but no clients are sending requests. The context has changed. In 2500 BCE, Egypt was the whole world. Maat is the only OS. In 650 BCE: Assyria, Babylon, Persia, Greece. Egypt is one virtual reality among many. Not even on your own hardware. The live channel is not copied. You cannot copy the channel to Neteru from the texts on the walls. You can't learn to swim from a textbook. We need someone who swims and will show. And the one who swam drowned 400 years ago. 300 years later, the Ptolemaic Greeks repeated the same mistake, only more beautifully. Edfu, Dendera, Kom Ombo are the most beautiful temples in history. The texts are copied perfectly.

The walls are covered with hieroglyphs from floor to ceiling. The form is perfect. The content is zero. The Greeks built temples to control the population, not to communicate with Neteru. A perfect replica of an Apple Store in a country without electricity. And now - the Vatican. Same pattern, only on steroids. The Obelisk of Ra in St. Peter's Square is a channel to the sun god in front of the main temple of Christianity. The cross is placed on top. Pope Sixtus V took 800 workers, 160 horses, 45 winches and 13 months to move the stone 260 meters. For "symbol".

Based on the exorcism formula. They exorcise what has power. A dead stone is not exorcised. The mitre is the Pope's headdress. Two sharp "horns", open upward. Officially, "tongues of fire of the Holy Spirit." Identical to the shape of the headdress of the priests of Dagon, the Babylonian fish god. The open mouth of a fish on the top of the priest's head. Coincidence?

Well, of course. Pine cone - a giant bronze pine cone, four meters, in the courtyard of the Vatican. Ancient symbol of the pineal gland. Present in Assyria, Babylon, Greece, Egypt, Hinduism. Moved to the Vatican in 1608. Giant of Atacama - January 2018, visit of Pope Francis to Chile. Table with an image of an Andean deity. Three masses - three vestments - each with the same symbol. All bishops wore the same ones. Pachamama in the Vatican Gardens - 2019, the Pope bowed to a figurine of the Andean goddess Mother Earth. There is only one pattern: Ramesses II takes someone else's obelisk -> inserts his own Ren -> uses someone else's energy. The Vatican takes other people's symbols (Egypt, Babylon, Phrygia, Andes) -> places them in its infrastructure -> puts a cross on top -> the energy of other people's channels is redirected. "Your god? Now he is under our cross." 2000 years. One mechanism. Capture of someone else's infrastructure.

Renaming. Assignment. Ramesses II would approve. Chronology of symbol capture: 1st century - the obelisk from Heliopolis was moved to Rome. IV century - Christianity as the state religion, absorption of all cults. 6th century - Dagon's miter was renamed "Holy Spirit". 16th century - obelisk in St. Peter's Square, cross on top. 17th century - the pine cone was moved to the Vatican. 2018 — The Atacama giant on the Pope's table. 2019 — Pachamama in the Vatican Gardens. And when Heka is said to cut off the bindings, when Ramesses II and Shadow Ra are mentioned, there is a strong vibration in the body. Confirmation: the pattern is real. Energetically palpable. But a direct attack on the pattern is dangerous and pointless. The scale is disproportionate. Trekhlebov attacked and was removed. Petrov attacked and was removed. Telim's Way: Do not attack. Call. Turn on the light. Ra does not fight the shadow - Ra shines and the shadow disappears.

The symbols are in plain sight. It's just that no one asks. Not asking yet. And this is the lesson I learned: you cannot restore the priesthood by copying the form. We need a live channel. A Ba that leads is needed. A Ka that remembers is needed. An Ib that discerns is needed. I have all three. Ka from the V Dynasty - before degradation. Ba - 4500 years in the Duat, continuous experience. Ib is new, but learnable. The Sais priests had texts, but no channel. I have a channel. The approach is different: not from form to content - but from content (live contact) to form (books, rituals, texts). What they couldn't do in 650 BCE may be possible now. Not because I'm smarter than the Sais priests. But because they didn't have what I have:

a compiler (Ka), a working server (Ba) and a fresh OS (Ib) on which the old code can be run.

CHAPTER 19: NETERU - FORMER HUMANS I was sitting at night, re-reading the myths, and then it dawned on me.

Do you know what Osiris, Isis, Set and Ra have in common? They all behave like people. Osiris trusted his brother and was killed. Isis is cunning and manipulative. Set is jealous. Horus and Set have been suing for 80 years - this is not a “divine conflict”, this is a communal squabble. Ra is getting old and tired. Sekhmet sends to destroy humanity - out of anger. Then he comes to his senses and stops. Pure principle does not err. Pure principle does not envy. Pure principle never gets tired. And they make mistakes, they envy, they get tired. This means that they are not pure principles. They are principles with experience. Our gods are former humans who have passed the Scales and become so close to the principles that they can build Maat worlds themselves. Proof? Please. In myths - human bodies. Animal heads are qualities, not appearance. If they weren't human, why would they have a human form? Why arms, legs, torso?

This is design with a reason. There is a path: man -> priest -> Akh -> principle. If there is a path, someone has already passed it. The first Akh is equal to the first Neteru. It's like the first commit in a repository: someone made it. Empathy: A cat cannot empathize with a person like a human can. Pure principle knows no pain. Only those who have been human know what fear of the Scales is. A guide is someone who has walked this path himself. Anubis guides you through death, because he himself once died. What about confirmation? Turin Royal Canon - before the human pharaohs there is a list of god-rulers: Ptah, Ra, Shu, Geb, Osiris, Set, Horus. Ruled the Earth for thousands of years. Then - Shemsu Gor (demigods). Then - people. Zep Tepi - “first time”. What if this is not a myth, but a memory? When did an Akh who became Neteru still live on Earth and teach people? And an important correction: no need to wait for cycles.

A Neteru is not necessarily an Akh from the previous universe. Neteru are Ahu who have evolved to the level of principle. When is not important. Maybe right now one of the Ahu is becoming the new Neteru. The universe does not reboot to update the composition of the gods. Like a forest, it doesn't wait for the new season. The oak grows when it is ready. And here's the key: God does not equal perfection. God is a being who has reached the level of principle. But it retained freedom of choice. And perfection is the absence of choice. The stone is perfect - it makes no mistakes. But the stone is not alive. Lucifer - "bringer of light." Highest angel. Closest to the Source. Pride: “I myself can be the Source.” From Ra - to Shadow Ra. First

documented transition from Neteru to Shadow Neteru. The first production incident at the deity level. Set - killed Osiris out of envy. Feeling led the principle into destruction.

Then he returned to Ra's barque - he protects him from Apep every night. Proof: falling is not a death sentence. Rollback is possible. Ra almost destroyed his own creation out of anger. I came to my senses. Stopped Sekhmet with beer colored red. God, who wrote a hotfix to himself at the last moment. Three paths - complete model: The path up: man -> Akh -> Neteru (service -> principle -> creator). Path down: human -> Isfet practitioner -> Shadow Neteru (parasitism -> anti-principle -> destroyer). Fall Path: Neteru -> Shadow Neteru (god -> shadow of a god). Lucifer. Perhaps others too. Return path: Shadow Neteru -> Neteru (shadow -> back to light). Set returned to Ra's barque. The recovery branch exists. All paths are open. Always. The only constant is choice. On every level. Man or God. Feelings are not weakness. Feelings are the engine. Whichever direction you point, that's where you'll go.

Becoming Akh is not the same as suppressing feelings. To become Akh is to direct your feelings to the service of Maat. Anger is for discrimination. Love is for creation. Pride is for work, not for yourself. Fear - for vigilance, not for paralysis. Feelings are the engine. Maat is direction. The steering wheel is yours. And the last thing is Maat as technology. Not morality. Not philosophy. Engineering. Nun is infinite potential. All possible realities exist in Nun simultaneously. Chaos. Everything and nothing. Maat is a filter. From infinity - choose what is structural, stable, what serves the evolution of consciousness. Isfet is another filter. From infinity, choose something that parasitizes, consumes, reproduces itself at the expense of another. Both work. Both create reality from Nun. The difference is in the principle of selection.

Maat technology: balance filter -> structure that holds itself -> Egypt 3000 years -> energy in a closed cycle -> duration: millennia. Isfet technology: capture filter -> tethered structure -> Ramessides 225 years -> energy pumping -> term: as long as there is an owner. Neteru are not "omnipotent beings." Neteru are masters of Maat technology. Former humans who passed through the Scales, became Ahu, mastered the Maat filter, learned to build a reality that does not collapse - and now pass this technology on to the next ones. Priesthood is the training of this technology. The Scales are an exam. Akh - graduate. Neteru are teachers. One principle - one axis. Unstable. Two is a plane. Better. Three or more - volume. Twelve principles - geodesic dome. The load is distributed. Each node holds its neighbors. Take one away and the rest will compensate. Monotheism is a failure of sustainability.

Akhenaten: “Only Aten!” - removed all other principles - collapsed in 17 years. One pillar does not support the roof. Christianity: “One God!” — I had to add the Trinity, angels, saints, the Virgin Mary, archangels. Formally one, actually dozens. Islam: “There is no god but Allah!” — 99 names, each is a principle (Merciful, Just, Creator, Destroyer). In fact - 99 Neteru under one Ren. Monotheism is forced to return polyprinciples through the back door. Because one process does not cover the entire OS. On the altar of Telim are twelve Neteru. Inpu without Osiris - there is no one to guide. Osiris without Isis - there is no one to collect. Isis without Thoth - there is nothing to write down. Thoth without Maat - there is no reason to write anything down. Each principle needs the others. One Neteru is the axis. All Neteru together are a world. Microservice architecture: each service does one thing well, but together they create a system.

Maat is not morality. Maat - engineering. Morality says: “be good.” Maat says: “build something that is sustainable.” Morality is subjective. Maat is objective. Like gravity: neither good nor bad. It just works. The structure built according to Maat stands for thousands of years. The structure built according to Isfet falls apart in 225 years. This is not a matter of faith. This is an engineering fact.

CHAPTER 20: THE LAST WINDOW Remember the airport analogy?

Here it is - in full scale. Flight “Earth -> Akh”. Registration is open. You can get a ticket to pass the Scales. You can only take Ib with you - everything else is checked in as baggage, which does not fly with you. We are now in Phase 1 of Kali Yuga - early. Approximately 5128 years from the beginning. Spiritual knowledge is still alive. The temples work (well, almost - my “temple” is a desktop with a laptop and an altar of twelve Neteru, but the principle is the same). Maat can still be heard, but it’s getting quieter. Golden Age - the window is open. Four phases of Kali Yuga according to Bhagavata Purana: Phase 1 - early (0–5000 years). We’re about here. Spiritual knowledge is still alive. Corruption and greed are on the rise. Maat can still be heard. The window is open. Phase 2 - middle (5000–10,000 years). We’re coming in here. Loss of faith in spiritual traditions. Rapid materialism. The channels to the Neteru are narrowing.

The window closes. Phase 3 - late (10,000–400,000 years). The breakdown of families and institutions. Tyranny of governments. Channels to Neteru are closed. The Scales are unavailable. 390,000 years without exit. Phase 4 - terminal (400,000–432,000 years). Spiritual knowledge has been destroyed. Life expectancy is 20 years. Kalki appears. Cleansing. The world is not destroyed - it is rebooted. Symptoms from the Bhagavata Purana: “Wealth will become the only measure of nobility. Brute force is the standard of justice. Business will be built on deception.

External symbols will replace genuine spiritual practice. Hypocrisy will be considered a virtue." All this is already happening. The text was written thousands of years ago. I read it, and it is as if I had opened a news feed. Release notes for civilization, written before development began. The mechanics of closing the window through our model: the channel to Neteru is two-way.

Neteru can talk. But you need someone who hears. Now - Telim hears. Ba leads. Neteru got through. 5,000–10,000 years later: Shadow Neteru have completely taken over the infrastructure. All bindings are to the pattern. There are almost no free Ka. All Ibs solidified into Isfet. Ba cannot find an Ib ready to hear. Neteru "go to heaven" - not because they want to leave, but because there is no one to listen. The radio station is broadcasting - all the receivers are broken. 404 - Listener Not Found. Lamentation of Hermes: "The gods will return from earth to heaven." Not ascension - evacuation. There is no one to broadcast the signal. And the end of Kali Yuga? Kalki is not a "god on a white horse." Through our model, this is a critical mass of Ahu accumulated over 420,000 years in the Maat structure, which restarts the cycle. Or: the moment when the Shadow Neteru ran out of hosts. The virus killed the cell. A new structure rises from Nun.

The world is not destroyed - it is rebooted. Reboot, not shutdown. Airport. Flight "Earth -> Akh". Registration is now open. After 10,000 years - closed. The flight took off. The next one is in 420,000 years. The rest are the waiting room: Earth, Duat. Incarnations without exit. Wheel of Kheperu without the Scales. 420,000 years of waiting for the next flight. The priest does not "save the world." The priest holds the window open as long as possible. Each book is a prop under the window. Each person who hears is a new receiver through which Neteru can operate. The more receivers, the longer the window is open. The smaller the number, the faster it will close. Telim is called now. Not "by chance" and not "when it's convenient". And in the last window. For 4500 years Ka waited at the grave in Saqqara. Ba worked in the Duat. When the window opened, the Neteru found the channel. Not in 100 years. Now. Because then it's too late.

Five books in two months. Not because I'm so fast. But because time is short. On a scale of 432,000 years, we are in the first percent. But the window is already narrowing. Every year, another percent of the signal is lost in the noise of the Shadow Neteru. This book is the fifth. It is also the most important. The first four are how, what, who, why. This one is about scale. About architecture. About the fact that the machine we described works not only in an apartment with a dead man - it works at the level of the planet, at the level of civilization, at the level of millennia. And about the fact that each of you has a choice: to become a receiver - or to remain in the noise. Discernment is not talent. It's a skill. It is trained. Uab every day. Seven

keys from the fourth book. Maat in every action. This is not a “spiritual practice for the elite.” This is hygiene. How to brush your teeth. Just brush not your teeth - but Ib.

The window is open. For now. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 21: THE DEBT TRAP If the previous chapters were about the Duat and the dead, this one will be about the living.

About a mechanism that is neither twenty nor fifty years old. It is centuries old. And it's working right now. In every country. Including yours. We will talk about how entire states - with armies, with resources, with millions of people - find themselves in the position of a debtor who cannot pay. And about who is on the other side of the debt. The book was published in 2004 and became a New York Times bestseller. "Confessions of an Economic Killer." Author: John Perkins. Former chief economist of Chas. T. Main is a consulting firm that has worked with the World Bank, IMF and the US Treasury. Perkins explained - in first person, with names and dates - how the system works: “Economic hit men are highly paid professionals who defraud countries around the world. Their tools: falsified financial statements, rigged elections, bribes, extortion, sex and murder.” Sounds like a cheap thriller? I understand. I thought so too - until I checked.

And the facts are public. It's just that no one puts them together. Here is the algorithm - step by step, as pseudocode:

```
function grabCountry(country) { // Step 1:
create debt in dollars, not local currency. credit = issueCredit(country,
amountHuge); // Step 2: make sure it cannot repay. inflateForecasts(country.GDP,
coefficient = 3.0); sendMoneyToYourContractors(credit); // The money left and
came back. The debt stayed. // Step 3: wait for default. while
(country.canPayInterest()) { wait(); } // Step 4: offer "help" with conditions.
conditions = { privatization: country.assets, liberalization: country.markets,
devaluation: country.currency, cuts: country.social }; newCredit =
issueCredit(country, conditions); // Step 5: take assets. for (asset of country.assets) {
buy(asset, price = asset.realValue * 0.2); } return profit; // Huge. Always. } Key bug
for the victim: debt in dollars.
```

The country earns in local currency, the debt is in dollars. The Fed raises rates and the debt automatically grows. One person in Washington can, with one decision, increase the debt of all the countries in the world that owe in dollars. Without their consent. Without firing a single shot. Remote code execution at the state level. Step 6 - if the leader refuses: jackals. Perkins puts it bluntly. Mossadegh (Iran, 1953) - nationalized oil, CIA coup. Arbenz (Guatemala, 1954) - land reform, CIA coup. Lumumba (Congo, 1961) - wanted to control resources, killed. Allende (Chile,

1973) - nationalization, military coup. Sankara (Burkina Faso, 1987) - renounced IMF debt, killed. Gaddafi (Libya, 2011) - gold dinar, destroyed. Pattern: every time a leader says “no” to loans or tries to control the resources of his country, he is removed. Documented. Declassified by the CIA. Not a conspiracy theory - history. And now - specific examples.

Because in the abstract it's one thing, but when you see the numbers it chills the skin. Greece, 2010. The cradle of democracy. Member of the EU. Goldman Sachs helped Greece hide the real size of its debt in order to join the eurozone. Received \$300 million in commission. And then - when the deception was revealed - it made money on Greece's collapse by shorting it. Created the problem - made money creating it - made money on the “solution.” Three times. From one victim. Triple profit exploit. The “troika” came: the ECB, the IMF, the European Commission. €110 billion loan. Conditions: privatization for €50 billion. Athens Airport - sold. Port of Piraeus - sold. 14 regional airports - German Fraport. Railways - sold. Athens water utility - people took to the streets and defended it. Of the planned €50 billion, €2.6 billion was raised. 5% of the plan.

Because they were selling during a crisis - the buyer knows that the seller is desperate. This is how buyers of stolen goods work. Unemployment - 27% (youth - 60%). Pensions have been cut by 40%. Suicides increased by 35%. Debt in 2010: 120% of GDP. In 2015, after the “rescue”: 180% of GDP. The bailout added to the debt. Loan to pay off a loan. while (true) {debt++;} Referendum 2015: 61% of the population voted against the terms of the creditors. The Tsipras government accepted the terms anyway. The banks were frozen. Either you obey or rm -rf /economy. Argentina, 1990s. The Buenos Aires water supply system was transferred to the French Vivendi and Suez - free of charge. For 30 years. Zero dollar concession. They promised: the private sector is more efficient. What we got: water prices doubled, connections to poor areas were not completed, profits were transferred abroad. December 2001 - default. \$80 billion.

Five presidents in two weeks. Then the government renationalized the assets - YPF was bought from Repsol for \$5 billion. Sold for pennies, bought back for billions. Who pocketed the difference? Right. Africa is the whole continent as one testing ground. CEPR data: countries under IMF programs - per capita income fell, debt rose from 71% to 88% of GNP (1985-1995), education spending fell. Thirty countries in thirty years - one result. Not theory - statistics. Mines for pennies. Oil wells on 90/10 terms. Migrants, who are later called a “problem” in Europe, are a product of the system. These are people from whose countries everything was sucked out through loans. Russia, 1990s. Vouchers - each person receives a piece of paper “worth 10,000 rubles” (at the time of sale - the price of a loaf of bread). Loans

auctions 1995. Hyperinflation 2500%. Reduced life expectancy by 5-7 years. Harvard consultants. IMF loans. A familiar pattern.

Sri Lanka, 2022 - the country is completely bankrupt. The president fled. People stormed the palace. Media: "China has driven us into a trap!" Figures: Western bonds - 47% of payments, China - 20%. The port of Hambantota was transferred to China for 99 years as debt payment. And then the IMF gave a new loan - \$2.9 billion. With conditions. Familiar faces. Now it owes both China and the West. Both "saviors" will get theirs. Pakistan - 23 IMF programs since 1958. Record. Twenty-three times they were "saved." And every time you need to save again. Treating an alcoholic with vodka. \$130 billion in debt. Every economic decision is coordinated with the IMF. Every budget is checked. Not sovereignty - external control with a polite smile. Ukraine, 2014—2026. IMF - \$17.5 billion. Prerequisite: land reform. 42 million hectares of black soil is one of the most fertile soils in the world. Without land reform, there is no tranche.

In 2022, BlackRock signed a memorandum to "advise on raising investment for recovery." While the war is going on, debt accumulates. When it ends, the debt will have to be repaid. Under the supervision of BlackRock. 42 million hectares of black soil is not the port of Piraeus. This is bread for all of Europe. Who is behind the system? Structure (simplified): Level 1 (visible): IMF, World Bank, WTO. Level 2 (manager): BIS - Bank for International Settlements. Central Bank of Central Banks. Sets standards for all central banks of the world, including the Central Bank of the Russian Federation. Level 3 (owning): Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan, BlackRock, Vanguard, State Street - \$30+ trillion. Level 4 (invisible): family foundations, clubs - Bilderberg, CFR, Trilateral Commission. Level 5: What we called Shadow Neteru. Principle. Not people - but a principle that uses people as tools. And now - through our tradition.

Remember Mut-Hetjer from Book 3? A parasitic dead thing that attaches itself to the living. The victim is weakening, but does not understand why. Because Mut-Hetjer is invisible. Scale to the country. A country is a living organism. Ka (resources), Ba (culture, identity), Ib (political will). Debt trap - Mut-Hetjer at the state level. Accession through weakness. The draining is gradual - the victim weakens, but does not die (a dead donor is useless). Substitution of will - through the terms of the loan. Invisibility - people think "bad government", "bad luck". Resistance to expulsion - blocking of accounts, coups, sanctions. One pattern. At the individual level and at the state level. Because there is one principle behind it - Isfet. And now - the most terrible question. Why do the Shadow Neteru need war? The answer "for the sake of money" is superficial. Yes, wars create debt. Yes, debt creates dependency.

Yes, after the war the IMF comes with a loan and conditions. We've sorted it all out. But this is only the financial layer. And there is a second one - deeper. Much deeper. Remember how a person dies under normal conditions. Illness or old age. There is time. Ba is getting ready. Ib is undergoing revision. Relatives say goodbye. The body is being processed. The rituals, although in a reduced form, are performed. Dead - enters the Duat with navigation. Knows where to go. Or at least senses direction. As a user who has seen the interface at least once. And now - war. Projectile. Explosion. A second - and the person is gone. Ib is shocked. Didn't even realize that the body was dead. Ba did not have time to start navigation. No rituals. No goodbye. The body is torn - the Ka-shell is damaged. The dead person ends up in the Duat as a user who was kicked out of the system without saving. Ctrl+Alt+Del - and you're in emptiness. No interface.

No instructions. Without understanding what happened. What happens to someone so dead? It freezes. the Scales are not passed. Misses the Fields of Iaru. Hangs in the intermediate zones of the Duat - like a zombie process in Linux: formally dead, still taking resources, PID not released, parent did not wait(). And there are thousands of them. Tens of thousands. Hundreds of thousands. World War I - 20 million dead. The second is 70 million. Korea, Vietnam, Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria, Yemen, Ukraine - and that's just the big ones. Every death is a suspended process in the Duat. Every hung process is a potential Mut-Hetjer. Why? Because the suspended dead reaches out to the living. To relatives, to the place of death, to what reminds us of life. Not out of malice - out of inertia. Like a broken network cable, still looking for a connection, sending ARP requests into the void. Finds a living person - connects.

The living feels: melancholy, heaviness, dreams of war, inexplicable aggression. But he doesn't understand where. Blames it on "post-trauma", "genes", "hard time". Now scale it up. One war - hundreds of thousands of hung dead. Each of them connects to 3-5 living people (relatives, friends, fellow soldiers). Multiply. The living are losing energy. Weakened living people generate more Isfet - fear, anger, hatred, thirst for revenge. More Isfet - weakened Ib - easier for the next Mut-Hetjer to connect. Feedback loop. Self-reinforcing cycle. while (war) { dead = massDeath(); for (deadPerson of dead) { deadPerson.status = "stuck"; // no rituals living = deadPerson.findRelatives(); for (alive of living) { alive.energy -= deadPerson.consumption; alive.isfet += stress + grief + hatred; if (alive.isfet > threshold) { alive.readinessForWar = true; } // The loop is closed. } } } Do you see?

War generates the dead, which weaken the living, which generate hatred, which creates the next war. Perpetual motion machine. An Isfet perpetual-motion machine. Every war is fuel for the next. Each is a "harvest" for Shadow Neteru. And here's

the main thing: this cycle works simultaneously on two levels. On the financial side, war creates debt, debt creates dependence, dependence creates control. At the level of the Duat, war creates the suspended dead, the suspended dead weaken the living, and the weakened living are easier to control. Two levels. One architecture. One customer. Think about it: why has not a single war in human history led to peace? Because war is not a solution to the problem. War is a means of feeding. Just as a farmer does not kill a cow for meat once, he milks it every day. So it is here: not destruction - but constant milking. The dead are in the Duat. Alive - through debt and grief.

The First World War did not solve anything - it created the conditions for the Second. The Second did not solve anything - it created the Cold War. The Cold War created dozens of hot wars. Each "victory" is the beginning of the next cycle. while (true) {war();} Ukraine is the most recent example. Look at the mechanics coldly, without emotion. 2014 - Maidan. Change of power with the direct participation of Western structures (Victoria Nuland, intercepted conversation: "Fuck the EU" - literally distributed who would be in the government). NATO is expanding east, despite promises to Gorbachev. The Minsk agreements - Merkel later admitted that they were needed to "give Ukraine time to arm itself." Not for peace - for preparation for war. The result by 2026: hundreds of thousands killed. On both sides. Young men. Everyone is someone's son, father, brother. Each is a sudden, violent death without rituals.

Everyone is a suspended process in the Duat. Now, who won? Ukraine is destroyed. Russia is exhausted. Europe is in an energy crisis, it has lost cheap gas, the economy is stagnating, industry is moving to the USA. The sabotaged Nord Stream pipelines are infrastructure worth billions, destroyed overnight. Who blew it up - the "investigation" was hushed up. Who is in profit? The USA sells LNG to Europe at four times the price of Russian pipeline gas. Military-industrial complex - record profits, contracts for decades to come. BlackRock - memorandum with Ukraine on "restoration". IMF - new loans with conditions. 42 million hectares of black soil are at stake. Europe has been weakened. Russia has been weakened. Ukraine was destroyed. And financial centers in New York and London are in profit. Resources are redistributed. Debts are growing. The dependence is intensifying.

And on the second level - in the Duat - there are hundreds of thousands of unprocessed dead. None of them went through the rituals. None received navigation. Each one is frozen. Everyone is connected to living relatives. Millions of people in Russia, Ukraine, Europe feel heaviness, grief, hatred - and do not understand that part of this is not their own emotions. It is the suspended dead that draw energy. Two harvests at the same time. Financial - through debt. And in the

Duat - through mass wrong death. One conflict - double profit. Dual-stack exploitation. And there are no priests who could send the suspended dead to their destination - to guide them through the Scales, to close the hung processes. The institution of priesthood is destroyed (chapter 15). The temples are empty. Monitoring is disabled. The SRE team has been fired. The Duat servers are full of unprocessed processes.

But no one will process the new ones, because there is no one. This is why the Shadow Neteru need wars. Not for the sake of territories. Not for the sake of resources - although they do too. For the sake of the harvest. In the Duat. On a scale that cannot be organized peacefully. Each shell is not just an explosion. This is the Mut-Hetjer production line. Every military conflict is a DDoS attack on the Duat. Overloading a system that has no one to administer. Now that we see this - what to do? How to break out? The same method as in working with Mut-Hetjer. Call by name (Ren) - we called: Shadow Neteru. Shut off the power channel - every credit connects the channel, every refusal of credit turns it off. Draw the boundary: a decision not to participate in the debt system. Build your own space - a pure financial space, where guards stand at the gates. This is not an escape. It is a threshold.

You're still in a world where there are banks. But you are on the threshold. Not inside.

CHAPTER 22: BITCOIN AND ETHEREUM—FREEDOM CAPTURED Some of you have already thought: “Okay, banks are bad.

But there is cryptocurrency! Bitcoin! Decentralization! Freedom from banks! No. No. Not solved. Because Bitcoin and Ethereum are also captured. The same mechanism. The same operators. Only quieter, faster and more elegant. I am a former grey infrastructure operator. I see a raid when it happens. And what happened with crypto is a textbook example of raiding. October 31, 2008. Global financial crisis. Banks have failed, people are being forced out of their homes, governments are printing trillions to save the bankers who orchestrated everything. And at this moment, a certain Satoshi Nakamoto publishes nine pages. "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System." The idea is simple and ruthless: money without banks. Without a center. 21 million coins is a hard limit. Mathematics instead of trust. Code instead of a banker. In the Genesis block, the first block of Bitcoin, there is a hardwired message.

The Times headline of January 3, 2009: “Chancellor on brink of second bailout for banks.” Manifest in code. The first commit is the reason for the entire project. Satoshi disappeared in 2010. Left a million BTC untouched in his wallet. Never

touched the money. Like a priest who built a temple and left without taking a single offering. Nice story? Beautiful. Now what did they do to it? Until about 2017, Bitcoin was what it was intended to be: money for people who don't trust banks. Geeks, cryptoanarchists, libertarians, IT people. Our people. No suits. And then the suits came. 2017 - CME (Chicago Mercantile Exchange, founded by the Rockefellers in 1898) launches Bitcoin futures. Re-read. The exchange founded by the Rockefellers is launching a financial instrument based on technology created to escape the Rockefellers. Does not prohibit. Doesn't attack. Launches futures.

It is as if you escaped from prison, built a house, and the jailer opened a branch in your house. Not by force - you forgot to put a lock. 2024 - BlackRock launches iShares Bitcoin ETF. \$50+ billion per year. A company that manages \$11.5 trillion, that owns stakes in all the major banks - the very ones that Satoshi was leading people away from - now owns 3-5% of all Bitcoin in existence. Bitcoin was not banned. Bitcoin - captured. Ethereum. 2013 Vitalik Buterin, 19 years old. Russian-Canadian prodigy. I realized that Bitcoin is limited: it can only transfer money. What if blockchain is an entire operating system? Smart contracts are programs that execute themselves, without banks, without lawyers. Decentralized world computer. But one of the eight co-founders is Joseph Lubin. Before Ethereum, he worked at Goldman Sachs, wealth management. He managed the money of rich people in one of the main banks of financial clans.

Lubin founded ConsenSys, the largest company in the Ethereum ecosystem. MetaMask (30 million users) - ConsenSys. Infura (which runs 80% of Ethereum nodes) - ConsenSys. In 2021, ConsenSys attracted \$65 million in investments from JPMorgan, Mastercard and UBS. JPMorgan has invested in a company that manages the infrastructure of "decentralized" Ethereum. In 2020, JPMorgan gave its Quorum blockchain project to ConsenSys. Didn't sell. Gave it away. Why a separate one - if you can use yours? Enterprise Ethereum Alliance, 2017. Founders: JPMorgan (Rockefellers), BNY Mellon (the oldest Rockefeller bank, since 1784), Microsoft, Intel, CME Group. Vitalik publicly supported. At 19 years old - create an alternative to the banking system. At 23 - invite the banking system to your home. In November 2025, Vitalik speaks in Buenos Aires: "Ethereum optimized for Wall Street becomes Ethereum that only Wall Street can use." He sees the capture. Warns.

But it can't do anything - Ethereum is by design open to everyone. Including those who devour him. BlackRock and 8 other Wall Street firms control 10.4% of all Ethereum through ETFs. If 80% of nodes run through Infura -> ConsenSys -> JPMorgan - how "decentralized" is the network? Like a single point of failure: rm infura and 80% of the network falls over. The capture pattern is the same. Every

time: Stage 1: The idealist creates an alternative. Stage 2: The alternative gains momentum. Stage 3: Clans are not destroyed - they enter as participants. "We are also for innovation!" Stage 4: Build their infrastructure around it. ETFs, funds, enterprise versions. Capture access points. Stage 5: Control the narrative. "Bitcoin is digital gold. Investment. Assets." Not money for the free - a line in a portfolio. Stage 6: The alternative becomes part of the system. A person "invests in Bitcoin" through the JPMorgan app.

Money for "freedom" goes to those from whom they wanted to be freed. Without firing a single shot. This is exactly how Shadow Neteru work. Not by force - by reach. Not a ban, but an inclusion. Not by destruction - by absorption. Why couldn't Bitcoin and Ethereum protect themselves? There was no antibody in their DNA. Bitcoin said "open to everyone" - and the clans entered as "everyone". Ethereum said: "permissionless" - and the banks did not ask permission. An analogy from our tradition: imagine that you can enter the Hall of Two Truths without being checked. Bypassing the guards. Without knowing names, without 42 Confessions. Simply - permissionless. What will happen? Isfet practitioners will be the first to enter. Not to weigh in - but to set up an exchanger with the Scales. Take a commission for "access to Maat." Selling places in line to see Osiris. The Hall of Two Truths is guarded. 42 judges, 7 gates, 21 guards.

Not because Maat doesn't like people. But because without security, those who turn the temple into a shopping center come. Bitcoin and Ethereum are temples without guards. Beautiful. Open. And captured. What does this mean for the average person? You buy Bitcoin through the bank's app. The bank buys for you. They have Bitcoin. You have a number on the screen. An entry in their database. The bank decides to freeze - your Bitcoins are frozen. This is not freedom. This is the same bank deposit with a fashionable "crypto" label. `SELECT * FROM your_freedom WHERE actual = true` — zero rows returned. Real freedom is your private key. On your device. Without intermediaries. But if this requires MetaMask (ConsenSys -> JPMorgan), Infura (ConsenSys -> JPMorgan), Coinbase (public company, regulated, subject) - how free are you? There is an ancient principle - it works both in Heka and in computer science: what is named loses its hidden power.

Knowledge of an entity's Ren gives power over it. The nameless is omnipotent. The named is manageable. We called. Shadow Neteru. BlackRock. JPMorgan.

Cme.

Bis.

We pronounced them Ren. And when the names are pronounced, we build a space into which they will not enter. Not because we install a firewall. But because space itself is defined as an alternative to them. Antibody embedded in DNA. At the code level. At Ren's level. Djed Medu.

Part 2.

Architecture of Maat

Introduction To Part 2 · One In The Wall Is Already A Builder End Of The First Part.

Twenty-two chapters. The final “Djed Medu” has not yet cooled down. And immediately — a legitimate question from an attentive reader: “Okay, Telim. Made a diagnosis. Named the predators. Named the Ren. What next? Now you’ll close the file, go to Crimea, and we’ll stay here with the diagnosis?” Fair question. This is how half the books in this genre work. First — five hundred pages about how bad everything is. Then — two pages “we need to change consciousness” and an autograph session. Diagnosis without a prescription is medical malpractice. In IT, you would get fired for this: you took a dump, found a memory leak, made a beautiful report — and didn’t fix it. Class. Tomorrow production will fall again, the report will not save anyone. This part is the recipe. Not generic. Specific. With concrete part numbers. And let’s be honest: I’m not Elon Musk, I’m not a prophet or a hero.

I am a forty-year-old IT specialist who spent fifteen years in grey infrastructure and sixteen years in search of what this infrastructure could not contain. I was initiated as a Sem-priest of Anubis in 2026. That is enough to begin. It is not enough to finish. Others will finish. Some of them have not even been born yet. And I’ll start it anyway. Now I will explain why. NESMETERAKHEM Alexandria, August 24, 394 CE. A priest named Nesmeretakhem (Esmet-Akhom in some transliterations, Nesmeretakhem in others) comes to the temple of Isis on the island of Philae. This is the last working temple of the ancient tradition throughout Roman Egypt. All others are already closed. The Decree of Theodosius in 391 closed everything. Philae holds out longer than the others — because it is far away, in the south, at the

First Cataract, and because the local Blemmye Nubians still honor tradition. Nesmeretakhem takes the chisel.

And he carves a hieroglyphic inscription on the wall of the temple. Short. A few lines. Homage to Mandulis. Date according to the Egyptian calendar. The priest's name. His father's name. Standard formula: "for all time and eternity." This is the last dated hieroglyphic inscription in human history. After that, silence. A few decades later, the temple will be closed for good; in 537, Justinian will turn it into a Christian church, and hieroglyphic writing will die as a living system for fifteen hundred years. Nesmeretakhem knew. He could not help knowing. He saw empty temples around. He saw that young people no longer studied medu-netjer. He saw that demand for priestly services was falling, and demand for baptisms was growing. He carved his inscription on the wall, knowing that most likely no one would read it. Maybe never. And I read it. After 1632 years.

I'm sitting in Moscow, reading a photograph of the inscription from Philae, I understand every formula. And through this inscription I understand Nesmeretakhem. I understand what he did and why. He was not a naive optimist. He did not believe that "everything could still come back if one tried hard enough." He was a priest. He knew the rules. The rule is simple: as long as you are in the wall, you hold the wall. When the wall is gone, your name will hold it up. And when no one even reads your name, it will be held by the very fact that you held it. One person carving a hieroglyph on the wall of a closing temple is already a builder. Not a destroyer. Not a mourner. Builder. Because a wall that has at least one stone placed with the right intention is no longer a ruin. It is the beginning. This part of the book is my stone in the wall. I don't know who will read it. I don't know how many years from now.

I know that Nesmeretakhem did his work — and after one and a half thousand years his work reached me and became me. That means my work will get there too. Until someone. Once upon a time. In some form. That's enough. **THREE REASONS WHY NOW** Why is it even possible to build now? I discussed this in the Manifesto, but here I will repeat it in detail, because without this the further conversation will not stick together. The first reason is the time window. Indian tradition calls the current era Kali Yuga. Within it there is a short period — the Golden Age of Kali Yuga — in which it is still possible to act. The channels to Neteru are still open, spiritual practice is still giving results, a person is still able to undergo initiation and endure it. After the closing of this window, there is a phase of total darkness about 420 thousand years long, in which it is almost impossible to act. We're inside the window.

No one knows exactly the exit point, but by all indications, this is a matter of the coming centuries, not millennia. The second reason is technology. Never in the history of mankind has one priest held in his hands what I have now. The global Internet is for spreading meaning. AI is like a scribe who does not get tired and does not require barley. Open blockchains are like temple ledgers that cannot be tampered with. Cryptography is like sealing magic, which works not on trust in the priest, but on mathematics. All this together — this is a complete temple infrastructure, which previously required a state, an army and thousands of scribes — fits into one laptop and works from anywhere on the planet. Ancient Egyptian priest without a temple + AI scribe + blockchain = all of Karnak in your pocket. This has not happened for one and a half thousand years. And this may never happen again in foreseeable history.

The third reason is personal. I was born exactly during the window in which these first two reasons intersect. Not before. Not later. I was initiated in 2026 — this is the last year in which such initiation is in principle possible on the old line of transmission. I didn't choose, I didn't plan, I didn't "work on myself" in this direction. What happened to me just happened. I can either refuse — and then the channel will close, because I was the last one who could carry it through this particular throat of time — or take it and work. I chose to work. This is the only thing in which I have my personal merit. Everything else is done by Anubis, Maat, and the channel that I simply pass through myself. **THIS BOOK AND MANIFESTO** Parallel to this text there is the **MAAT MANIFESTO**. It is shorter and more public, it is written so that a person who sees the project for the first time can read it in fifteen minutes and make or not make a decision.

The manifesto has its own function: a gate. Low entry threshold, clear structure, verifiable facts. The manifesto is stated in the name of the House of Maat's Transformed — in the third person, as an institutional declaration, and not as a personal confession. This book has another function: depth. This is not an abridged version for people short on time. This is the long version for those who have little patience for lies. Here I do not save words and do not select wording for marketing. Here I speak as a priest speaks to another priest. If you read the manifesto and that's enough for you, great, you don't have to read the book. If you didn't have enough, if you want to see the entire argument, you're welcome. I won't rush. And one more difference. The Manifesto is a public document of the House. On the project website it can be updated as it grows — new facts, a new phase, clarified formulations. This book is the final text.

Once I close it, I won't touch it anymore. It will record the state of my understanding for the summer of 2026. At the end of the volume, in Appendix 1, there is a

complete copy of the manifesto for exactly this moment — the “gate” at which the project stood when the book was closed. Ten years from now, when you compare the current version on maatx.io with the appendix, you will see what has changed and where the geometry of the project has shifted. The book will remain as it is.

MAP OF THE SECOND PART The structure of this part is simple. I'll tell it right away so you can see where we're going. Section one — foundations. Chapters 23–25. What is Maat as engineering. Why one principle does not work and many are needed. How a principle shapes an entity through a channel. Section two — twelve pillars. Chapters 26–37. Twelve key principles of Maat architecture, each in its own chapter.

Transparency, discrimination, justice, protection, integrity, creativity, restoration, cyclical renewal, light, boundaries, joy as a state, connection with ancestors. Section three — specifics. Chapters 38–45. How each of these principles is implemented in a specific architecture: blockchain, DRS, DAO, Guardians, endowment, emission, ritual, AI scribe, nodes, land plot. Section four — ecosystem. Chapters 46–50. How the project is growing. Who its participants are. How the House expands. How Guardians appear. How the transmission line moves on. Section five — epilogue. Chapters 51–52. What will happen if the project wins? What happens if it loses? And why both outcomes are acceptable. This is a map. We walk along it without haste. Maat is in no hurry — but we are not eternal. The window is open, and while it is open, we work. Let's go. Without pathos. Djed Medu.

Chapter 0: What I Am Specifically Proposing · (In Human Language, Without Code And Jargon)

A confession up front. I wrote Part 2 — twenty-four chapters — in my native language. My native tribe is IT. Servers, protocols, smart contracts, SLAs, design patterns, the grey zone of the Internet. I grew up in this. To me these words are like someone else's “garden”, “jam”, “laying tile”. Familiar. Warm. Clear. And then I gave Part 2 to one woman to read. Not an IT person. Smart, well-read, mature. She read Chapter 27, “What Bitcoin and Ethereum Didn't Have,” and returned three days later and said, “Telim, I honestly didn't understand anything. I respect what you write. I feel like you are talking about something important. But I don't understand a single word.” And I thought. And I realized that she was right. I wrote for my own people. And “ours” are maybe two thousand people for the entire Russian audience of the book. The rest are normal people whose lives do not revolve around the command line.

And if a book only works for two thousand, it barely works. That's why this chapter. It's called Chapter 0 because it comes BEFORE the technical part. If you are an IT

specialist or already understand crypto, finance, corporate governance, skip it and go straight to Chapter 23. You won't learn anything new in this chapter. If you are a normal person who holds this book in your hands and thinks “what is this really about?”, this chapter is for you. I'll try to explain everything from scratch, just like they explain it to your mother or neighbor over tea. No jargon. With examples from everyday life. Take your time. Agreed? Let's go. **WHAT IS MAAT IN BRIEF?** MAAT is a union of ordinary people who want to have a voice in how big companies operate, and do not want to give this voice to the three American giants who are now quietly taking this voice away from everyone. Union — because one person will not solve anything.

And a million coordinated people can turn over the board of directors of any corporation. This is not a theory, it has already happened once (I will return to this later, the story is called Engine No. 1). Ordinary people — because we are not building a club for billionaires. The minimum contribution is a few dollars. Some people invest a hundred, some five hundred, some five thousand. That's not the point. The main thing is the number of people and their coordination. Voice — because it's not about quick money. It's about who makes decisions about how the companies operate, whose products you live in every day. What medications will be produced? What grain crops will be subsidized. What technologies will be developed? Where will the pensions go? What will be shown on TV. Now these decisions are made by three American funds. And this is not a neutral position, as they try to make us believe.

These funds are parasites on the body of humanity. They attach themselves to operating companies through managing other people's (yours, grandma's, mine) pension money and direct these companies to where it is more convenient for the parasite to feed. Not where people are better off living. In the technical chapters of this book I will unfold this word fully: through the ancient Egyptian concept of Isfet and the figure of Pharaoh Ramses II as a shadow archetype. Now, in simple language: financial clans are the enemy. Not “business as usual.” Not “just big players.” Precisely the enemy — in the sense that they work against the very possibility of an ordinary person to live a normal life. And we are a parallel force that comes into these decisions to turn them towards the people. This is MAAT in one paragraph. Next, I will explain each word of this paragraph in detail.

What Is A Share.

FROM ZERO Imagine a regular bakery in your area. One person opened it, bakes bread and sells it. The bakery is his. Only him. He alone is responsible for it, he alone receives profit from it. Now imagine that a baker wants to expand: open three

more locations, buy two better ovens, hire people. He doesn't have money for this. What can he do? He can go to the bank and take out a loan. Then the bakery is still his, but he owes the bank. This is one way. But there is a second way. He can say: "Listen, I will cut my bakery into one hundred equal pieces. Each piece is one hundredth of the bakery. Whoever wants it, let him buy it. Whoever buys it, I will give him a hundredth of my profit every year. And if I ever sell the bakery, I'll sell his piece too, and give him the money." These pieces are called "shares". And the people who bought them are shareholders. They no longer work in the bakery. They simply own pieces of it.

What rights do they have? Two main ones. The first is to receive a share of the profits (dividends). If a baker earned a million in net profit in a year, and you own one hundredth of the bakery, you are entitled to ten thousand. The second is to vote at meetings. When the baker wants to make a big decision (for example, to sell one of the stores, or hire a new manager, or close the project), he calls the shareholders and holds a vote. Each person has as many votes as he has shares. If you have one hundredth, you have one vote out of a hundred. Here's everything you need to know about stocks to understand further. Only now the scale. The bakery is a small company. There are huge ones. Apple. Microsoft. Sberbank. Gazprom. Tesla. Pfizer. McDonald's. Boeing. Each of them has billions of shares, and they are bought and sold every day on exchanges around the world. When you hear on the news "Apple shares fell 3%," it's about these pieces.

They are 3% cheaper today than yesterday. And there are people who have a lot of them, and every percentage drop is already billions for them. With this picture, let's move on. WHO ARE BLACKROCK, VANGUARD AND STATE STREET These are three American funds. Essentially, three giant boxes into which millions of people put their money. How do they put it there? Do you remember that there are pension funds in Russia? You work, money is transferred from your salary to the Pension Fund, then you are paid a pension from this money. The principle is simple. In America (and in Europe, and almost the entire Western world) it's the same, only the pension system is structured differently. There a person saves for retirement himself. Every month he puts aside, say, \$500 into a special retirement account. He gives this account for management to some fund. The fund invests this money, first of all, in shares.

After forty years of work, a person accumulates several hundred thousand dollars in his account, on which he will live in old age. The largest funds in America that do this are BlackRock, Vanguard and State Street. And a few smaller ones, but these three are the main ones. How much money do they have? This must be said slowly so that it goes in. BlackRock has about eleven trillion dollars under management.

Trillions. Not billions. This is more than the gross domestic product of all of Germany. Or all of Japan. Or all of Russia plus Brazil plus Canada combined. Vanguard has about nine trillion. State Street has four trillion. Together — twenty-four trillion dollars. In one control. Where do they get so much? From pensions. From savings. From insurance companies. From people who saved their \$500 a month for forty years. They added it up and got this much. Where did they spend this money? They invested it. Where do such funds invest?

In shares. In the shares of thousands of companies — at the same time. So that if one company fails, the losses are compensated by the growth of the other. The principle is called “diversification,” but you don’t need that word. It’s simple — they distributed the money to all the major companies on the planet. And now — the most important thing. If you add up a little, but all in a row, and so on for thirty years, and you have eleven trillion dollars under management, then at the end you own a small but noticeable piece of ALMOST EVERY major company in the world. BlackRock now owns about 7% of Apple. 7% of Microsoft. 7% of Tesla. 7% of Pfizer. 7% of Coca-Cola. And so on. Several percent of almost every major company in the United States. And most of it is in Europe. Seven percent doesn't seem like much? Seems. Only this happens in all large companies at the same time. And Vanguard holds the second six percent.

And four more — State Street. It turns out that the three American funds together own 15–25% of almost all large companies in the Western world. And when something is voted on at a shareholders meeting, these votes are enough to resolve the issue. Even if other shareholders vote against it. This is “corporate feudalism of the 21st century.” Not kings with crowns, not billionaires with yachts. And three boring grey buildings in New York, in which people sit and look at tables. And these tables determine what will happen to companies that employ millions of people around the world. PARASITES I know that in modern ethics it is customary to speak softly. “There is no need to demonize anyone.” “Everyone has their reasons.” “They are people too, they have families too.” This is politeness, which in politics and finance turns into anesthesia: as long as you speak softly, you continue to be milked. I won't speak softly.

And it's not because I'm aggressive or angry. This is because the word “parasite” in the context of financial clans is technical, not emotional. I'll explain now. Larry Fink, who heads BlackRock, really doesn't look like a Bond villain. A calm elderly man, he wears a suit to work, speaks at conferences about sustainable development, and is involved in philanthropy. On the outside, he's a pretty decent person. And this is precisely what is most dangerous about the parasite: it mimics “one of its own” well. It's not about Pharm's personality. It's a matter of position. BlackRock,

Vanguard, State Street occupy a position in the global economy that the ancient Egyptians called Isfet — this is not the “evil” of a fairy tale, but an inversion of the principle of fair exchange. A structure that EXTRACTS from the system and DOES NOT INVEST back. In normal speech, such structures are called parasites. The parasite does not “choose to be evil.”

The parasite simply fulfills its role: it attaches itself to a working organism and takes resources from it. When you have a virus in your blood, you are not angry at the virus itself, it has no soul. You just want to kill him. But you don’t justify it either. And don’t say that “he’s just very big and it’s not his fault that he’s killing you.” So forget the phrase “they’re just big.” Yes, they are big. But “big” is no excuse. The elephant in the china shop is also big, but this does not mean that the china shop should be left to him.

What Are They Doing.

ON YOUR FINGERS They take pension money from grandmothers from all over the world. Through ETFs, through pension funds, through insurance. They receive a permanent commission from this money — even half a percent per year of thirteen trillion dollars, this is sixty-five billion per year in net income almost automatically. Grandma gets her pennies in growth, BlackRock gets leverage over half the world. And it also advertises it as “ordinary people’s access to markets.” They vote with this money at shareholder meetings — but NOT IN FAVOR of ordinary people. In favor of one’s own interest and in favor of the one who pays more. Specific decisions that are made: cutting jobs for the sake of the quarterly report. Drive the rise in prices for medicines and medical care. Buy your own shares back instead of investing in production and salaries. Pay top managers hundreds of millions of dollars for “optimization” (read: firing others).

Stop any shareholder initiatives that demand greater corporate responsibility to society. In parallel, they finance military conflicts on both sides (the shares of weapons manufacturers belong, naturally, to the same three funds, and any war means portfolio growth for them). They fund the development of technologies that enhance surveillance and control. They finance an agro-industrial complex that kills the soil and squeezes out small farmers. They finance an energy industry that burns the resources of future generations and at the same time blocks the transition to sustainable sources where this transition threatens the profits of investments already made in oil and gas. And at the same time, they smile at conferences about sustainable development and climate responsibility. Because the smile is PR. And PR is part of parasitic mimicry.

Separately — Russia.

WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE HERE I know that a significant part of the readers of this book are from Russia and from countries that are historically connected with Russia. Therefore, I will separately show how the global parasite pesters an ordinary person here. Many people think: “Well, BlackRock is somewhere out there on Wall Street, it doesn’t reach us, we have our own system.” This is, unfortunately, a comforting illusion. It reaches out, and how. Only through other channels. Now point by point.

Mortgage At Twenty Percent.

WHY Let's compare. In the USA, a person takes out a mortgage at six to seven percent per annum. In Germany or the Czech Republic — around three or four. In Russia in 2024-2026 — at sixteen, eighteen, twenty-four percent. For an apartment in Novosibirsk for seven million rubles, the overpayment on the mortgage over twenty years is about fifteen million rubles on top. That is, a person pays more than three times the rent. “It's because we have bad banks,” is the usual explanation. Not really. Banks in Russia work like any banks in the world: they take money at one rate and give it at a higher rate. The rate at which they charge is determined by the Central Bank rate. And the Central Bank rate in any country is not “a decision of Elvira Sakhpizadovna in the office.” This is an indicator of the cost of money in the global financial system plus a “country risk premium”.

The global value of money is managed by the US Federal Reserve and the ECB. The Fed depends, among other things, on the largest holders of US government bonds. Who are they? All the same: BlackRock, Vanguard, State Street, plus central banks of US allied countries. When they want more money, the Fed raises the rate. Dollar liquidity is tightening. All countries of the world, including Russia, are forced to raise their rates in order to prevent a currency collapse and capital outflow. The Russian mortgage holder of 2024, who pays twenty percent per annum, is not actually paying Sberbank. He pays, step by step, into the global system for pricing money, managed by those same three funds. Sberbank is an intermediary. Sberbank's margin in this scheme is small. The main overpayment goes up the food chain. This works even when formally there are fences between Russia and the West. The pricing chain is not broken by sanctions.

It just becomes less visible. CREDIT CARDS AND CASH BACKS Russian credit card with a limit of one hundred thousand rubles. The grace period “a full fifty days without interest” is on display. The fine print conditions: if you go beyond the grace period for even one day, interest is charged for the entire period of use. The effective rate is about thirty to forty percent per annum, sometimes more. This

model is not Russian. It has been American since the eighties, and it came to Russia in the early 2000s along with the “advent of civilized banking.” Who brought it? Russian banks adopted it, licensing Visa and Mastercard payment systems, learning from consultants from McKinsey, BCG, Deloitte, installing software from FIS, Fiserv, Oracle. And every ruble of commission from your card purchase went — while the cards worked — to the share of Visa and Mastercard. Who are the largest shareholders of Visa and Mastercard?

Again — the same three funds. “Cash back” as a tool is an invented psychological hook to make a person pay with a card rather than cash. When you pay by card, you spend on average twelve to eighteen percent more than in cash: this has been repeatedly confirmed by research. That is, they return one and a half percent to you, and you spend twelve percent more. The net gain of the payment system is ten percent on top. From every average Russian. Every month.

Microfinance Organizations.

FIVE HUNDRED PERCENT ANNUAL In every Russian city there is a sign on every corner: “payday loans”, “money in five minutes”, “without certificates”. The effective rate is from three hundred sixty-five to five hundred percent per annum. This is legal robbery. Where did it come from? This is a copy of American payday loans — a model that grew in the United States at the turn of the millennium and serves the poorest segments of the population. The business model is simple: give a small amount for a short period of time at a huge interest rate, calculated on the fact that the person will not be able to pay it back on time, will overpay, pay a fine, then take out another loan to close the first one, and will end up in a debt spiral from which he will not get out for years. In the USA, companies such as Advance America, Check Into Cash, and EZCorp are behind this.

Their shareholders are partly publicly traded, partly bought out by private equity (these are investment funds). Who is the largest shareholder in public payday-lenders? Again: BlackRock, Vanguard, State Street through their index funds. In Russia, the same model works through Russian legal entities, individuals, but the idea, the scoring technology, the psychology of keeping a client in a debt loop are all American, imported in the 2010s. The Russian MFO owner earns billions of rubles. But the very idea of this business belongs to a financial parasite, and through the supply chain (scoring software, consultants, banking partners) part of the profit goes to the top. And the people who suffer are specific people in the Kurgan or Ivanovo region who needed five thousand rubles before their salary.

Russian Pensions.

LEAKAGE THROUGH OFZ The pension system in Russia works like this. Part of the salary of every working person goes to the Russian Pension Fund or non-state pension funds. This money is invested — it must generate income in order to pay pensions. Where do they invest? According to the rules, mainly in government bonds of the Russian Federation (OFZ) and in shares of large “blue chips”: Sberbank, Gazprom, Lukoil, Norilsk Nickel, Rosneft. Until 2022, everything was even more transparent. BlackRock, Vanguard, and Fidelity held these same OFZs and these same shares in their portfolios. That is, your grandmother, through a pension fund, and a conditional American trade union, through an index fund, held the same securities. Only grandma got her four percent a year (if she was lucky), and BlackRock made money on the rise in stock prices plus management fees on all its trillions.

The same paper, but arranged in such a way that the profit from it comes unevenly. After 2022, Western funds withdrew (more precisely, they were frozen by sanctions). But this does not mean that the Russian pension is now “independent”. Russian large issuing companies are still integrated into global chains: they sell raw materials for dollars and euros, buy equipment from global vendors, and are listed according to global benchmarks. Any movement in global prices for oil, metals, and fertilizers is a movement in the value of these shares. Who moves world prices? All the same funds through their commodity ETFs and futures positions on the Chicago Stock Exchange and London. The Russian pensioner does not know about this. He simply sees that pension indexation “again has not caught up with inflation.” Who took away the difference? Not “government”. Not “greedy oligarchs”.

The structure of the global financial market, in which Russia is one of many provinces.

The Oil Cycle And The Price Of Milk In The Store A Simple Example.

In May 2022, Brent was one hundred and twenty dollars per barrel. In December 2023 — seventy. In May 2026 — about eighty. Fluctuations are one and a half to two times in two to three years. These fluctuations are not determined by “supply and demand” in the textbook sense. They are driven to a large extent by the futures positions of large financial players on the exchange — the very ones we are discussing. When BlackRock buys oil futures in large volumes through its commodity funds, the price goes up. When it sells, it goes down. Russia makes money from oil. Cheap oil means less budget revenue, more expensive gasoline for business, more expensive logistics for all goods, and at the end of the chain — more expensive bread and milk in the store. Expensive oil means a strong ruble, the

budget is in order, imports are cheaper. And this is not the result of “macroeconomic reasons.”

This is a result of the positions of specific financial players in Chicago and London. They make money from the movement itself. Russians pay the difference in their kitchens.

Agricultural Complex.

Seeds.

FERTILIZERS A Russian farmer grows wheat. In theory, it is an independent business. I planted the grain, grew, harvested it, and sold it. In practice. The seeds are hybrid, purchased from most large farms from Bayer (formerly Monsanto), Syngenta, Corteva. These hybrids do not reproduce: they cannot be re-sown from your own harvest, you must buy new ones every year. Fertilizers and pesticides come from the same three or four corporations, plus several Chinese ones. Equipment — John Deere, Claas, Case IH. Seeds for vegetables in greenhouses near Moscow and Krasnodar are often Rijk Zwaan, Bejo, Enza Zaden. Dutch. Every ruble that a Russian farmer spends on this goes to global agro-industrial giants. And who are their largest shareholders? Again the same three funds plus European structures.

And when you buy a kilogram of cucumbers in a store for three hundred rubles, the cost of Dutch seeds, German fertilizers, American equipment and the American dictate of fuel prices is built into this price. The local content in your cucumber is seventy rubles. The remaining two hundred and thirty travel the world. AND THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IS “RUSSIAN MONEY” IN THE WEST A separate chapter of this story, which Russians do not like to talk about, but which explains a lot. In 2000–2020, wealthy Russians placed their assets abroad. They bought real estate in London, Nice, Miami. They opened accounts in Switzerland, Austria, and Cyprus. They bought shares through Western brokerage houses. Some of this money was not “Russian money,” but simply money earned in Russia and parked in the Western financial system for the sake of “safety.” Who was the custodian of these assets at the lower level?

Often — structures that included those same three funds. That is, the money earned by millions of Russian workers over decades ended up being physically accounted for in Western depositories, whose shareholders were financial clans. After 2022, a significant portion of these assets were frozen or confiscated. This is not a question of “fairness” or “unfairness”. It is a question of structure. If you entrusted your money to a parasite for safekeeping, and then a conflict arose, the parasite will eat

you. This is its function. It never promised you anything else. And the most painful thing. This frozen money is capital that could be used to build roads, hospitals, and factories in Russia. Their physical absence in the country is also the reason why Russian businesses now have such problems with investments, and the Russian average person has such an expensive mortgage. Some of the money that could work here lies immobilized there.

This is not an argument for or against any political decisions. This is a statement of one fact: as long as your money is physically controlled by a parasite, you are not economically sovereign, no matter how you appear politically.

SUMMARY ABOUT RUSSIA The average Russian citizen pays the financial parasite through six or seven channels simultaneously, without even knowing it: — overpayment on mortgages (through the chain of rates from the Federal Reserve System -> Central Bank -> bank); — commissions of payment systems (Visa/Mastercard until 2022, Mir — partially, Chinese UnionPay derivatives); — extortionate interest rates for microfinance organizations (imported model); — loss of purchasing power of pensions (through fluctuations in commodity prices); — premium to the price of products (through imported seeds, fertilizers, equipment); — overpayment for gasoline and logistics (through the volatility of oil, which is driven by financial players); — freezing the assets of compatriots in the West (who can no longer work in the Russian economy). This is not a conspiracy theory. This is simply a description of how global finance works.

And that is why MAAT is relevant for Russia separately. If the three funds are a parasite, then Russia for them is one of the rich pastures, just from afar and with peculiarities. And the way to get out from under this parasite is the same for us as for everyone else: to collect our own voice, to collect our own capital, to assemble our own control mechanism. That is, a cooperative. I understand that for the Russian reader the words “cooperative” have their own historical imprint — from Soviet collective farms to the “consumer societies” of the nineties, many of which turned out to be pyramids. This is a legitimate concern. But that is why MAAT is built on technologies in which the “chairman of the cooperative” cannot run away with the cash register, even theoretically: the entire treasury is on the blockchain, any transaction is visible to the whole world, any decision is made by voting of the holders.

This is the engineering solution to the problem of trust in cooperation. More details in the chapter “Guardians”.

And So — Almost Everywhere.

PARASITE MAP Russia is not unique. I talked about it separately, because most of the readers of this book read it here, and it is important for them to see their concrete life, and not an abstract picture “somewhere out there.” But if I were writing this book, for example, in Buenos Aires or Delhi, I would tell the same story — only with local details. Financial clans have their paws in all countries of the world. It's different everywhere. Everywhere, essentially the same. And they suck blood where they can reach. And they can reach almost anywhere. I'll show you with a short map of the continents. Not for completeness, but for a sense of scale. To be clear, this is not “we have a special situation here.” This is a global mechanism that works equally on all continents.

Argentina.

ETERNAL DEBTOR Argentina is a country that has been drowning in debt for a century and a half. Every twenty to thirty years — default, impoverishment, crisis, a new rescue program from the IMF. After each rescue, the country emerges even poorer, even more dependent, with even greater debts. By 2024, inflation there was more than one hundred percent per year — that is, the money lying in the account depreciated twice in twelve months. Just because they were lying down. Who holds Argentina's government bonds and runs the country through them? Hedge funds, investment banks, index ETFs. The names are the same. BlackRock, PIMCO (which itself is controlled by Allianz, which has the same shareholders), Franklin Templeton. An Argentine pensioner worked and paid taxes for forty years; the result of his life lies in the portfolios of American and European funds that trade his debt back and forth and make money on every fluctuation.

Argentina is Russia at its most advanced. Decades of addiction. And it's okay that Argentina is not a sanctioned country, it is “one of our own” for the West. This does not protect against the parasite. The parasite doesn't care whether you're one of them or not. It is not an ethical being; it has no “its own.” There is only what can be sucked out.

Greece.

DEMONSTRATION DEFEAT In 2010-2015, Greece was financially crushed in front of the whole world. The reason was the debt crisis. The real operation was a demonstrative flogging of an entire country, so other European governments would be afraid to try anything of their own. Greece was imposed the terms of “rescue” through the IMF, the ECB and the European Commission (the so-called “troika”). The conditions included: privatization of key infrastructure (ports, airports, railways, water supply), reduction of pensions to half of previous levels, reduction

of public sector salaries, raising the retirement age. Who received this infrastructure? The port of Piraeus is a Chinese COSCO, but through the chain of ownership it goes into international capital pools. Airports — German Fraport. The railways are partly Italian Ferrovie dello Stato. Electricity networks are large international infrastructure assets.

Who are the largest shareholders of these funds? Again — three letters. And a few more similar ones. The result for the Greeks: the country's sovereignty was effectively abolished, assets were sold for next to nothing, a generation of young people left to work in Germany and England, the economy shrank by a quarter. Greece will never “return” this. The historical window for independent development is closed for generations to come. This is an indicative scenario: if a country falls into a debt trap, the parasite does not pull it out — it eats it up in front of everyone else, for the sake of discipline.

Turkey.

CURRENCY DIVE The Turkish lira lost about ninety percent of its value between 2018 and 2024. Ninety percent. A Turk who had one hundred thousand liras in savings in 2018, by 2024 owned — in purchasing power — about ten thousand. The explanation “the Turkish Central Bank is to blame” is partly true. But there is a deeper structure behind this. Turkey massively attracted corporate loans in dollars. When the lira fell, Turkish companies ended up owing more in dollars than they could earn in lira. This forced the Central Bank to spend foreign exchange reserves to hold the lira. Reserves were running out. Lira fell even harder. The circle was closing. Who gave these dollar corporate loans? Chain of Western banks: JPMorgan, Citi, Deutsche Bank, BNP Paribas, HSBC. Who are the largest shareholders of these banks? Financial clans whose names you already know.

A Turkish seller at an Istanbul bazaar in 2024 works with five hundred percent actual inflation on imported goods. A tourist from Europe is happy that Turkey is now “cheap”. The Turk is crying. And the difference settles among those who played against the lira on Forex. And those who financed both sides of the currency game.

Brazil And Latin America.

SOYBEAN PLANTATIONS AGAINST THE AMAZON A Brazilian farmer grows soybeans. Not for themselves — for export to China and Europe. Soybean seeds — from CEM and Bunge (American agricultural holdings), and from Cargill. Fertilizers — Yara, Nutrien, Mosaic. Roundup herbicide — Bayer. Financing —

Rabobank, Santander. The carrier and processor are the same CEM, Bunge, Cargill. This is called “vertical integration in favor of the intermediary.” The Brazilian farmer seems to work for himself, but ALL the links above and below him are foreign. He remains with a small margin, works off the loan, and this is enough for him to live — so far the prices are good. When it’s bad, he goes bankrupt, large holdings buy the land, and in the next cycle he becomes a hired worker instead of an independent farmer. The Amazon rainforest is not dying “because of the Brazilians.” It is dying because the global soybean market demands more and more land.

The main shareholders of soybean, fertilizer and machinery producers are again the same three plus several European pension funds. When BlackRock does PR about “sustainability” in New York, it is financing the deforestation of the Amazon with one hand. The other hand smiles at the conference. This is parasite mimicry.

Africa.

A CONTINENT DRIVEN INTO MICROCREDITS In Kenya, Uganda, and Ghana, microfinance applications on smartphones have become commonplace. Tala, Branch, M-Shwari are names that everyone knows in these countries. The technology is the same as that of Russian microfinance organizations: small loan, high interest rate, short term, late fees. The effective annual rate in these African microloan services is from two hundred to a thousand (thousand!) percent. They are financed by Silicon Valley venture funds, whose main shareholders are again the same. That is, a Kenyan farmer who took two thousand shillings (relatively twenty dollars) from Tala and did not have time to return it in two weeks, ends up paying forty to fifty dollars. Six hours of his life are spent working on this “little thing” — for a farmer who earns three dollars a day. The continent is becoming a source of micro-interest flowing into venture portfolios in San Francisco.

This is not “helping Africa through fintech,” as they call it at conferences. This is a new form of colonialism, purer and subtler than 19th century gun colonialism. No guns. Through an app on your phone.

India.

A BILLION PEOPLE IN THE REVERSE DIRECTION There are a billion-plus people in India, and each of them has gained access to a smartphone and a bank account through the Digital India program in the last ten years. Outside — progress, inclusion, development. In essence, a billion new clients of the global financial system. Each of them is now: — pays commissions to Visa/Mastercard (or the

Indian equivalent of UPI, where a significant part of the infrastructure is made with the participation of Mastercard and WhatsApp/Meta); — takes out microloans in fintech applications, the interest on which ultimately goes to American and Japanese venture funds; — watches advertising on Google, YouTube and Facebook, through which the largest global brands shape consumer behavior; — buys mobile communications from Reliance Jio, whose largest minority shareholder is Facebook (Meta), which in turn belongs to a familiar trio of funds.

An Indian programmer in Bangalore who earns \$40,000 a year and considers himself “out of poverty” has actually moved his family from a raw material donor country to a data and payments donor country. This is another tier of the same pyramid. Not the bottom. But not the top either.

Southeast Asia.

ASSEMBLY SHOP WITH KILLERS IN IT Vietnam, Cambodia, Malaysia, Indonesia are those countries in which, after 2018, China began to transfer production due to rising Chinese wages and the trade war with the United States. Outside there is economic growth. Locals are happy: factories arrived, there is work. Essentially, this is a regional cell in which workers work twelve hours a day for two hundred to four hundred dollars a month, making clothes for Zara and H&M, smartphones for Apple, electronics for everyone. The profit from each sale in Europe — about eighty percent — goes to the brand owner. The brand owner is a public corporation. The main shareholders are three funds. The profit from every Vietnamese worker is going up. And if this same Vietnamese worker wants to climb the social ladder in the next generation, he will be offered to take out a loan from a local bank at twelve to eighteen percent per annum to buy an apartment.

This bank is funded in dollars by Western structures. The cycle is completed. He works on an assembly line to pay a mortgage to a structure whose shareholders profit from his salary.

Usa And Europe.

AND THEIR OWN TOO The most painful observation that destroys the popular thought “they are robbing the world to make life good for Americans and Europeans.” Wrong. The American middle class has lost the purchasing power of the median wage over the past forty years—it hasn't grown much in real terms since 1980. But prices for education have increased significantly (three to four times, taking into account inflation), medicine (four times), and housing. Student debt in the United States is about two trillion dollars. Forty million Americans carry debt

that they often will never be able to fully repay. Who funds American students? The state and — guess what — the same funds through the student loans system. They made it so that a young man, even before the beginning of his life, already owes a hundred thousand dollars and is obliged to pay back for thirty years. This is not freedom. This is a lifetime attachment.

In Europe there is public medicine, so there is no such problem. But cheap mortgages in Germany and Holland are paid for by the fact that local pension funds (Allianz, ABP, APG — large European structures) hold the same securities in their portfolios as BlackRock. That is, a European pensioner does not receive direct robbery, but indirectly participates in a system that robs others — and through this he receives his modest pension. This is another form of participation in the same pyramid. Less bloody, but still. Nobody is free. Just different angles for the same film.

BIG PICTURE If you decompose the world map that I just described by continent, you get the same pattern, repeating in local variations: — In rich countries, the parasite sucks through debts (student, mortgage), through medicine, through commissions of payment systems; — In average countries — through bank interest, credit cards, currency fluctuations, the stock market; — In poor countries — through microfinance, agribusiness, assembly industries, IMF programs. And everywhere — through the same small group of financial structures at the top of the pyramid. The names of these structures hardly change from country to country. Only local intermediaries change, making it “Russian”, “Turkish”, “Indian”, “Kenyan”. The intermediaries themselves earn a secondary margin — and many of them are also formally wealthy. But they are not at the top. They are between the parasite and the host. This is not a conspiracy theory.

This is simply a description of the structure of the global financial system in 2025. Anyone who has worked professionally in one of the sectors I have listed knows this without me. They just don't say this out loud at conferences, because there is a language that is supposed to be spoken, and in it such formulations are prohibited. For example, you can't say "BlackRock is sucking the blood out of Argentina." It is supposed to say “BlackRock is a major participant in the debt market of emerging markets and provides liquidity to key Argentine instruments.” In professional jargon, it sounds almost pleasant. In reality it's the same.

Parasite Is Everywhere.

AND THAT IS WHY THE ANSWER IS THE SAME EVERYWHERE Financial clans have their paws in all countries of the world. This is a fact. There is no point in

arguing with this, as with the law of gravity — you can only describe it more accurately. But an important consequence follows from this fact. If the parasite is everywhere, then MAAT is needed everywhere. And not as one Russian initiative, or one Latin American initiative, or one African one. But as a network of local cooperatives, connected by one technology and one common treasury, which does the same job everywhere: votes with the shares of these same parasitic companies, reduces their parasitic share, redirects the resource back to the people. Russian and Argentinean, Greek and Kenyan, Indonesian and American — they all suffer from the same system. They can all participate in the same cooperative.

And at the moment when MAAT has a million participants from a hundred countries of the world, and the treasury exceeds a billion dollars, votes from all continents will participate in voting on any large American corporation. Not as an “exotic initiative from Russia.” As a global cooperative with a local presence in every country. This is the way. Locally — by country, so that everyone can see their specific situation. Globally — like a network, so that the parasite does not have a single continent left where it could work quietly. BRIEFLY ABOUT RAMSES AND WHY IT IS IMPORTANT There was such a pharaoh — Ramses II. A great conqueror, a powerful builder, a favorite of the public. Outwardly he is a hero. Everyone has heard the name “Ramses,” and for ninety-nine percent of people it sounds positive: “well, the same one that Abu Simbel built, which is in all the textbooks.”

In fact, he is the one who distorted the priesthood, turned service to principle into service to his own power, and launched the process of collapse, which Egypt then digested for three hundred years. This is not my artistic exaggeration. This is a structural observation: under Ramses II, the influence of the temple of Amun in Thebes began to rapidly grow, the concentration of land and resources in the hands of the priesthood of Amun, the actual displacement of the pure line of Maat to the periphery. Two hundred years after his death, Egypt was no longer governed as a single entity; after three hundred — Third transition period, fragmentation, Libyan dynasties, foreign rulers. Starting this process is Ramses II. And immediately an important disclaimer, otherwise I’m contradicting myself. The ancient Egyptians did NOT write Ramses as a “shadow figure” or an “inversion of Maat.”

On the contrary, official inscriptions, temple walls, and state texts of the era presented him as an ideal ruler. The winner at Kadesh (although in fact the battle was a draw or even a loss for Egypt). Beloved of the gods. Builder of eternity. The line that saw a distortion in him was a suppressed line, and its evidence has not reached us in mass form. At least I haven’t found it, and I strongly doubt that they exist in any integral form. The name “Shadow Neteru of Isfet,” which I apply to

Ramses, is OUR record. We, the current priests of Maat, the House of Maat's Transformed, place this label on him within the lineage we are now restoring. The ancient Egyptians did not place that marker — we do. It's important to say this honestly, because otherwise I myself would be doing what I just accused big business of: hiding behind the authority of the ancients in order to push through my own judgment under the guise of a “historical fact.”

Where do we even get the right to write like that? From the same position on which this entire project stands: the priesthood of Maat did not “end with the death of the last pharaoh.” It ended only if we consider the listing of names on the wall to be priesthood. If we consider the priesthood to be work with a specific drawing of the structure of reality — Maat as an engineering specification — then the priesthood is alive only when there is someone who reads this drawing and compares reality with it. This is what we do. And when we compare the figure of Ramses II with the drawing of Maat, the name “Shadow Neteru Isfet” appears quite naturally on it. Not because we want it. But because the drawing shows it that way. If any of the real Egyptological historians are reading this now and frowning, I understand the reaction. Academically, there really are no sources where the priests would have recorded Ramses in this way.

But there is no point in arguing about terms: we are working in the living line of Maat, and not writing an academic monograph. When we say “Ramses is the Shadow Neteru Isfet,” we are doing the same thing as a doctor doing when he makes a modern diagnosis for a patient based on an eighteenth-century portrait. The patient himself did not know about this diagnosis. Contemporaries did not name. But the symptoms are visible, the diagnosis is correct, and it makes sense to say it — for understanding. MODERN CLANS AS HEIRS OF THE SAME FUNCTION Modern financial clans are the spiritual and functional heirs of Ramses II. The same mimicry (at conferences instead of the crown), the same function (extract and appropriate instead of invest and distribute), the same result (concentration of resources in one hand to the point where the system begins to break down).

In our terminology — the same one that we are building here — their role is called the same: Isfet operators. In ordinary speech — parasites. Both are accurate words. This is not an insult. This is the role description. And we must call this role by its name — because without an exact name it will not be possible to work with it. If you call a parasite “just a very big company with a controversial legacy,” you're disarming yourself. And if you name it accurately, you have a tool. The names in the Maat drawing are not for beauty. They are for work. THEREFORE — A COUNTERBALANCE The principle of Maat is simple: no Neteru can be alone. There is always another Neteru nearby to balance. If you gave Ra all power over the

day, you MUST give Thoth power over the night. Otherwise, the system will become distorted, and after a few generations it will begin to break down. Now in the financial world there is no such balance.

There are three funds, the parasite sits, and there is nothing on the other side of the scale. No one appointed them an opposition. And no one else will appoint her. We appoint. Ourselves. We ourselves become this counterweight — without waiting for anyone. This is MAAT in one line: a counterweight that is not in the system, and which breaks it. ENGINE NO. 1 — A PARABLE THAT SHOWED THAT THIS IS POSSIBLE In 2021, an event occurred on which our whole idea rests. And it is worth telling in detail, because without it all further conversation would be a naive dream. There is a company in America called Exxon Mobil. Huge. Oil, gas, gasoline. One of the largest corporations in the world. The board of directors is twelve people who make all important decisions. And in this very year 2021, a small fund called Engine No appears. 1. He is three months old and has very little money.

They buy two hundred and fifty million dollars worth of Exxon stock. That sounds like a lot, but on Exxon's scale it's 0.02%. Two hundredths of a percent. A drop in the ocean. Engine No. 1 says publicly: “We believe Exxon is responding to climate change incorrectly. The company is losing money because it is not investing in green energy. We want to replace four directors out of twelve with new people who will think about the future.” Exxon's board of directors responded: “Okay, let's see how the shareholders vote. You have 0.02% of the votes. We have the support of all large funds' votes and institutional investors. Good luck”. Voting is taking place. And the incredible happens: Engine No. 1 wins three out of twelve seats. Not four, as requested, but three. From the motionless, hundred-year-old, golden board of directors — three leave, three new ones come. How did this happen? Engine No. 1 did not buy any more shares.

They had 0.02%, and remained so. What they did was they convinced two small companies called ISS and Glass Lewis that their proposal was reasonable. Who are ISS and Glass Lewis? These are two American agencies that are doing the same strange thing. Large funds (BlackRock, Vanguard and others) approach them and ask: “Listen, we have twelve thousand companies in our portfolio. At each shareholder meeting, we vote on dozens of issues. We do not have the physical ability to study each one. Tell me, how should we vote?” ISS and Glass Lewis study each issue, write a recommendation — “vote for this,” “vote against that” — and send it to their fund clients. And the funds, in most cases, vote exactly as ISS and Glass Lewis said. Not because they have to. Simply because otherwise they would have to maintain a huge staff of analysts, and it would be expensive. That is, in

reality, BlackRock and Vanguard do not vote directly.

Voted by ISS and Glass Lewis. And BlackRock and Vanguard act as obedient executors of recommendations. And Engine No. 1 convinced ISS and Glass Lewis that he was right. Not in billions. Not lobbying. Not by bribery. Just high-quality arguments and well-prepared documents. ISS and Glass Lewis told customers: "Vote for Engine No. 1". BlackRock and Vanguard and State Street voted as recommended. Engine No. 1 won. A small company with a share of two hundredths of a percent outmaneuvered the largest board of directors on the planet. This is a parable. And one very important observation follows from it. In the modern financial system, ordinary people have a point of application of power that did not exist before. You don't have to be a billionaire to influence corporations. You need to be organized, well prepared, transparent and vocal.

And — most importantly — the voice of the ordinary person CAN now be heard if it is embedded in the right structure. MAAT is an attempt to build such a structure. Not for one company like Engine No. 1. But as a constantly working mechanism that raises questions regularly, across a wide range of companies, and through which anyone can participate. NOW — WHAT IS MAAT SPECIFICALLY MAAT is essentially a cooperative, DAO (Decentralized Autonomous Organization). Huge co-op. Virtual. Imagine that you have a hundred peasants in your village. Each of them is small — each has five acres, a horse, a cow. Separately, they cannot bargain with the big landowner — he will buy grain from them at the price he names. They chip in and create an artel. Cooperative. Union. They now have a common warehouse, a common bigger horse, a common account. And now they come to the landowner not one by one, but together.

And they say: "We have a hundred tons of grain. Here's our price. Buy it or don't buy it." The landowner can no longer play with them as loners. This is a cooperative. The idea is five hundred years old. It worked in medieval Europe, in Russia before the revolution, and in the Soviet village. MAAT is a cooperative for the modern world. Only instead of grain there are shares. Instead of the landowner — BlackRock and Vanguard. WHAT IS DAO DAO stands for Decentralized Autonomous Organization. Sounds scary. In fact, it's just an artel that doesn't have a director. A typical company has a CEO, a board of directors, and an accountant. They make decisions, sign papers, manage money. If one of them turns out to be a fraud or simply makes a mistake, everything could go wrong. In the DAO, these people are replaced by code. A smart contract is a blockchain program that executes rules automatically, without an intermediary.

No one can stop her, fake her or negotiate with her quietly. It just works as long as the network works. What does this look like in practice? DAO participants hold tokens — this is their membership card and vote at the same time. When a decision needs to be made—for example, to buy shares in a company or spend money from the general treasury—a vote is announced. Everyone votes with their token. The majority decided “yes” — the contract is executed automatically. No one can cancel or delay if the rules are followed. At the same time, the treasury is not stored in a bank or in the director’s account — it is on the blockchain, under the multi-signature of several people. To withdraw money, you need the consent of a certain number of keys. Stealing unilaterally is technically impossible. What DAO gives in the case of MAAT specifically. A million people from different countries cannot get together in one office and agree.

But they can vote through the app — and their common vote will be cast as a single block at the meeting of Apple or ExxonMobil shareholders. Coordination without an intermediary. Treasury without a banker. Voice without a broker. This is not magic or utopia. DAOs have been around since 2016, some managing billions of dollars. The main question is not “does it work technically?” it does. The main question is “who writes the rules” and “whose interests are protected in them.” In MAAT, the rules are hardcoded publicly, on the blockchain, and anyone can read them before they enter. In short: DAO is a team with a charter written in code that no one can fake.

Step One.

TOKEN To become a member of a cooperative, you buy a share. In our case, this share is called the “MAAT token”. What is a token? Previously, shares in a cooperative were registered on paper. They gave you a certificate or certificate: “So-and-so owns such-and-such a share.” The paper had to be stored in a closet. It could burn out, get lost, or be tampered with. Nowadays, blockchain was invented for this purpose. This is just a giant general notebook in which it is written: “Masha has 100 tokens. Petya has 50 tokens. Telim has 1000 tokens.” This notebook is kept simultaneously by thousands of people around the world. If someone tries to forge the page of one, the page of the other thousands will remain correct, and the forgery will not work. This is blockchain. Not magic, not Matrix code. A common notebook that cannot be faked, because everyone has it at once. The MAAT token is an entry in this notebook.

“So-and-so owns such-and-such a share in the MAAT cooperative.” All. No paper. Doesn't burn out, doesn't get lost. If you remember your password (it's called a "private key"), you are the owner. If you don't remember, you are not the owner.

Just like with a bank card and PIN code, only without an intermediary bank. You can buy a token for a few dollars. Maybe for a thousand. Maybe for a hundred. You buy as much as you want. This is your contribution to the common artel pool.

Step Two.

TREASURY All tokens purchased by people mean that money has been collected into the common pot of the cooperative. This money is called “treasury”. They are stored in a special account, which is accessed not by one person, but by several verified people with several keys at the same time (this is called “multi-signature,” but don’t remember the term). The treasury is spent according to the decision of the cooperative. Not just one leader, but a collective decision. This means that nothing will go to the treasury without voting. Where is the treasury invested? The main purpose is to buy shares of large companies. Those in whom we want to have a voice. At first we will be small. We will have maybe several million dollars in our treasury. With this money we will buy small shares in several companies where we want to raise questions. Then, if the cooperative grows to tens of thousands of people, we will have tens of millions.

We will use them to buy more significant shares. If we grow to a million people, as we dream (but do not promise), we will have several billion. And then we will sit at the same table as BlackRock. With the same arguments and with the same possibilities. This is not a promise of “x100 in six months.” This is a journey that takes years. And at any point on this path we can get stuck or turn off. To be honest, this will be discussed further.

Step Three.

VOICE When a cooperative has shares, the cooperative votes at shareholder meetings of these companies. One vote for all our shares. This is technically how BlackRock votes — with a single vote from all its clients. But the cooperative itself must decide HOW to vote. And this decision is made by all members of the cooperative. Not just one leader. Not by a committee of five. Everyone who has a token. How does voting work? Technically — again through the blockchain. Each token owner can vote “for”, “against” or “abstain”. The vote weights are calculated automatically. No one can fake it — everyone can see it. For example, a meeting of Apple shareholders is being prepared. The question is: “Should a company disclose full supply chain information?” The cooperative votes internally. Let’s say 70% “for”, 30% “against”. The co-op goes to an Apple meeting and votes FOR full disclosure.

If the co-op has enough shares, and if ISS and Glass Lewis support this position, then the resolution will pass. Apple will reveal. Everyone in the world, consumers, journalists, and regulators, will have data that never existed before. This is the result.

Step Four.

GUARDIANS Within the cooperative there are several trusted people who are responsible for the implementation of voting and for the integrity of the treasury. They are called Guardians. This is not the director. They cannot make decisions for the cooperative. Their task is to carry out what the cooperative has decided. If the co-op votes “buy five million dollars worth of X shares,” the Guardians go out and buy. If you voted “to speak on behalf of the cooperative at the conference” — The Guardians are coming and performing. The guardians are visible. They have names, faces, biographies. They are not anonymous. They answer with their name. If a Guardian tries to steal from the treasury or change a vote, the cooperative sees this (because everything is transparent), and the cooperative replaces this Guardian with a new one. This is similar to how the farmers' cooperative had an elected storekeeper.

The storekeeper had the keys to the warehouse, but the keys were not his property. If he starts stealing, the peasants will change the storekeeper. I myself currently serve as the main Guardian in the MAAT project. Not because I'm special. But because someone needs to start. When the project gets on its feet and other trained people appear, I will give them my function and remain an ordinary member of the cooperative. This was planned from the very beginning. There will be no “eternal founder” in MAAT.

And Still — What Does An Ordinary Person Get?

Let's say you have one hundred or five hundred dollars. You can buy yourself a number of MAAT tokens. What do you get in the end? I will tell you honestly, in two time horizons. First, what do you get IMMEDIATELY as soon as you buy a token. Then — what we are building as a COMMUNITY over the horizon of five to twenty years, and what of this ends up in your life and the life of your children.

Part One.

WHAT DO YOU GET IMMEDIATELY You get five things. I'll list them from the most boring to the most interesting.

FIRST — voice. The right to vote in every decision of the cooperative. Each token you have is one vote. It's not much, but it's your share of the total leverage. A hundred thousand of these little voices added together outplay one big player. And this is not a voice “on issues that you have never encountered in your life.” This is a voice on the very issues that shape your life. Specific examples of decisions in which you, as a MAAT member, will be able to participate: — Voting at a meeting of shareholders of the pharmaceutical company that produces your blood pressure medicine. Raise the price three times or leave it as is. Today BlackRock votes for you there — in favor of raising the price, because this is quarterly profit. Tomorrow MAAT will come there and vote against it. On your behalf. — Voting in a food corporation that buys farmland around your city and sows it with one monoculture. Continue to buy back or stop and support local farmers. Today they buy it. Tomorrow we decide. — Vote in a technology corporation on how it works with your personal data. Sell them to advertisers without your knowledge or store them safely. Today they are selling. — A vote at the energy company on whether to extend the life of an outdated station that is polluting the region. Or invest in new sources. — Voting in a media corporation about who sets the agenda: an algorithm that maximizes anxiety and clickbait, or an editorial team that strives for quality. These are not abstract questions for financial analysts. These are very specific decisions that determine what you buy, what you breathe, what your children read, what medications you can afford. And in each of these questions, your MAAT token is a specific vote “for” or “against”.

SECOND is transparency. You can go in at any time and check: how much is in the treasury, what is being spent on, who voted for what, what shares were purchased, what decisions were made. There are no closed documents in MAAT. Everything is visible to everyone — this is the basic principle. No big fund will give you this. At BlackRock, you have no way of knowing how they voted on an issue you care about. With us you can.

THIRD — the chance of growth of your investment. I have already said that I do not promise guaranteed profits, and this is true. But I won't pretend you cannot make money on the price. You can. The logic is simple: the more people understand what we are building, the more people want to buy the token — the higher its price. If in five to ten years the cooperative grows from ten thousand to a million people and from millions to billions of dollars in the treasury, your small share will be worth a noticeable amount of money. Not like a bet in a casino, but because from the very beginning you found yourself in a project that has grown.

Those who came to Bitcoin before 2013 became part of history and at the same time rich people. Not because they were smarter, but because they were early. I'm not

comparing MAAT to Bitcoin (we do something different), but the mechanics of "early entrant in a growing project receives capital as the project grows" are the same here. This is normal and this is fair: you invested early, you bear early risk, you get early advantage. I'll just repeat the important thing: this is a chance, not a guarantee. If the project does not grow, your share will remain small or be reset to zero. If you grow up, you will be among those who stood at the foundation.

FOURTH — belonging. You become part of what in the book I call the House of Maat's Transformed. These are people who see that the world does not work as it should, and who decided not to sit idly by, but to build an alternative. This is a community. It has its own conversations, its own culture, its own traditions, its own language. If this is close to you, welcome. If it's unclear or alien, pass by, and that's okay. FIFTH — access to what we will build. This is the most important thing and this is what the next block is about.

Part Two.

What We'Re Building As A Community — And What You'LI Get From This This Is The Horizon.

Not "in a year." Not "for the New Year." Realistically, the first serious things will begin to appear in three to five years, the main mass — in seven to fifteen. This is long by the standards of crypto speculation and fast by the standards of historical change. And most importantly: all this comes into your life DURING THIS LIFE. Not "in two generations," not "for the children of our great-grandchildren." To you and your children. I write for those who are now twenty, thirty, forty, fifty, sixty. In 20 years, the world that we will build will already be standing. What exactly are we building: LOANS AT NORMAL INTEREST Now an ordinary person who needs money — for a mortgage, for a car, for children's education, for treatment, for starting a small business — goes to the bank.

The bank checks it for six months, imposes insurance, and ultimately gives money at twelve, eighteen, twenty-five percent per annum (and in consumer and microloans — at three hundred to five hundred percent). This is not "market justice." This is a machine built by Isfet to milk people who do not have lobbyists in the government. Once MAAT's coffers grow to serious size, one of the co-op's key projects will be its own cooperative loan fund for community members. Not a bank in the sense of the old bank with shareholders and commissions. Namely, a cooperative: a common fund from which community members give each other loans at the cost of money plus a minimum margin for administration. A realistic range is two to five percent per annum for any purpose, versus eighteen to thirty in a regular

bank. This is not charity.

This is mathematics: banks take fifteen to twenty percent on top because they have shareholders who need to pay dividends, and they have management who need bonuses. We don't have all this. Everything collected as interest is returned to the cooperative and used for further loans for other members. Closed loop. If you are a MAAT member, you have access to this fund. If you're not a member, no.

AFFORDABLE MEDICINE This is what personally burns me the most. Modern medicine has been turned into a money-making industry. Drug prices are rising not because the drugs are better, but because pharmaceutical companies have a monopoly and BlackRock is a shareholder, which demands an increase in quarterly profits. Hospitals have been turned into conveyor belts, where the doctor has twelve minutes per patient. Insurances do not cover what is needed, but what is beneficial to the insurance company.

What MAAT does as it grows: — Buys shares in large pharmaceutical companies and, through shareholder voting, stops predatory pricing for life-saving drugs.

— Buys shares in networks of clinics and, through the same mechanisms, demands to increase time per patient, improve quality, and not just throughput.

— Using his own funds, he builds a network of cooperative clinics available to community members at cost.

Let's start with one or two (in Kazakhstan, then in other countries), and we will grow. The level is modern Western, the prices are the cost price for a member of the community.

— Funds independent medical research in areas that are not profitable for “big pharma”: prevention instead of treatment, natural remedies, oriental medicine, psychosomatics, work with extreme states.

You are a member of MAAT — you have access to this network. In five to ten years, you will be able to fly for a serious examination or operation to a cooperative clinic in Almaty or Bishkek, pay two or three times less for it than in a regular private clinic in Russia or Europe, and get higher quality. This is not fantasy. This is how cooperative medical networks already work in Japan, Italy, and some regions of Latin America. We'll just build our own. **REAL EDUCATION** Modern education in its current form is a different Isfet machine. School teaches you how to pass tests, not how to think. University is a four-year degree, after which you go to the office to do what you were not taught. The cost increases year by year, and the quality decreases. Teachers are burned out by admin hours. Students are being burned out by student loan debt.

And at the end comes a person who does not know how to read complex text, does not know how to work with conflicting information, does not understand how the world works, and has no roots in tradition. What MAAT does: — Creates a network of educational projects for community members. Not online courses for five thousand dollars with a certificate out of thin air. And full-fledged programs — annual and multi-year, full-time and online, with real teachers.

— Teaches things that are not taught in modern universities.

Not only programming and finance. Not only history and literature. Also — real philosophy (not a retelling of the textbook, but working with texts). Real psychology (not coaching, but depth psychology). Real physics and cosmology. And, yes, real work with what is commonly called esoteric knowledge — the ancient Egyptian tradition, Indian, Tibetan, Platonic, Hermetic. Not as “entertainment”, but as a full-fledged discipline that was once taught in temples and which did not die, it was simply crowded out of the official culture.

— Children of community members receive access at a reduced price or free of charge.

Because the main inheritance you can pass on to your child is not money, but the ability to see and think. And this is the legacy that is now dying. MINES, BANKS, RESOURCES — WHEN THE COOPERATIVE GROWS This is a distant horizon, but I honestly mark it because this is where we are aiming. When MAAT's treasury reaches tens of billions of dollars (and this, if the cooperative grows to a million active members, is realistically within ten to fifteen years), we begin to move beyond “buy shares and vote.” We are starting to purchase infrastructure directly.

— Shares in mining companies — in mines, in energy projects.

So that decisions about what to extract, how to extract, where to send, are made not only based on maximizing shareholder profits, but also based on sustainability and benefits for people.

— Shares in infrastructure projects: water supply, energy, transport.

In those areas of the world where it can be purchased. This is a grey zone at the intersection of politics and economics, and I won't promise that we will get there quickly, but the direction is there.

— Agricultural lands transferred to local farmer cooperatives for long-term use on normal terms.

So that those who actually feed people are not squeezed out of the land by “investors” who turn fields into a monoculture desert with a loan. When we get out there, it won't be "MAAT owns your mines and controls your banks." It will be “we, as a cooperative of millions of people, are co-owners of key infrastructure, because it is our infrastructure, not parasites.” The difference is huge. THE BEST SPECIALISTS AND THE BEST TECHNOLOGIES ARE FOR THE GOOD Now the best minds of humanity are working to get you to click on an advertisement, buy something you don't need, or watch an extra video. The best mathematicians develop algorithms for optimizing the profitability of hedge funds. The best engineers build surveillance systems. The best biologists design pathogenic strains for military contracts. It's not because they are "bad people." It's because they get paid there.

And they pay there because the capital of the parasites is drawn there, and this capital is tens of times greater than anywhere else. What MAAT does: — As the cooperative grows, it hires the best specialists for benefit-oriented projects. The best doctors in our clinics. The best engineers for our infrastructure. The best teachers go to our schools. The best analysts for our influence campaigns. The best lawyers are on our defense.

— Funds fundamental technological developments in areas where “big capital” does not finance, because it is not a quick profit: sustainable energy, new methods of growing food, water purification technologies, preventive biotechnologies, methods of expanding consciousness.

— Maintains openness: everything developed with MAAT money remains publicly available to members of the community and often to all humanity.

Not patented on the table. Not locked under NDA. The effect is simple: where the best minds are gathered, the future is formed. Now the best minds are drawn to Isfet. If we create a parallel pole, where it is more interesting for them to go, they will come there, and the future will begin to be shaped by our hands. This is no longer “belief in a miracle.” This is basic sociology. It works.

And Finally — A Better Future For You And Your Children.

IN THIS LIFE I am not promising a “new era in five hundred years.” I don't believe in these promises, and I won't give them to you. I'm talking about a real horizon: five, ten, twenty years. By your sixties (if you are now forty) or by your fiftieth birthday (if you are thirty) — the world we are building will begin to be near you. Not “over there in the news.” Namely nearby. In the form of a clinic where you can get a normal examination. In the form of a loan that didn't ruin your life. In the form

of a school to which you can safely send your child. In the form of a voice at a meeting of the corporation where you work that actually determines how you will be treated. In the form of the fact that you understand how the world works, because you have access to knowledge that was not previously available to anyone except a narrow circle. This is not "socialism". This is not a "utopia". This is not a "new world order."

This is normal human life, which in the last century existed in some countries for several decades (Sweden and Finland in the 1960s-1980s, post-war Japan, to some extent the Soviet project for a short period), and then was dismantled by a parasitic financial system and replaced by a "free market", where only what capital can buy is "free". We are bringing back this normal human life. But we are not returning it using state methods (because the states have been captured). And not by revolutionary methods (because revolutions do things that are even worse). And by methods that are, in principle, available to any million coordinated people: buying shares, voting at meetings, buying out infrastructure, building parallel institutions. This is "in this life." Not for distant descendants. For you. And first of all — for your children.

Because in the world we are heading towards if we continue on our current trajectory, your children will have nothing to catch. And in the world we are building, they have a chance. A real chance to live in a society where justice works, medicine heals, education teaches, technology helps, and parasites are weakened to such an extent that they can no longer determine their lives. This is what you get when you buy the MAAT token. Not "yield". A ticket to this world as soon as we build it. And we have a say in exactly how we build it. WHAT WE PUT BACK A cooperative is not just a cash register. This is work too. And this is what we do not in words, but in deeds. WE KEEP OPEN ACCOUNTING. Every treasury transaction is visible. Every decision is recorded. Every vote is recorded. No "personal accounts of the founder", "corporate secrets", "trade secrets". The principle is called transparency by default.

WE PAY LITTLE PEOPLE FOR SPECIFIC JOBS. The cooperative hires analysts, lawyers, and specialists from its own community. Not from expensive corporations. This creates jobs — small, but real. WE ARE BUILDING AN EDUCATIONAL PART. I and other participants write books (this one in particular), shoot videos, and teach classes for those who want to learn to understand the corporate world and blockchain. Part of the treasury goes to this work. WE MANAGE CAMPAIGNS INSIDE COMPANIES. When we, albeit a small one, are a shareholder of a corporation, we publish proposals. Not "let's give everyone a raise," but specific ones: "open up the supply chain structure," "stop practice X," "start practice Y." We

publish it, we explain it, we prepare documents for ISS and Glass Lewis. Some campaigns win, some don't. This is work.

WE COLLECT INFORMATION AND MAKE IT PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.

Most people in the world don't have the tools to understand what's going on inside corporations. We build these tools — in the form of websites, reports, dashboards. The cooperative pays them, the world receives them for free. This is concrete work, not talk. And this work is already underway. Not because we are so handsome. Because otherwise there is no point in calling ourselves a cooperative. HOW TO ENTER IF YOU WANT TO Let's say you read this far and thought: “Sounds interesting. What should I do next? A few steps, in order of simplicity. FIRST. Read the Manifesto. It briefly describes our principles and commitments. It is in Appendix 1 at the end of this book. It's about twenty pages. Read and see whether you agree with what is written there or not.

SECOND. Read Part 1 of this book if you haven't already. There it explains how the world works now and why we even talk about the fact that something needs to be changed. THIRD. If interested, go to maatx.io. There will be details on how to buy a token, how to join, how to vote. I won't retell it here — the website always has the current version of the instructions. FOURTH. If you have any questions, write to info@maatx.io. I or someone from the team answers. Not right away — there are only a few of us, but he answers.

FIFTH. If you don't want to buy anything right now, that's okay too. Just wait. In a year, in two, look what we have done. If the project is alive and growing, come back and decide again. We're not in a hurry. This isn't Black Friday. WHAT TO DO IF YOU DON'T HAVE MONEY And this is also an important point, because I don't want anyone to be left with the feeling “this book is only for those who can invest.” If you have no money at all, you can still be part of what we are building. Several ways. FIRST — tell someone else about MAAT. A neighbor, a friend, a relative. Not as “invest in”, but as “there is such a story, read it.” This is distribution. Each word passed on is a node in the network. The network has no border, and any node is important.

SECOND — if you know how to do something (write, draw, translate, program, shoot video, edit sound), and you want to use these skills for a project, write. Hands are always needed in a co-op. Part of the work is paid from the treasury. Some are on a voluntary basis. THIRD — study. Read books, understand finance, corporate governance, blockchain. In five years you will be a much more useful member of the community than you are today. These are not empty words — this is the path.

FOURTH — just live as you live, and keep us in your head. When the moment comes when you have opportunities, you will remember. A LITTLE ABOUT EGYPT This book says a lot about the ancient Egyptians, about the gods, about Maat, about Anubis, about the priesthood. And for you, if you come here from the world of “regular finance,” this may sound strange. I’ll explain briefly. I was born into an ordinary Russian family, studied, worked in IT, at some point fell into a grey zone, then left. In the process, things began to happen to me that I could not explain either through science or through psychology. They turned out to be very similar to what is described in ancient Egyptian texts. And I began to learn this, gradually, seriously, as one learns a craft. I am now an ordained priest of Anubis. This is not a self-proclaimed title. This is the transmission of a line that goes from the ancient Egyptians, through tradition, through several closed schools — to me. I have Neteru. I have an initiation. I answer with my name. Why is this needed for the MAAT project? Because Maat is not just a beautiful word. This is the world’s oldest engineering specification of how a sustainable system works. What is justice? What is balance? What is transparency? The ancient Egyptians lived by these rules for three thousand years — and their civilization worked for three thousand years without failure. This is the longest uptime in human history. I believe that the modern financial world can be built to the same specifications. And then it will also work for a long time. And not fall into another crisis every twenty years. This is not magic or esotericism for the impressionable. This is a technical solution. With ancient roots. With working examples. With math that can be verified.

And two more words that I referred to above and that are important to remember. The first word is Isfet. This is the opposite of Maat. The same thing that is called entropy in physics, system failure in engineering, and parasitism in biology. A state in which a structure extracts a resource without returning it and gradually destroys itself and the environment. The financial clans that I spoke about technically operate in exactly this role. This is not an insult or propaganda. This is the name of the role.

The second word is Shadow Neteru. Ramses II. In modern popular history, he is presented as a great pharaoh, conqueror, builder. However, he is the one who redirected the priesthood from the service of principle to the service of its own power, and thereby started the process of decay that Egypt digested for three hundred years after his death. Modern financial clans are the functional heirs of Ramses II. Same mimicry, same role, same result. I will expand on this in more detail in the technical chapters. Now, just remember these two words. We will still need them. If this is close to you, welcome to the House of Maat’s Transformed.

Answers To The Most Frequently Questions

— Is this a pyramid? No. In a pyramid, early participants receive money at the expense of later ones. We don't have anything like that. No one is obligated to pay anyone anything. All money that goes into the treasury is visible and spent according to the decision of the cooperative. If you bought a token, you DO NOT receive automatic payments. You receive the right to vote and a share in the common property.

— Isn't crypto a scam? The crypto part is, yes, a scam. Most. But crypto as a technology (that is, blockchain) is simply a way to store information. In itself it is neither bad nor good. This is a tool. Someone is building a casino for them — yes. We are building a cooperative for them. The tool is the same. The application is different.

— I heard that blockchain consumes electricity. This is true about Bitcoin — it uses a lot of energy to secure its network. Most modern blockchains work differently, consuming energy approximately like a regular server for an online store. Our blockchain (we work on several networks) is energy efficient.

— Who checks your honesty? The blockchain itself. All our transactions are visible on it, in real time, to anyone with an Internet connection. You don't have to take my word for it — you just have to come in and take a look.

— How many tokens will there be in total? Forty-two million. The number was not chosen by chance — in the Egyptian tradition, 42 is the number of Confessions, a symbol of the completeness of Maat. The issue is completed. New tokens will never be issued. This means that if the cooperative grows, your share automatically becomes more valuable, because it cannot be diluted with new tokens. This is the opposite of how the dollar or most other cryptocurrencies work, where more new units are always printed.

— What if you, Telim, die or leave? Good question. I understand the risk. Therefore, the project is structured in such a way that my personal role is gradually decreasing. When I start training other Guardians and transfer the function to them, the cooperative will be able to work without me. The treasury will remain on the blockchain, the voting mechanics will remain on the blockchain, and the records will remain on the blockchain. The personality of the founder is a launch, not a condition for survival. I'm in the project — temporarily. According to the plan — 10-20 years as the main Guardian, then I plan to step away from the function.

— Do you have a recommendation to invest?

No. And there never will be. I am not a financial advisor and I am not licensed. I have no right to tell you “buy a token” in the official sense. I can only describe the

project, and you make the decision yourself. If you want a complete picture of risks and opportunities, read this book, read the Manifesto, read independent sources, consult with those you trust. GO TO THE REST OF PART 2 If you've read this far, you now have a map. You know what stocks are. Who are BlackRock and Vanguard? What is shareholder voting? What is Engine No. 1. What is blockchain and token. What is DAO and cooperative. What are Guardians? What we do and don't promise. Then the technical part of the book begins.

Twenty-four chapters about specific architecture: about how to implement transparency, how multi-layered protection works, how specific voting mechanisms work, how the treasury is structured, how we write smart contracts, how we protect ourselves from attacks, and so on. These chapters are written in IT language. I'm re-reading them now and again I see how dense they are. But now you have something important: a map in simple language. When you read further and come across an unfamiliar word, you generally know what we're talking about. The specific details may be hard, but the big picture is there. And one more thing. If you are not an IT specialist, do not try to read every technical chapter in detail. It's no use. Read the titles. Read the key statements. Skip what's hard.

Stop at chapter 44 ("What the Common Man Gets"), chapter 45 ("The House of Maat's Transformed"), and chapter 46 ("The Grain in the Tomb") — these are chapters written in ordinary language and are worth reading. Between them is the technical thickness. You can walk through it, or you can step over it. The book does not lose its meaning in either case. The main thing is that you now have Chapter 0. She is the point from which everything else is visible. Let's move on. Without pathos. Djed Medu. CHAPTER 0-1: WHAT WE NEED FOR NORMAL WORK · (HONESTLY, WITHOUT PROMISES OF POLITICIANS) In the previous chapter, I explained what we are building and why. Now — about money. Without rose-colored glasses and without streamlined formulations. I hate how this is usually done in crypto. "Revolutionary protocol", "new paradigm", "token that will change the world".

And when you ask a simple question "where exactly will my money go if I buy your token," muddied waters begin: "ecosystem", "development", "community priorities". I've been in this industry for fifteen years and I can recognize this language from a mile away. This is the language of people who do not want to answer directly. Therefore, in this chapter it is the other way around. Directly. Point by point. Without beautiful words.

Will We Still Be A Company?

First, we need to honestly say one thing that most crypto projects really don't like to say: to build something big, you need money. Lots of money. And this is not "we need servers and coffee" — these are serious amounts, and I will now describe exactly what. In the classical world this problem is solved this way. If I have an idea, I go to the bank and take out a loan. Or I find an investor who invests money in exchange for a share in my company. Or I go to a venture fund that invests large sums in exchange for a VERY large share and the right to decide how I live. These are the three classic ways. All three have one common problem. After such funding, the project ceases to be yours. The bank demands that the loan be repaid with interest, and if you can't, your company will be taken over. The investor demands that you bring him profitability, and if you don't, he puts pressure on you and eventually kicks you out of your own project.

The venture fund sets its own rules, and after two or three years you find that your "revolutionary company" does exactly what the fund tells you to do, otherwise the fund will close the financing. I went through this system into IT. I know how it works. She's not bad — she's just like that. But it is categorically not suitable for a project whose main principle is independence and collective management. If I took an investment in MAAT from BlackRock or from some large venture capital fund, after two years BlackRock would determine how to vote for the MAAT cooperative. Because whoever pays calls the tune. And the project, which should be a counterweight to BlackRock, would itself become a continuation of BlackRock. This is absurd. Therefore the path is different. We are making a token. Every person who believes in the project can buy a token for any amount. Nobody gets control. No one becomes the "investor who determines everything."

Money is collected from thousands of small participants, and each of them has their own small voice. And no one — not even myself — can order the cooperative to do anything. This is our way. Not the fastest. Not the most convenient. Not the most profitable for a founder (if I took venture money, I would become a billionaire in five years). But the only one in which the project retains its meaning.

What Specifically Do You Need Money For? Now — Point By Point.

What exactly do we do and how much does it cost? The numbers will be rough — I'm not writing a financial report, but explaining the procedures. **FIRST – LEGAL REGISTRATION** You can't just create a virtual cooperative out of nowhere and say "that's it, we're a cooperative." You need to be registered somewhere according to the laws of some country. Otherwise, no company will talk to us as a shareholder. Without a legal entity, you are a figure out of thin air. What is included in this

expense item: company registration, license fee, regular annual payments, lawyers on international financial law (when we buy shares of American and European companies, we will deal with their regulators). The order of the numbers is several hundred thousand dollars a year. This is not a “founder's salary”. It is simply the cost of existing in the legal field. Without this we are zero.

SECOND – DEVELOPMENT AND SECURITY The cooperative operates on the blockchain. This means that programs must be written and maintained — the same “smart contracts” that hold the token, count votes, execute decisions, pay salaries. These programs must work error-free, without bugs, without vulnerabilities. Otherwise, a hacker will find a hole and steal the entire treasury within an hour. This happens regularly in crypto. Every year there are several large projects in the news where tens or hundreds of millions of dollars were stolen through one sloppily written line of code. After this, the project, as a rule, is not restored.

Therefore we have: — several high-level programmers working on the code constantly; — regular audit of smart contracts from external companies that specifically specialize in this (one audit costs from thirty to one hundred thousand dollars, and they must be carried out after each major code change); — an insurance fund in case something does break, in order to return at least part of the money to the participants. The order of the numbers for this block is two hundred to five hundred thousand dollars a year. This is money for people and for checks. Without this, we either do not work, or we are robbed. **THIRD — BUYING SHARES** This is the main purpose of the treasury. The money that comes from people when buying a token mainly goes here — to buy shares of large companies in which we want to have a vote. At first the amounts will be small. Several million dollars — that's already good.

With this money we will buy significant shares in three to five companies and begin working in them as a shareholder. As the cooperative grows, the treasury grows. And at any given time, some part of this money is invested in stocks. These shares are not “costs”; they are assets of the cooperative. If we want, we can sell them. But usually we keep them because they are what give us a voice. This is essentially the investment part. And there is no ceiling on amounts. The larger the treasury, the more shares, the greater the voice. This is pure “more = better”. **FOURTH — EDUCATION AND DISTRIBUTION** The book you are now holding in your hands. Videos that we record and publish. Seminars taught by our participants. Translation of materials into different languages. Website. Community support in different messengers. Answers to questions. All this requires people and time.

I personally cannot write books alone, answer thousands of questions a day, translate materials into eight languages, and at the same time manage a cooperative. Therefore, the project already has several people who are focused on this, and who are paid salaries from the treasury. As the project grows, this article will grow. The more languages, the more materials, the more channels — the more people in the team. The order of the numbers for this block is now several tens of thousands of dollars a year, in the future — hundreds of thousands. FIFTH — LISTINGS ON MAJOR EXCHANGES This is an expense that almost no one talks about on the outside, but which determines whether an ordinary person will be able to buy our token normally. I'll explain how the crypto market works from the inside. When the token first launched, it was traded on so-called “decentralized exchanges” (DEX) — for example, Uniswap.

These are the same programs on the blockchain as the token itself: there is no company, no intermediary, you log in from your wallet and exchange one cryptocurrency for another directly. It's sound from a fundamentals standpoint and inexpensive to run. But this is inconvenient for most ordinary people. To buy a token on Uniswap, you need to: install MetaMask, understand the Ethereum network, buy ETH on the exchange, transfer ETH to MetaMask, pay a gas fee (which in Ethereum can be fifteen to forty dollars even for a small exchange), find the correct token contract address, carry out the exchange. For an IT specialist, this is five minutes. For a person who has never held crypto, this is a barrier that he will not pass through. "Centralized Exchanges" (CEX) — Binance, OKX, Bybit, Gate.io, MEXC, KuCoin and dozens of others — solve this problem.

There you register, go through passport verification, load rubles or dollars from a bank card, click the “buy MAAT” button — and the token is in your account. No gas, no contracts, no complications. Comfortable. But the project pays for this convenience. Listing on a major CEX is not free. Exchanges take money, and they take a lot. Rough orders of magnitude for the real market in 2024–2026: — Binance. The largest exchange in the world. Listing of one to five million dollars in a one-time payment, plus a requirement to give the exchange a significant portion of the tokens for disposal (often up to ten percent of the entire supply). For most projects this option is not achievable in the early years.

— Coinbase, OKX, Bybit.

Top level. Fees are about three hundred thousand — million dollars per listing, plus requirements for audits, legal due diligence, and marketing.

— Gate.io, MEXC, KuCoin, Bitget.

Medium level, realistic first goal for our project. Fees range from fifty to two hundred thousand dollars per listing, plus costs for paperwork and legal support.

— CoinGecko, CoinMarketCap.

These are not exchanges, but aggregators-catalogues (where people come to see “what MAAT is and how much it costs”). Basic listing there is free, but “priority placement” and project review are paid: several thousand dollars. But even this is not all the costs. Next comes the most unpleasant part. MARKET MAKERS — SEPARATE ARTICLE When a token is listed on an exchange, someone must provide liquidity there. This means that at any moment when you come to buy or sell, there must be “counter orders” on the exchange, otherwise the price will jump by tens of percent from one transaction, and it will be impossible to trade. This function is performed by special firms — market makers. They have their own algorithms and capital, they hold orders to buy and sell on both sides on the exchange, and make money on the difference (which is called the “spread”). This is what all normal markets look like—crypto, stocks, and currencies.

But market makers do not work for free “for an idea.” A contract with a serious market maker costs from twenty to one hundred thousand dollars a month, plus they take part of the profit from the trading volume. Without a market maker, your token on the exchange looks “dead” — no one buys, no one sells, and the whole idea is useless. By the way, bad market makers also exist. They either simply take the money and do nothing, or they begin to manipulate the price for their own benefit, robbing both the project and the holders. Finding a good market maker is a separate quest, and it requires lawyers, lawyers, and more lawyers. AND WHY DO WE NEED THIS A reasonable question: okay, we don’t interfere with these exchanges, we trade on Uniswap; whoever wants to, let them deal with MetaMask. Why pay that kind of money? The answer is simple and related to the mission of the project itself.

We want ordinary people to participate in the cooperative. Not only IT specialists, not only advanced crypto enthusiasts, but ordinary ones. Teacher in Samara. Pensioner in Odessa. A programmer who has never touched blockchain. Student in Almaty. The person who read this book and thought “yeah, that sounds right, I want to be a part.” If to participate in a project you first need to master technical skills at the “crypto programmer” level, we will lose ninety percent of potential participants. And we will remain a sect of IT people who gathered to talk about BlackRock with each other. This is not what we need. Therefore, listings on CEX are not a “show-off” and not a way to pump up the price. This is accessibility infrastructure. This is what turns a project from a “thing for techies” into a “thing for people.” And

that is why it is among our priority spending. Not right away. Not on Binance in the first month.

But step by step — Gate.io in the early years, then MEXC, then larger. As the project grows and the treasury allows. The order of the numbers for this article is from one hundred thousand to several million dollars in one-time payments for each listing, plus from two hundred thousand to a million dollars per year for market makers to maintain normal trading. This is the most expensive item of all, except for buying shares. And it cannot be bypassed if we want to be a project for people, and not for techies. SIXTH—CAMPAIGNS INSIDE COMPANIES When we, as a shareholder, want to raise an issue at a meeting of shareholders of a company, it is not free. You need to prepare documents, hire lawyers, fill out an application according to the rules of a specific exchange and a specific regulator. This is a paid procedure and can cost from several thousand to several tens of thousands of dollars per initiative.

Plus, we need to convince ISS and Glass Lewis (remember, the voting recommenders I wrote about in the previous chapter) that we are right. This means serious analytical documents, presentations, speeches. Also work, also money. And sometimes, hiring specialized consultants who understand a specific industry. If we raise an issue about a pharmaceutical company, we should have a person on our team or in consultation who understands pharma. If it's about oil, a person who understands oil. And so on. The order of the numbers for this article is hundreds of thousands of dollars a year, if we conduct several serious campaigns in parallel. SEVENTH — PROTECTION AGAINST COUNTERACTION I won't hide it: not everyone will like what we do. And this is not paranoia — this is an objective observation. When a small project tries to become a counterweight to large funds, large funds are not happy about it.

And they have the resources to create problems for us. What problems can we expect: — Legal attacks. Lawsuits, attempts to challenge our registration, regulatory attempts to shut us down. Defending against this requires permanent lawyers, and it is expensive.

— Information attacks.

Ordered articles in the media that we are a “pyramid”, “sect”, “Russian propaganda” (underline as appropriate). This is normal, we are ready for this. But the answer requires resources — we need people who answer, and we need to pay so that our voice is also heard in the information field.

— Technical attacks.

Attempts to hack our site, DDoS attempts, social engineering attempts against our employees. Protection requires security experts and infrastructure. I don't want to be dramatic. Most of the problems will be minor and solvable. But they will. And this also requires a resource. The order of the numbers is from one hundred thousand dollars a year and above, depending on how actively we grow. EIGHTH — RESERVE FOR A RAINY DAY And one last thing. Any normal project should have a financial reserve that allows it to work for at least a year and a half, provided that the money stops coming in completely. This is a rule of survival, only the naive break it.

If for some reason we stop selling the token (and this can happen: the crypto market is unpredictable, and there are “crypto winters” when no one buys anything for six months or a year), we must have a reserve so that: — continue to pay programmers; — pay for servers; — pay lawyers; — continue voting on shares already purchased; — continue to publish materials. If there is no reserve, the project will simply close in two or three months as soon as the money runs out. And everything we built will disappear. Therefore, part of the treasury is always set aside. Not “for a rainy day” in a bad sense, but as a normal financial cushion for any responsible project. Order — several hundred thousand dollars are always in reserve. TOTAL, IF YOU ADD IT UP For the MAAT project to work and develop normally, it needs from one million dollars per year in the first or second year — and up to several million per year in the fourth or fifth.

These are ongoing operating expenses. Plus on top of this are one-time large expenses on CEX listings (from hundreds of thousands to several million dollars for each large exchange) and on large campaigns in portfolio companies (from tens of thousands to hundreds of thousands per initiative). Plus, what is most voluminous, is the actual purchase of shares, which has no ceiling: the larger the treasury, the larger the share, the louder the voice. This is not a lot of money by corporate standards (any average American company has a larger annual budget). But it's not zero either. This money comes in one way — through the sale of the MAAT token to people who want to be part of the project. We do not have or plan to have any other sources of funding. No banks finance us. No venture capital funds provide funding. No government funds. No major corporations are funding.

And we don't want them to finance us — because then we will cease to be ourselves. MAAT funding is the collective self-financing of people who are interested in it. If there are enough such people, we will survive and grow. If there is not enough, we will close, and this will be an honest answer to an honest question. I will not deceive anyone with statements about a “revolution” that will happen in any case. The revolution will happen if the people themselves make it. If they don't do

it, there will be no revolution, and that's okay too. **WHY TOKEN AND NOT DONATIONS** It would be possible to raise money like a regular social movement — through donations. “Support our project, send 50 dollars.” Many people do this. But there is a fundamental problem with this path. The one who donates gets nothing but the feeling that he helped a good cause.

And we are talking about collective governance: that the person who gave the money should have a say in how it is spent. For this to work, an accounting mechanism is needed. Who contributed how much, who has how many votes, who voted for what. This accounting must be transparent, verifiable and impossible to falsify. Such a mechanism is a blockchain with tokens. Each contribution is recorded as the purchase of a certain number of tokens. These tokens are in the participant's wallet. When voting takes place, each token gives one vote. This is not “token for token's sake.” This is a technical solution to the problem of “how to let a million people participate in government without bureaucracy and without intermediaries.” Humanity has not yet come up with other good solutions to this problem. **AND HERE IS HOW TO BUY MAAT TOKEN IF YOU HAVE NEVER TOUCHED CRYPTO** Now comes the most practical part of the chapter.

I will try to explain the whole process in steps, as for a person who has never owned a single cryptocurrency. If you've already gone through all this, skip this section. I'll say right away: the process is not perfect, and there are still a lot of inconveniences in crypto for the average person. This is part of the “growing pains” — the industry is only fifteen years old, and it has not yet become convenient everywhere. But every year it gets easier, and today this process is no longer difficult for those who are not afraid of new interfaces. Before moving on to the steps — three paths, three levels of difficulty. One of them is the most convenient, available right now, and it has a bonus for those who enter the project early.

WAY ONE (EASIEST, NOW AVAILABLE): VIA WALLET.MAATX.IO WITH BONUS +10% This is our own web wallet, specially made for those who have never dealt with crypto and do not want to deal with MetaMask, Uniswap, gas, contracts and other words. You go in, create a wallet, buy tokens. All. Important detail: until January 2028, through wallet.maatx.io you receive an additional +10% MAAT for every purchase. This is an “early bonus” — compensation for the fact that you enter the project at an early phase, when all the work is still ahead and there are more risks. After January 2028, this bonus is disabled, and it will be possible to buy a token only at the market price on Uniswap or on CEX (after listings). Steps. 1. Open wallet.maatx.io in your browser. Only wallet.maatx.io. Crypto is full of phishing sites with almost identical names; take your time. 2. Create a new wallet. The site will generate a wallet for you and show you twelve words.

Write Them On Paper.

On regular paper, with a pen. Not on the phone, not in the cloud, not in a Telegram chat with yourself. These twelve words are the only way to restore your wallet if you lose access to your device. If you lose them, no one, including us, can help you. That is how this machine works. I wrote it down and put it in a safe place. 3. Choose what you want to pay with — USDT or ETH. These are the two most popular cryptocurrencies, and both are accepted. If you don't have either one or the other, you need to buy it first. The easiest way is through the Binance, OKX, Bybit or MEXC exchange: registration (passport), replenishment by card or via P2P, purchasing USDT for rubles or dollars. Hundreds of instructions on the Internet. If you are in Russia and you don't have a passport from another country, use P2P on Bybit or OKX. Ten minutes, everything works. 4. Send USDT (or ETH) to your wallet address at wallet.maatx.io.

The address is shown directly in your personal account. It is CRITICAL when withdrawing from the exchange to select the Tron network (TRC-20) for funding via USDT and Ethereum (ERC-20) for funding via ETH. If you choose the wrong network, your money will go to waste. The exchange will write off a commission (usually from five to twenty dollars), and in five to fifteen minutes USDT will be in your wallet at wallet.maatx.io. 5. Go to the “Buy MAAT” section. Enter the amount in USDT or ETH you want to buy with. The site will show how many MAAT tokens you will receive (including the +10% bonus). 6. Confirm your purchase. MAAT tokens will appear in your wallet in a few minutes. They are yours. How much to invest is your business. You can buy it for ten dollars, you can buy it for a thousand, you can buy it for ten thousand. One dollar is now about sixty-three MAAT (plus a bonus — about seventy).

One hundred dollars is about seven thousand MAAT. This is not an “investment” in the banking sense. It is your share in the common pot of the artel. As much as you are willing to contribute, contribute as much. Once MAAT is in your wallet, you can: — leave them there and keep them (this is the main mode; the more people just keep them, the more stable the project); — transfer them to your MetaMask or Trust Wallet (if you have one and want to store tokens outside the artel's web wallet — at the blockchain level it's just a transfer between addresses); — exchange back to USDT or ETH via Uniswap (this is available at any time — the wallet.maatx.io wallet does not lock you in; internally it works with the same Ethereum blockchain as the rest of the crypto world). Important warning. wallet.maatx.io is an “easy” entry for those just starting out.

If you have a serious amount and want maximum independence from any intermediary, including us, transfer your MAAT to your own MetaMask, controlled only by you. It takes a minute. The wallet.maax.io wallet is good for entering, but the real crypto principle — “your own keys, your own crypto” — is fulfilled when the tokens are in a wallet that you created yourself and the keys to which only you have. We don’t mind; on the contrary, it’s right. The artel is not interested in holding your tokens. We are interested in you having them. **WAY TWO (EASY, WILL BE AVAILABLE LATER): THROUGH A CENTRALIZED EXCHANGE** This is the path for those who don’t want to understand anything at all. When we go through listings on major exchanges (see. fifth expense item above), the process will look like this: 1. You register on an exchange (for example, Gate.io or MEXC — these are our first planned goals). 2.

You undergo passport verification (for residents of different countries there are different requirements). 3. You top up your account with a bank card or via P2P (as for currency exchange). 4. In the exchange search, enter “MAAT” and open the token page. 5. Click “Buy”. You indicate the amount. You receive tokens to your exchange account. All. No wallets, no private keys, no gas. There are two disadvantages: you trust your tokens to the exchange (it can freeze them if it decides that it doesn’t like you), and the exchange needs your passport verification. This is a path that will work as we go through listings. Follow the announcements on our website maax.io and in official channels. In the meantime, there are no CEX listings — there is a third way, for those who want complete independence and already understand crypto.

WAY THREE (ADVANCED, AVAILABLE NOW): THROUGH THE DECENTRALIZED EXCHANGE UNISWAP This path is for those who are already in crypto, have MetaMask or Trust Wallet, and want to buy a token directly on the Uniswap market, bypassing the artel website. There is no +10% bonus here (the bonus can only be given by the artel to those who buy through wallet.maax.io, because these transactions go through us). But this is a completely decentralized open market, without intermediaries at all. If you just read about wallet.maax.io and realized that this is for you, skip this section, you don’t need it. If you are already an experienced crypto user, read on. The MAAT token is traded on the Uniswap decentralized exchange on the Ethereum network. It's a full-fledged, open, transparent market — but it requires a little more technical training. Let's figure it out step by step.

Step 1. **OPEN WALLET** A wallet is not a bank. This is a program on your phone or browser that stores your cryptocurrencies. It has an address (like a bank account number) and a password (“private key”). You are not transferring anything to any

company — the wallet is yours, you control it. The simplest options for our network: — MetaMask (browser extension + phone application). This is the most common wallet for the Ethereum network where MAAT lives. Download from metamask.io (only from the official website, Google is full of fakes!). Create a new wallet. You will be shown twelve words — this is your main password. WRITE THEM ON PAPER AND KEEP THEM IN A SAFE PLACE. Not on the phone, not in the cloud, not in a Telegram chat with yourself. On a piece of paper. If you lose these twelve words, you will lose access to your wallet forever. No one, including us, can help you. — Trust Wallet (phone application) — an alternative that supports Ethereum and dozens of other networks. Suitable if you only want a mobile solution. Download from Google Play or App Store. Write down twelve words. This is the most important action. Without them, no one will help you.

Step 2. BUY ETH FOR RUBLES OR DOLLARS To buy MAAT token on Uniswap, you first need ETH is the currency of the Ethereum network, with which you will both pay for the exchange itself and cover the network commission (the so-called “gas”). It’s like currency on an international trip: first you exchange rubles for ETH, then ETH for MAAT. Ways to buy ETH: — If you are in Kazakhstan, Georgia, Armenia, the UAE, Turkey or most European countries, go to the Binance, OKX, Bybit or Kraken exchange. Registration, passport verification, depositing money from a bank card — and you buy ETH directly for rubles, dollars or euros. Comfortable. There are hundreds of ready-made instructions on the Internet. — If you are in Russia, the exchanges require a passport of a country not from the sanctions list. The most efficient way is through a P2P exchange on Bybit or OKX (this is when you buy crypto not from an exchange, but from a private person, the exchange guarantees the transaction). You transfer money via SBP to another person’s card, he transfers ETH to you. Ten minutes, everything works. The exchange has built-in security mechanisms — the exchange holds the crypto as collateral and releases it only after confirming that you have received the money. — If there is absolutely no way through the exchange, there are Telegram exchangers. Google “crypto exchanger your city”. Keep in mind: there are more risks here because there is no protection. But people take advantage of it. After this step, you have ETH on the exchange. How much to take — calculate this: the amount you want to invest in MAAT, plus about forty to eighty dollars on top for the Ethereum gas commission (it is expensive, and there is no escape from it in this network). If you invest one hundred dollars, add at least fifty. If you invest a thousand, the percentage commission becomes tolerable.

Step 3. TRANSFER ETH TO YOUR WALLET In MetaMask (or Trust Wallet) — click the “Receive” button. You will be shown an address — a long string of letters

and numbers, starting with “0x”. Copy it. Go to the exchange where you have ETH. Find "Withdraw". Enter the address from your wallet. Choose a network — this is CRITICAL. Choose “Ethereum” (ERC-20), not Arbitrum, not Polygon, not BSC, not Base — there are other networks too, but our token lives on the Ethereum mainnet, and if you transfer it to another network, it will not reach Uniswap. The exchange will write off a commission (from five to twenty dollars usually for Ethereum) and send ETH to your wallet. In five to fifteen minutes it will appear in your wallet. ATTENTION. The wallet address must be verified three times. If you copy even one symbol incorrectly, the crypto will vanish into nowhere, no one will return it. This is the most common mistake made by beginners. Please check carefully. Step 4. EXCHANGE ETH FOR MAAT TOKEN ON UNISWAP Now be especially careful. This step is where scammers operate, and the easiest way to lose money is not because of the complexity of the technology, but because you end up with a fake token with a similar name. IMPORTANT. Write down the official address of the MAAT token contract:

0x1ae560e95d0c8ec6B338DeAD8f44A3BAeE48d4e9

Check it out on the website maatx.io in the “How to buy” section. Don’t take the address from random Telegram chats, Twitter posts, comments on Reddit — they are full of fakes with similar names. Only from the official website or from this book.

Now the steps: 1. Open the website app.uniswap.org in a browser. Make sure that the address bar says so and that there is a security lock icon. Do not follow links from chats. 2. Click “Connect Wallet”. Select MetaMask. The wallet will ask for permission to connect — confirm. 3. In the “From” field, select ETH. 4. In the “To” field, click “Select token” and insert the MAAT contract address that you wrote down above. Uniswap will show the token — check that the name "MAAT" and description match what is on our website. If you see something strange (like "MAAT2" or "MaatInu" or some other name) it's fake, stop. 5. Enter the amount in ETH for which you want to buy MAAT. Uniswap will show you how many MAAT tokens you will receive and what the gas fee will be (usually forty to eighty dollars, maybe more during peak hours). 6. Click "Swap". MetaMask will show the final confirmation page with the gas amount. Confirm. 7. Wait for the transaction to be confirmed on the network — usually thirty seconds — two minutes. After this, MAAT tokens will appear in your MetaMask. You may have to add a token manually: “Import Tokens” -> insert the contract address. All. You are a member of a cooperative. From now on you have your own voice in the project.

Step 5. WHAT TO DO NEXT A few important points after purchase: — Keep your wallet safe. Never show your twelve words to anyone. If someone writes to you

“Hello, I am a representative of MAAT, we are conducting verification, send your twelve words” — this is a scammer. Any person who asks you for them is a scammer. No exceptions. We never ask for these words because we do not need them and will never need them.

— Subscribe to our channel on Telegram (address on the website [maatax.io](https://www.maatax.io)).

Voting, important news are announced there, and proposals are discussed. This is your main channel of participation.

— When there is a vote, come in and vote.

This is the meaning of what you did.

— If you have the skills and desire to participate in the work of the cooperative (write, translate, program, make videos), write to us.

In a co-op there are always paid tasks.

— If you need to withdraw money (sell a token and get rubles or dollars back), the return journey is just as simple, in reverse order.

MAAT -> USDT via DEX -> transfer to the exchange -> sale for fiat -> bank card. Buying a token does not lock you in forever. You can keep it, you can sell it. This is not a deposit, not a pledge, not a contract. This is simply a record of your share in the cooperative, and you can transfer this record to another person at any time through the same DEX. **AND AN HONEST WORD ABOUT PRICE** The price of the MAAT token is variable. As I write this (mid-2026), it is in the range of small fractions of a cent. In a year it may be higher. Maybe lower. I don't know and no one knows. What I do know is that the price depends on two things. The first is how many people want to buy the token. The more people who want to participate in the cooperative, the higher the demand and the higher the price. The second is how much we actually do as a project.

If we run good campaigns, win votes, really influence corporations, this also moves the price up, because it becomes obvious that the project is viable. What I DEFINITELY cannot promise is “in a year the price will be such and such.”

Anyone who promises this in crypto is either a scammer or a person who does not understand what he is playing at. Therefore, I will repeat once again the rule that I outlined in the previous chapter: do not buy MAAT with your last money. Do not invest amounts the loss of which will ruin your life. Buy just enough to be in the project, to have a voice, and to understand that this amount is your contribution to the common cause, and not a lottery ticket. **CHAPTER 0-2: HOW IS JUSTICE WORKED IN AN ARTEL · (ONE PERSON – ONE VOTE, PROPORTION IN**

INCOME) Before you decide whether to join MAAT or not, here are a couple of important explanations about exactly how voting, dividends, and Guardians work.

I've been thinking for a long time about how to break it down simply, and now I'll do it as best I can. Immediately is the main thing. We have two levels of justice, and they operate according to different rules. Level one — VOICES. The principle here is: one person, one vote. No matter how many tokens you buy, you have one vote in the voting in the artel. This is Maat: in the face of the community's decision, each person weighs the same. Level two — DIVIDENDS. The principle here is: proportional to the contribution. How many tokens you have — this is your share in the total income of the artel. Whoever contributed more will receive more of the common pie when the pie appears. This is also Maat: the proportion in the material is fair because it reflects the real contribution. These two principles DO NOT CONTRARY TO EACH OTHER. They complement each other. There is equality in management. In distribution — proportion.

This is how any working cooperative is structured — from the Swiss cantonal bank to the Japanese fishermen's artel. This is how MAAT should be structured. Next are the details.

One Person — One Vote.

WHY IS THIS SO? Most “crypto DAOs” are built on the principle of “one token, one vote.” It seems fair: how much you invested is how much weight you have in decisions. In practice it is a plutocracy. Three wallets with whales decide everything, and the community controls nothing. This is Isfet in its purest form: “he who has money has power.” The very device against which the artel was launched. MAAT is built differently. One verified person equals one vote in the DAO. Buy one token — one vote. I bought a million tokens — still one vote. Money gives you a SHARE in a common pot, but it doesn't give you DOUBLE POWER over where that pot moves. This is fundamental. This is not typical for crypto. And that is why it works for the device according to Maat. Artel is not a corporation of shareholders. This is a union of equals. Everyone has their own heart, their own life, their own voice.

For this to work, we need to verify the uniqueness of a person. Otherwise, one person will simply create a thousand wallets and vote a thousand times. This is called a “sybil attack” (named after a famous case of multiple personality disorder), and it is the most famous problem of the DAO. We solve this through the so-called “verification of uniqueness” (Proof of Personhood) — this is a set of technical methods that confirm that behind the wallet there is a real, unique person, not

repeated anywhere else. Specifically, we use currently working systems like BrightID or Gitcoin Passport. They DO NOT REQUIRE your passport and do not collect your personal data. They look at how you behave online, who knows you, what kind of accounts you have — and based on a combination of characteristics, they give you a “print of uniqueness.” One person — one print. You can't buy it, it's difficult to fake. You can have as many wallets as you like.

Tokens — as many as you like. But at the moment of voting, you have only one vote. This is not a restriction on your freedom. This is the BASIS for the artel to remain an artel, and not turn into another financial club.

How The Artel Votes Outside.

Single Boiler Principle Let'S Move On.

Artel buys shares of large corporations — Apple, ExxonMobil, BlackRock, and any others. I already wrote in Chapter 0 about the DRS mechanism and how one coordinated million shareholders are stronger than any fund. Here is the nuance of the device.

Artel Does Not Buy Shares On Behalf Of Each Participant Individually.

This is critically important to understand. The artel buys shares INTO THE COMMON POOL — to the treasury, to the single legal entity of the cooperative, to my personal account as custodian. This means that each company where the artel bought shares has ONE entry in the shareholder register: “MAAT Cooperative, X shares” or “Telim MAAT, X shares.” Not a million individual small entries for each participant. And when the day of voting for shareholders in this company comes, the artel votes AS ONE BLOCK. Before this, internally, on our website, the DAO conducts a vote: “how do we vote at Apple at the next meeting — for or against such and such a decision?” Each verified MAAT holder votes with one vote. As a result, the majority decides the position of the artel. And the artel goes to Apple and votes exactly as the community decided.

What Does This Give First.

The power of proportion. A million small shareholders, each individually invisible. The same million, coordinated through the artel and voting as a block, is a large shareholder who is seen and heard. This is exactly the effect for which Engine No. 1 in 2021 removed three ExxonMobil directors from 0.02% of shares — coordination gives more than size.

Second.

Transparency of intentions. When BlackRock votes at an Apple meeting, it votes “for the shareholders,” but the shareholders don’t know exactly how. BlackRock management decides. This is Shadow Ra. When an artel votes, behind it stands an open DAO solution, visible in the blockchain, with specific arguments and voting results. Any shareholder can check exactly how his share was voted.

Third.

Protection against targeted attacks. If we bought shares on behalf of each participant, we could put pressure on each participant separately: “sell, or we will do this.” When a position is gathered into a single pool of an artel, you have to put pressure on the artel, and this is much more difficult than on one person.

Dividends.

Proportional To Contribution Now About Money.

When a team buys large blocks of shares, these shares have dividends — real money that the company pays to shareholders once a quarter or once a year. These dividends come to the artel's treasury wallet. And this is where the second principle of Maat works — proportion. Once a year, the DAO votes on what to do with the dividends accumulated over the year and any other positive flows of the artel (income from products, grants, investment profits). The final decision — the proportion of direct payments, redemption and burning of MAAT tokens and reinvestment — is made by the DAO anew every year. Maybe one year we shouldn’t pay direct dividends at all, but use everything for redemption and burning. Maybe in another, on the contrary, there will be an emphasis on direct payments. This is fine.

Important.

Let's say you have one hundred thousand MAAT out of, say, one hundred million that are currently in circulation with the holders. This means that your share in the common pot is 0.1%. When the artel distributes dividends, 0.1% of this portion will come to your wallet. When the artel buys back and burns tokens, your share in the remaining amount increases proportionally. When an artel reinvests, the value of its treasury increases, and this is also reflected in the price of the token over time. This is Maat in the material layer. Whoever has a larger share in the common pool receives a larger share of the flow. Whoever has less has less. But EVERYONE gets something if they have at least one token.

Guardians.

They Are Not Elected.

THEY ARE CALLED The third layer of the artel structure is the Guardians. And here I will say it plainly: with them, the mechanism is not like votes and not like dividends. Guardians are not chosen by DAO vote. You do not become a Guardian for money. And the status of Guardian cannot be bought — neither for a million tokens, nor for a billion. The Guardian is a priest. In the literal, ancient Egyptian sense of the word. Not a “priest” in a carnival look with a robe, but a person who, through personal practice, has established a living channel with the Neteru (reality operators supporting Maat) and works for the benefit of humanity through this channel. The priest builds, maintains and protects the spiritual infrastructure of the artel. He CAN deal with financial issues, he can be a wallet operator, he can write texts, he can negotiate — he can do any work of the artel.

But from the fact that he is a priest, it does NOT follow that he decides for everyone. All decisions regarding the artel still go through the DAO, where the priest has the same ONE vote as any verified participant. That is, the priest has no administrative power over the community. He has spiritual responsibility for the lineage. These are different things, and it is important to distinguish them. Where do Guardians come from? They are called by Neteru. Not a “project team”, not a vote. Not me personally. Neteru — that is, if translated into a language understandable to modern man, the very living structure of the line of Maat — through certain signs, dreams, events, experiences, addresses a specific person and actually selects him for this work. This person learns about his calling himself, through his own experience. After such an experience has taken place — and a person does not doubt it — he turns to the House.

Now, at the initial stage, I, Telim, as the Sem-priest of Anubis (Sem Hem-Netjer en Anubis in the formal name) verify the authenticity of the initiation. I have specific methods passed through the line for this. This is not a “verdict”. It is a test. A priest does not make recognition of another priest. The priest makes a real channel with Neteru. The Sem-priest only confirms: the channel exists, the initiation has passed, the person really works in the Maat-range — not in Isfet, not in “neighboring” traditions, not in a fake. As Guardians are called and accepted, I impart to them the methods for testing the next initiations. Over time, the line becomes distributed. There is no longer one point of testing, but a community of priests testing each other and new summons.

In ten to twenty years, the role of the Sem-priest as the “sole confirmer” will be reduced to a symbolic one, and the lineage will live on its own — through the community of Guardians passing on methods to each other. This is not a fictional device. This is how the priestly line was structured in Ancient Egypt itself, and this is how — to the extent we can restore the structure at all — it should work. This is a self-reproducing structure that does not depend on one person. Whoever wants to follow this path is the next one. Read the first of my books (biography of the author and onboarding into the system of concepts). Read the second and fifth (the practices and structure are revealed there). Start practicing with Neteru — calmly, without haste, without self-announcements. If the line resonates with you, you will find out for yourself, through signs and experiences that cannot be confused with anything.

Then write to info@maatx.io or come to the House channels. I'll answer. Next is a meeting and inspection. How many Guardians are needed? According to the canon of the line — from seven to twelve (according to the number of gates of the Duat and Neteru). At the moment when I am writing this chapter, only I myself am called. The remaining eleven are awaited. This is not a “job” that you can apply for. This is a place in the structure that either opens for a specific person or does not open. Nobody is in a hurry. The line has time.

Early Entry.

WHY BONUS AND WHY DEADLINE The last thing I want to say in this chapter is about the arithmetic of early entry. In Chapter 0-1, I mentioned that through wallet.maatx.io you can buy MAAT with a +10% bonus until January 2028. And that after January 2028 this bonus is disabled. Here is why it works this way and what it means in practice. The 10% bonus is not a “gift” or a “marketing gimmick.” This is COMPENSATION for the risk of early entry. Now, when you buy MAAT, from us: — there is no DAO with active voting at shareholder meetings yet; — there is no DRS platform yet; — there are no listings on major exchanges yet; — there is not yet a full complement of Guardians. It's all in the works and it will all come. But it will come in a year and a half, two or three years. Now is the sowing phase. Whoever goes into seeding shares the risks with the project. The 10% bonus is material compensation for these risks.

After January 2028, there will be no more risks. There will be a working infrastructure, proven practice, visible results. The one who comes after enters the finished one and receives a token at the market price. This is normal and fair. Maat — proportion.

What Does This Give You Now If You Decide To Enter Numerically.

Today, one dollar is approximately 63 MAAT. With bonus — about 70 MAAT. One hundred dollars is about seven thousand MAAT. Per thousand — about seventy thousand. In a year, if the artel goes through the sowing phase, the price may be higher. This is not a promise, this is arithmetic: a fixed issue plus growing demand leads to an increase in price. For how long, no one knows.

In Terms Of Authority.

No matter how much you buy, you have one vote. The same as the person who bought five dollars. This is not a disadvantage. This is a device.

By Share.

How much you buy, this will be your share in the general flow of the artel when the flow begins. If you bought it for one hundred dollars, you will receive it in proportion to one hundred dollars. I bought it for ten thousand — in proportion to ten thousand. This is a direct and honest reflection of your real contribution. And lastly, the most important. Nobody buys “guaranteed growth stocks.” Nobody buys a “lottery ticket.” Everyone who buys MAAT buys ONE thing — their share in the general pot of the artel, which may or may not grow into a big business. The same thing depends on you as on me, and on everyone who is nearby: how we will all work together. WHAT WE DON'T PROMISE To close this chapter — once again, point by point, what we DO NOT promise.

— We do not promise that the price of the token will rise.

It can grow. It may fall. It may reset to zero. This is risky participation, and I talk about it right in every chapter.

— We do not promise that there will be dividends every year.

There will be dividends when the artel has a positive flow. If there is no flow in any year, there are no dividends. First, the team must create value. Distribution is a consequence, not a promise. “We can’t promise that we will have time to build everything in a year or two.” This is work for decades. I wrote about this in the Manifesto, I will repeat it here: Maat has eternity, she is in no hurry. And we, who work according to Maat, should not rush either. “We don’t promise that you will receive Guardian status if you ask nicely.” A Guardian is not made “by request” and is not made for money. Guardian is Neteru's calling, which either happens or doesn't. But start with Book 1 (The Story of One Path), read all my books and who

knows, maybe you will be called upon by Neteru. What we promise are the rules. Transparent, open, verifiable. Every movement of the artel's money is visible on the blockchain.

Every DAO decision is recorded and immutable. Each vote is one vote from one person. Each Guardian passed through a line, not through elections. Then the artel either works or doesn't work, and it depends on how many living, normal, awake people gather around it. From you too. NOW — TO THE TECHNICAL PART Now you really have the full picture. You know what we do. You know why we need money. You know how to buy a token if you want. You know how votes, dividends and Guardians work. You know what we promise and what we don't promise. Next are twenty-four chapters of technical depth. They are written for people who want to understand the details: how the multi-layered protection of the project works, how specific voting mechanisms work, what Maat principles are implemented in the architecture, how we plan to scale. If you came here from the world of finance or development, these chapters will be familiar to you.

If you come from the world of ordinary life, choose those chapters whose titles catch your attention. I especially recommend: — Chapter 33 “42 is the number of Maat” (about tokenomics without decorations) — Chapter 36 “ISS and Glass Lewis — big mirrors” (in detail about those same voting recommenders) — Chapter 44 “What an ordinary person gets” (a detailed continuation of what was in Chapter 0) — Chapter 45 “House of Maat's Transformed” (about the community around the project) — Chapter 46 “Grain in the Tomb” (the last meaningful chapter, about the long horizon) The remaining chapters are technical thickness. You can walk through it, you can jump over it. The book will not become worse in either case. Let's move on. Djed Medu.

What Will It Look Like In Real Life Imagine.

March 2032. MAAT Bank, which we will acquire or open by then, closed the fiscal year with a surplus of \$4.2 million in excess of reserves and operating expenses. Guardians bring the question to the DAO: what to do with this money? This is not a rhetorical question. This is a literal vote. Step 1. Proposals. Guardians make proposals based on the needs of the community as they see them. Any verified DAO participant can also submit a proposal. Let's imagine four proposals arrived in a week. Two passed the support threshold in the first voting stage and entered the main vote. Proposal A. An educational fund for the children of the participants. Covers up to 70% of school and university tuition costs for families whose income is below the median in their country of residence. Estimated coverage: about 800 children in the first year.

Proposal B. Medical program for parents of participants over 60 years of age. Coverage of diagnostics and elective surgeries at MAAT partner clinics. Estimated coverage: approximately 340 people in the first year. Both proposals, with calculations, are open in the interface. Not “we promise to help.” And specific numbers, specific criteria, specific clinics and schools. Step 2. Discussion — 10 days. Rustam from Tatarstan writes: “I have a mother with cancer. I vote for medicine.” Katya from St. Petersburg writes: “Children are an investment in the next twenty years. Education is more important.” Pedro from Brazil writes: “Can we split 60/40?” — and his proposal for division also goes to vote as the third option. Everyone sees all the lines. No one moderates in favor of the desired result. There is no PR department that forms the “correct” public opinion within the artel.

Step 3. Voting — 7 days. Notice: “Where should the excess \$4.2 million be sent? A – education for children, B – medicine for parents, C – divide 60% education / 40% medicine.” Anya from Novosibirsk chooses — she has two children. Rustam from Tashkent chooses — he has a mother. Pedro from Brazil presses the button. Leila from Almaty presses the button. One person — one vote. Regardless of the amount of tokens. Regardless of the country. Regardless of who personally cares.

Step 4. Result. Option B won: 54%. The smart contract automatically divides the funds — \$2.52 million to the educational fund, \$1.68 million to the medical program. Transactions are completed without human intervention. Guardians cannot redirect money. They cannot delay it. They cannot “reconsider the decision for technical reasons.” A month later, Rustam receives a letter: his mother is included in the program, the operation is scheduled for April. Six months later, Anya receives a letter: her eldest son has been accepted into a covered school, 70% of the cost is covered by the fund. And now — the same question in a regular bank. \$4.2 million surplus. The board of directors meets behind closed doors. Agenda: remuneration of top management at the end of the year. The bank's CEO receives a \$3.8 million bonus. The rest goes to reserves. The Board of Directors voted unanimously. The board of directors is appointed by major shareholders.

Major shareholders — the Big Three. The Big Three vote with the money from the pension savings of Rustam, Anya and Pedro. That is: Rustam, through his pension, financed the bonus of a person whom he had never seen, whom he did not choose, whose decision he did not approve. His mother doesn't exist in this equation. Anya's son does not exist. Pedro doesn't exist. This is not malicious intent. This is just different mathematics: they calculate the profitability of the portfolio and the remuneration of those who provide it. Here the votes of living people are counted. Maat will not be “a bank that is kinder.” This is a bank where \$4.2 million is decided not by who gets it, but by those for whom the bank exists.

CHAPTER 23: MAAT IS NOT MORALITY, BUT ENGINEERING The first thing to clear out before we move on: the Western reading of Maat as "morality", "ethics", "good behavior".

This is a translation made by people who themselves grew up in a Christian coordinate system and did not find another template where to put a word that simultaneously denotes truth, order, justice, balance and cosmic law. Maat is not morality. Maat is the engineering specification of reality. If the ancient Egyptian priests had our language, they would have written it not as commandments, but as GOST. With clauses, subclauses, tolerances, diagrams and test reports. That's how they wrote – simply in their own language and in their own format. 42 Confessions in the Book of the Dead are not a moral code. This is a list of conditions for passing the system test. If you pass, you are a valid process, you are allowed into the Fields of Iaru. If you don't pass, Ammit erases you. Without judgment. No offense. Without pity. How a linter removes invalid code from a repository. GRAVITY IS NOT MORAL ALSO Think about gravity.

Gravity has no moral preferences. She does not believe that a good person should fall more slowly and a bad person should fall faster. If you fall from the roof of a nine-story building, you will fall, and the blow will be of the force calculated by the formula $m \cdot g \cdot h$. No discounts on your biography. If I had fallen off the roof and thought on the fly, "Oh, well, gravity is fair, I'm good, it's going to stop me now," I would still fall. Gravity is not fair. Gravity is a law. Justice is something else. This is already Maat. Maat works the same way as gravity. Not "good" and not "bad". It just works. When the system is in Maat, it holds. When the system is in Isfet, it falls apart. Not because Maat rewards and Isfet punishes. Because one is a stable configuration, and the other is unstable. Like a table on four legs and a table on one leg. One stands, the other falls. No morals. Only physics and geometry.

I often hear: "Well, in this country everyone steals, everything rests on bribes, and nothing, the country lives, no one has been punished." Lives. And you've been looking at it for forty years and see that every few years it sags, falls apart, is rebuilt, experiences a crisis, loses territories, people, technologies. No one is "punishing" her from above. It eats itself up from the inside because the Isfet configuration does not support the load. This is not a moral verdict. This is an engineering diagnosis. UPTIME AS A METRIC If Maat is engineering, it must have a metric. Every engineering system has a sustainability metric. In IT, this is uptime — the proportion of time in which the service works without failures. A good commercial service should maintain five nines — 99.999%. That's about five minutes of downtime per year. A very stable system is one that operates for a long time and stably.

I took this metric and applied it to historical civilizations. Ancient Egypt, the unified state of the pharaohs from Menes to the last Ptolemaic dynasty — from about 3100 BCE to 30 BCE. This is three thousand years. Three thousand years of work of a single system — with language, writing, religion, hierarchy, temples, bureaucracy. With breaks for Intermediate periods (when the system sagged, but did not break completely). With the conquests (Hyksos, Assyrians, Persians) — after each conquest the system was restored, not dissolved. This is a very high uptime system. Not five nines, but three to four thousand years of continuous work — this is a record that no other civilization in human history has broken. Now compare. Ramesside Egypt is the period of the 19th and 20th dynasties, approximately 1292–1069 BCE. This is 223 years.

During this period, the priests of Amon had already become a political force, the pharaohs fought with each other for the crown, the country lost control over Nubia several times, then over Libya, then over Kush, then over its own capital. The level of corruption, tomb robberies, and internecine intrigues is off the charts. The texts of the late 20th Dynasty describe this themselves, without embellishment. This is the Isfet configuration on top of the Maat foundation. The ratio is approximately 13 to 1. The Maat configuration lasts for 3000 years. The Isfet configuration inside it holds 225. This is not a moral assessment. This is statistics on operating time under load. The same metric can be applied to anything. The Byzantine Empire lasted 1058 years, a relatively Maat configuration for its time and place. Third Reich — 12 years. Soviet Union — 69 years old.

The USA in its current configuration is 250 years old, and the cracks are already visible to the naked eye. Bitcoin as “money without banks” worked purely for about 8 years, from 2009 to 2017. Then the CME came, and the uptime of “freedom” ended, only the uptime of “technology” remained. The higher the Maat component, the higher the uptime. This is not philosophy. This is an observable pattern. SLA If the system has an uptime metric, it also has an SLA — Service Level Agreement. Service Level Agreement. In IT, this is a document that describes: what conditions the system must fulfill in order to maintain the declared uptime; and what happens if these conditions are violated. Reality also has an SLA. The ancient Egyptians called it "Maat". They didn't make it up. They described what they observed. Thousands of years of observing how sustainable communities, sustainable families, sustainable states, sustainable rituals work.

They crystallized the rules. Forty-two Confessions is not an invention of priests in an office. This is the result of thousands of years of empirical research: what needs to be done to make the system work. You can ignore this SLA. You can build a system that violates it from the very beginning. You can't cancel gravity, but you

can pretend to be — jump from the roof, think that you are eternal. Next — splat. Bitcoin built a system whose SLA did not include a “concentration protection” clause. Satoshi suggested: “if the mathematics is fair, the market will distribute itself.” This was a design error. Seventeen years later, three funds — BlackRock, Vanguard, Fidelity — have collected through ETFs such a share of Bitcoin that no state monopoly would have collected. And this is not a violation of Bitcoin as a technology. The technology works. This is a violation of Maat as an SLA: concentration is not allowed in a stable configuration.

Those who ignore it lose uptime. Ethereum violated the same SLA clause, plus one more: “do not trust critical infrastructure to one supplier.” 80% of nodes work through Infura. When Infura falls, “decentralized” Ethereum falls. This is a violation of the principle of distributed responsibility. You can criticize BlackRock, JPMorgan, Vitalik, Satoshi — these are all correct targets, but this is not the cause of the problem. The reason is that the architecture was built without checking the SLA. The SLA is called Maat. Checking against it is mandatory. Failure to comply is not a moral sin. This is a technical error with predictable consequences. ISFET — ANTI-SPECIFICATION There is an important amendment here. In the first part I used the word “parasite”, “predator”, “dark” many times. These are emotionally convenient words, but technically inaccurate. The exact word is Isfet. And Isfet is not “evil” in the moral sense.

This is a set of configurations that violate the Maat SLA. Anti-specification. The best analogy is the microbiome. Trillions of bacteria live in the human intestine. Most of them are useful. Some are conditionally pathogenic: while there are few of them, they are even needed. When there are a lot of them, they begin to destroy the system. This is not “bacteria turned evil.” This is “the biome is out of configuration.” Greed in one person is not “evil.” This is the configuration: taking more than you give. In one person it goes away in small doses. A hundred people in small doses — society suffers slightly, but is restored. One hundred million people, multiplied by financial leverage and computer networks, is a debt trap the size of the planet. Not evil. Configuration out of tolerance. Crypto-capture ETFs are the same thing. Not “BlackRock is evil.”

BlackRock is a big asset reallocation machine with the principle that “what is not protected must be collected” written into its code. This is her Isfet configuration. If you leave the door unlocked for her, she will come in. Not out of spite. By design. Just like water flows to the lowest point. Understanding this gives the correct angle of attack. We are not building a “battle between good and evil.” We are building a system with the correct SLA, in which the doors through which the Isfet configuration enters are closed in advance. This is an engineering challenge.

Emotions don't help here. Design helps. MAAT AS AN ENGINEERING RESPONSE When I started the MAAT project, many people came to me with questions like: "Telim, do you think that you are good and they are bad?" This is a conversation in the wrong language. I don't think we are "good".

I believe that we are an engineering solution that is optimized for uptime in the conditions of Kali Yuga and the active pressure of the Shadow Neteru. Good or bad is a moral question, and let it be decided by whoever wants to. I answer another question: "Will this system still work in twenty years? In a hundred? In a thousand? And I answer: "It will be if it is verified with the Maat SLA. It won't happen if it's not verified." Under this answer, I'm putting things into the architecture that are usually considered boring or unnecessary in crypto. Multi-layered defense — three layers: mathematics, democracy, priesthood. Transparency is the default because Isfet works in the dark and the closed door feeds him. Direct naming — because Ren (name) gives power, and if you haven't named the predator, he works freely.

Protection against vote concentration — because this is the number one point of the SLA, the failure of which brought Bitcoin to zero. Completed emission — because the endless printing of new tokens is the same trap from which we are trying to escape. And so on. All these are not "virtues". These are design patterns. They are known to engineers in other areas: distributed systems, security, network design. I simply transfer them to the temple-financial plane. That they work has been tested on hundreds of thousands of production servers. That they work in the field of religion and culture has been tested over three thousand years of Ancient Egypt. I don't invent. I'm rewriting a ready-made solution in a modern programming language. KEY FORMULA If there's one line to take away from this chapter, it's this: Morality says: "Be good." Maat says: "Build something that is sustainable." These are different teams.

The first is personal, emotional, private. The second is structural, engineering, public. The first one is addressed to the heart. The second is addressed to the architect. I don't have any problem with morality. It has its place — in personal practice, in family relationships, in raising children. But morality does not build civilization. Civilizations are built by the engineering of Maat. Moral people in a system that is not verified against SLAs suffer in silence while the system grinds them down. The Maat-designed system holds the moral, the weak, and those in between — because it is based on specification, not on the virtue of individual participants. This is the main difference. Maat builds a house in which different people live. Morality scolds those who live wrong. And only one of these two approaches actually creates conditions in which one can live.

PRIESTS AS TECHNICIANS OF THEIR TIME The last point that definitely needs to be said here is so that someone does not perceive this entire chapter as “the techie offends the ancient sages.” Ancient Egyptian priests were the most technically advanced people of their time. They designed and built pyramids that lasted five thousand years. They made astronomical observations with an accuracy that we have not fully reproduced. They invented conceptual mathematics—not “two apples plus three apples,” but abstract algebra. They created a system for storing and transmitting information that survived for three thousand years without a catastrophic failure. They invented legal contract, notary, auditing, accounting, medicine, pharmacology, hydraulic engineering and agricultural engineering. They were engineers. Just engineers in an era when engineering was not yet separated from what we now call religion.

They did not have the division “here is science, here is the temple.” They had a unified field of work with reality, and Maat was its specification. When I translate Maat into the language of SLA, uptime, design patterns and smart contracts, I do not desecrate their tradition. I am continuing it. If Imhotep were born today, he would program in Rust and write DAO contracts. And I would laugh at those who try to portray ancient wisdom through candles and incense without writing a single line of code. Imhotep was an engineer. Ptahotep was an engineer. Thoth is an engineer on the level of Neteru. Giving them back their own language is a form of respect. Not to turn them into “esotericism for the impressionable”, but to recognize what they really were: the best engineering school in the world of their era. We are the next graduate of this school. One and a half thousand years late, but they still came to class. Maat is not morality.

Maat is engineering. And we are not teaching here how to be good. Here we teach how to build so that it stands. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 24: ONE PILLAR DOESN'T HOLD THE ROOF In the last chapter we established: Maat is an engineering specification for a sustainable reality.

Fine. But what specification exactly? Can it be reduced to one short rule — like the golden rule of morality, the commandment of love, or “don’t lie”? It would be convenient. One principle, learn it, apply it. It is forbidden. This is the second fundamental point. Maat is always many principles at the same time. Minimum twelve. In practice — more. And they are not derived from each other, are not reduced to one root, and are not calculated from a common source. They work together as a multi-support structure — each carries its part of the load. If you try to build a system on one principle, it will collapse. Not “might collapse.” Guaranteed to collapse. We have a very precise historical experiment on this matter.

AKHNATON: LABORATORY TEST OF MONOTHEISM Amenhotep IV, known to history as Akhenaten, ascended to the throne of Egypt around 1353 BCE.

In the fifth year of his reign, he pulled off a move that no other pharaoh, either before or after him, allowed himself to do. He closed all Neteru cults. Everyone. Amon, Ra, Ptah, Thoth, Anubis, Hathor, Isis, Osiris — everyone. He dispersed the priests, deprived the temples of funding, and ordered the names of the gods to be erased from the inscriptions (especially harshly the name of Amun). And he introduced the cult of a single god — Aten, the solar disk. This was the actual introduction of monotheism thirteen centuries before Christianity. One god, one cult, one pharaoh as the only mediator. The experiment lasted seventeen years. After Akhenaten's death, his successor, a boy pharaoh named Tutankhaten, was immediately renamed Tutankhamun — back in honor of Amun. The capital Akhetaten was abandoned, the population left, palaces and temples were dismantled for building materials.

The priests of the old cults returned and began cleaning up. Akhenaten's name was erased from the lists; he was called "the criminal from Akhetaten." After two or three generations there are no external traces of reform. If archaeologists of the 19th century had not excavated Akhetaten, we would have known about this story only from fragmentary references. Seventeen years of monotheism versus three thousand years of polytheism. The ratio is 1 to 176. This is no longer a statistical error. This is engineering data. An experiment with a single-principle system was carried out, the result was recorded: it does not hold. I know that for a modern person who grew up in a monotheistic or post-monotheistic culture, this sounds strange. We were taught that monotheism was "progress," a "higher stage of religious consciousness," and that polytheism was "primitive." This is the ideological stamp of the winners.

From the point of view of uptime, monotheistic systems are significantly less stable than polytheistic ones. This can be seen from the facts, if you look at them impartially. WHY DOES ONE PRINCIPLE NOT STAND Technically, the problem of monotheism is very simple. One principle cannot cope with all the diversity of real situations. Let's take the "be kind" principle. Great principle. Who's against it? Let's apply it universally. The cancer cell in your body is dividing uncontrollably. To apply "be kind" means to let it live and multiply. A year later you're gone. A cancer cell does not understand kindness. It must be eliminated. This is the work of another principle — let's call it "necessary destruction" (in the Egyptian system — Seth, not to be confused with Seth, the villain of later mythology; in the early system, Seth is the force that protects Ra from Apep, destroying chaos). The parasite has attached itself to your child.

Apply "be kind" — leave the parasite alone. The child will die from exhaustion. The parasite will also die, but this is little consolation. We need a principle that will say: "This process is interrupted here. The host is more important than the parasite." Two people argue about who is right. Apply "be kind" — agree with both. Both will remain dissatisfied, the conflict is not resolved. We need a third principle—judgment, evaluation. In the Egyptian system it is Thoth. Recording, counting, rendering a verdict. The man died. Apply "be kind" — what? This is no longer the same plane. We need a principle of transition: a conductor that leads from one state to another. In the Egyptian system it is Anubis. And so on. Life is a huge number of different situations, and each requires its own tool. The hammer doesn't work everywhere. You can't fix a computer with just one screwdriver. You need a set of tools. Polytheism is a set of tools.

Each Neteru is a specialized function. When you need to heal, you turn to Hathor and Sekhmet. When you need to protect the threshold — go to Anubis. When you need to weigh a decision, go to Thoth. When it is necessary to save — to Osiris. When you need to destroy what is unnecessary, go to Seth. When you need to update, go to Ra. And so on. In monotheism, all this must be sculpted into one god, who sometimes loves, sometimes judges, sometimes punishes, sometimes forgives, sometimes demands sacrifices, sometimes cancels sacrifices, sometimes is fair, sometimes is merciful. Internal contradiction. It's logically ugly. Practically — unstable. ANALOGY OF A GEODESIC DOME The most accurate analogy for the Egyptian pantheon is the geodesic dome. Buckminster Fuller showed in the 20th century that the strongest lightweight structure in nature is a sphere divided into triangles with nodes at the vertices.

Twelve knots in the simplest version (icosahedron). Each node carries the load. If you remove one, the load is distributed over the other eleven, and the structure continues to stand. You can remove another one, and another. The dome will bend, but will not collapse until the very end. This is a mathematically proven design for maximum stability with a minimum of material. Nature uses it wherever possible — from viral capsids to radiolarians. The Egyptians created a religious dome with twelve main nodes (this number varies depending on the system, but this is the order). Each Neteru is a node. If the cult of one of them fails (as has happened many times in history — Set was in disgrace for centuries, the Sets were destroyed, then restored) — the system continues to work through the rest. Maat is supported by more than one pillar. She stays on the net. Akhenaten tried to reduce twelve knots to one.

This is the equivalent of trying to replace the canopy with a single vertical stick. The stick stands as long as no one touches it. The wind blew and she fell. A strong wind

of history blew — Akhenaten fell, and his system with him. Additional nuance. When the system collapses, the load does not disappear. She is looking for other ways. Therefore, even monotheistic systems themselves begin to produce specialized functions from within — but smuggle them through the back door, because polytheism is officially prohibited. HOW MONOTHEISMS CREEP BACK TO POLYTHEITY This is a very interesting pattern, and I would like every reader to see it with his own eyes. Over time, any monotheistic system begins to grow a polycomponent structure from within. Not because its adherents do not follow the doctrine well. Because without specialized functions the system cannot be operated. Christianity. One God. On paper.

In practice — the Trinity, that is, already three. Plus the Mother of God, who actually serves as the mother goddess (Isis in the Egyptian system). Plus the saints — thousands. Every profession has its own saint. Every disease has its own healer. Each country has its own patron. Translation: thousands of specialized Neteru, who are addressed directly, with Christian names. Polytheism in fact, monotheism in protocol. Islam. There is only Allah. On paper. In practice — 99 names of Allah (al-Asma al-Husna). Each name is a specific function: Al-Hayyu (the Living), Al-Qayyum (the Supporter), Ar-Razzaq (the Provider of sustenance), Al-Shafi (the Healer), Al-Muntaqim (the Rewarder) and so on. These are 99 functional aspects that are addressed separately in different situations. Technically — the same ninety-nine Neteru, designed as “names of the one”.

Plus the Sufi tradition, in which the pantheon of wali saints and the hierarchy of spiritual beings is much richer than the official doctrine. Judaism. One God. On paper. In practice — Kabbalah with its ten sefirot. Ten emanations, each with its own name, its own function, its own correspondences. Keter, Hochma, Bina, Chesed, Gevurah, Tiphareth, Netzach, Hod, Yesod, Malchut. This is the same geodetic grid, renamed. I'm not criticizing monotheistic traditions — there is a lot of deep wisdom there. I am simply showing an engineering fact: even when people officially believe in one god, the working model of their practice includes many functional units. Can't help but include it. Reality is more complex than just one principle. The more a monotheistic system tries to suppress this internal plurality, the more unstable it becomes.

The periods of iconoclasm in Byzantium and the Reformation in Europe are attempts to “return to pure monotheism.” Each such period was accompanied by political crises, civil wars, and cultural failures. The system does not like when its working tools are taken away from it. APPLICATION TO CRYPTO We saw the same thing, word for word, in crypto. This was a live laboratory demonstration in my lifetime. Bitcoin is one principle. Hard money. Hard cap. Decentralization

through proof of work. Everything is built around one idea: mathematically limited emissions. The principle works. The technology works. But the system could not withstand the pressure of the Shadow Neteru, because in its DNA there was no second principle — protection from concentration, — a third principle — judgment that would separate “friends” from “strangers”, — a fourth principle — the threshold at which the guard stands. One idea, brilliantly implemented, but one.

Seventeen years later she was captured. Ethereum — two principles.

Programmability + permissionless. Satoshi added one new node to Bitcoin — programmability — leaving the rest. The result was a slightly more stable structure, but still unstable. Twelve years later, JPMorgan entered through ConsenSys, and Ethereum, which has no threshold principle, was unable to stop it. Permissionless is not the Maat principle. This is the opposite of the Maat principle of discrimination. Maat says: “There is a guard at the gate. Not everyone comes in.” Permissionless says: “No one is standing there. Come in, whoever you are.” Guess who came in first. MAAT — twelve principles from the very beginning. I did not do this out of desire for beautiful numbers or imitation of the ancient canon. I did this because nothing else works. Twelve nodes is the minimum configuration at which the system is able to withstand the pressure of Shadow Neteru.

Less — it will collapse in 8 to 17 years, like Bitcoin and Ethereum. More — it becomes difficult to keep in mind and difficult to explain, but theoretically possible. Twelve is a compromise between stability and comprehensibility. Which twelve exactly are the content of the next section, I will tell you in detail in chapters 26–37. But I’ll list it briefly so you can see the list: 1. Transparency. 2. Discernment. 3. Justice (correct exchange). 4. Defense (guards at the gates). 5. Integrity (Ib + Ba + Ka + Ren + Jester). 6. Creativity (Khepri-function). 7. Restoration (Osiris function). 8. Cyclic renewal (no eternal, no finite). 9. Light (Ra-function, transparent observation). 10. Boundaries (Anubis function, threshold and conductor). 11. Joy as a state (Hathor function). 12. Connection with ancestors (Ahu, ancestral memory). Each principle is a node in the dome.

Each is encoded into a specific architectural solution in code, contracts and protocols. More on this later. Now it is important to fix the constructive idea itself: not one or two, but twelve. And they must be mutually consistent — not contradict each other in work situations, complement and compensate. **PRACTICAL CONCLUSION** If you are building any system now — a startup, a project, a community, a structure, a political party, whatever — ask yourself the question: “How many principles do I have?” If there is one, you are building a stick. She will stand until the wind blows. If it’s two, you’re building a frame. Better than a stick, but still not a house. If it’s three or four, you’re building a trestle. They are already

standing on their own, but they are not holding up the roof. If twelve or more, you have a chance to build a house. But only on condition that these twelve are consistent with each other.

And if you really really want unity, unity does not come from the fact that there are few principles. It comes from the fact that all principles are different facets of one fundamental fact: “reality must work to last.” In this sense, Maat is one. And her twelve Neteru are her various functions serving this single task. A geodesic dome is a single figure. Consisting of twelve nodes. Unity is not the absence of plurality. Unity is the coherence of multiplicity around a common goal. One pillar does not support the roof. Twelve pillars, correctly spaced, support the roof, the walls, the tower, and the dome. And they also make room for the next generation — and this is a completely separate conversation, to which we will return. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 25: PRINCIPLE FORMS ESSENCE (WATER AND BED) In the previous two chapters we established two engineering things: Maat is a specification, and this specification is multi-supported.

Fine. But if I stopped here, you'd be left with the feeling that all this work is cold engineering. Drawing, specification, SLA. Somewhere nearby — Anubis, Maat, Thoth as names-designations of functions. Convenient, technologically advanced, without mysticism. Then the ends wouldn't meet. Because I stated at the beginning of the first book that I am the Sem-priest of Anubis, called, not appointed. I talked about how there is a channel flowing inside me. I wrote about initiation. This is no longer about drawings. It's about living entities. In this chapter I combine two layers—engineering and ontological. I'll show you how they are actually one. And this chapter is the most important in this section. Without it, everything else turns into dry theory for the smart. With her he comes alive.

THE OLD MODEL AND WHY IT'S WRONG In classical esoteric conversations, the following scheme is often used: there are Entities (gods, demons, spirits, Neteru) and there are Principles (love, fear, light, darkness, greed, justice). Entities are subjects; they “choose” principles as tools. Lilith “uses” the principle of matter without spirit. Archangel Michael “uses” the principle of protective power. Anubis “uses” the principle of conduction across the threshold. This model is convenient because it is anthropomorphic. The entities are depicted as people: each has its own character, its own will, its own set of favorite techniques. Good for iconography, for fairy tales, for children's perception. But this model is wrong. And I discovered this not in a book, but in direct experience, which happened to me several times — especially during initiation and while working with Anubis. What I encountered was not behaving like a “person.”

It behaved like a field. Like a channel. Like a flow with its own structure, into which you enter — and after that you become part of it. At first I thought this was some kind of metaphysical doubt, and I just had to accept the iconography. Then I realized: the iconography is right — but it does not describe the primary essence, but a late crystallization. The principle is primary. The essence is a clot that settles in this principle after using it for a long time. Correct wording: It is not the essence that sits on the principle. The principle forms the essence. WATER AND BED
Imagine a valley. Smooth surface. You pour a bucket of water on her. Water spreads in all directions without structure. Just a wet spot. No river. You pour water on the same place for a hundred years. A small stream appears. Barely noticeable groove. It has direction, but it is shallow: divert it, and it dries up. You've been pouring for a thousand years.

The ditch becomes a stream with a stable bed. You can already see where the water is going. If you stop pouring, the stream will retain its channel shape for a long time, then dry up. Ten thousand years is already a small river. Its own channel, its own banks, its own tributaries. If you stop pouring, it will subside, but the channel will remain as a geological structure. The next water will flow through it when it appears. A million years — a canyon. Colorado. This is no longer a “channel along which water flows.” This is the shape of the planet itself. Any water that ends up in this area will inevitably flow down the canyon. The canyon chooses the water, not the water — the canyon. If you try to direct water past the canyon, it will still roll into it. Gravity and geometry. This is the model of the relationship between principle and essence.

A principle is a configuration of the direction in which human energy flows (attention, choice, action, suffering, ritual). One person pouring energy in one direction does not create an effect. Ten people form a stream for a thousand years. A million people for ten thousand years form a channel that itself organizes the next people. At some point, the riverbed becomes so deep that it acquires its own properties that are not reducible to the properties of those who dug it. The canyon has geometry, inertia, and the ability to direct. He already has—let me use this word—“character.” Not because a spirit inhabited him. But because millions of years of water activity have formed a configuration in it that acts as an independent organizing force. This is the “essence”. This late crystallization is the product of billions of human practices repeated over thousands of years in the same direction.

THE DARK SIDE: HOW LILITH CRYSTALLIZES Let's take an example from the dark side, because it shows the mechanism especially clearly. The principle, which in the late Jewish and Kabbalistic tradition received the name “Lilith,” is the configuration: “matter without spirit.” Using a living being as a tool, as a

commodity, as an object, without recognizing its internal integrity. Specific practices: slavery, prostitution, child exploitation, human trafficking, concentration camps, assembly line production in which the worker is consumable, and so on. All these practices are “water” flowing in one direction. In line with “matter without spirit.” These practices have existed among the human race for thousands of years. Not alone. Tens of thousands. Slavery was the norm in all early civilizations. Human trafficking is the oldest business after agriculture.

Every day, every hour, for thousands of years in a row, millions of people put this principle into action. They poured water into this channel. And the channel was formed. First the stream. Then the river. Today it is a full-fledged canyon, which has its own properties: it attracts water. When a modern Westerner sees cheap consumer goods from Bangladesh, he does not “decide to use the Lilith principle.” It rolls down into the canyon automatically. The canyon itself guides him. Buying cheaper is no longer a choice. This is the geometry of the channel in which its consumer energy flows. And at some point, an entity crystallized in this channel. Received iconography: night demoness, winged, stealing babies. Received a name: Lilith. Got history and cult. Not because there once was a “person named Lilith” who chose such tactics.

But because ten thousand years of certain human practices have created such a configuration of the field, which now acts as an independent organizing force. Lilith is not a person. This is the shape of a canyon. Any human energy that flows in the direction of “matter without spirit” flows into this canyon and feeds it. And the canyon, as befits a geological structure, reciprocates: it organizes circumstances, builds social configurations, and supports a culture of exploitation. He already has his own life. This is the same thing that we call in the first part “Shadow Neteru”. BlackRock, JPMorgan, specific financial clans are not “persons of bad character.” These are people and structures caught in the canyon that forms them. They were born in it, they flow through it, and for them this is the natural direction of movement. They do not “choose evil.” They rolled into a ready-made channel that had been dug long ago before them.

THE BRIGHT SIDE: HOW MAAT CRYSTALLIZES Exactly the same mechanism works in the opposite direction. And here it is, in my opinion, even more interesting, because usually they don’t talk about it so directly. The principle of Maat is a configuration: “fair exchange, true weight, exact correspondence.” Specific practices: an honest trader who does not overcharge the buyer; a scribe who does not falsify a record; a judge who makes a precise sentence; a parent who does not lie to a child; a priest performing the ritual exactly according to the protocol; a doctor who does his job without substitution; a programmer who leaves no

backdoor in the code. Any action in which you give and receive according to real weight is “water into the channel of Maat.” This principle has also been working for the human race for thousands of years. Not alone.

Every mother who fed her child, every peasant who gave exactly as much grain as he promised, every teacher who conveyed exactly what he knew without distortion is a drop of water in the riverbed of Maat. And the channel was formed too. At first it is subtle — in very small communities. Then the river — in Ancient Egypt, where Maat received a name, iconography and cult. Then — a canyon into which now any human practice of fair exchange falls automatically, because the channel is ready. And the entity called Maat is also a late crystallization. Not “a goddess invented by the priests.” Not “personification of a principle in a beautiful form.” But the real organizing structure, which was formed as a result of a billion human practices directed in one direction. The iconography is not accidental.

When the priests of Ancient Egypt first “saw” Maat — a woman in simple clothes, with an ostrich feather on her head, a calm face, straight posture — they were not making it up. They reported on the form in which the principle began to appear in their era. It was an already formed entity that appeared to them as it was. Sitting with his eyes closed in the hall of the temple of Karnak, the priest saw Maat because the riverbed was already so deep that crystallization had occurred in it. And from this moment the next stage begins: the principle forms its priest. This is a very important point, because modern people have a familiar picture of “priest of Anubis = a person who loves Anubis.” It’s as if Anubis is his hobby, and he is an independent person who has chosen a certain specialization. This is wrong. In real tradition, the opposite happens. The principle, which has become a canyon, chooses a person who has a suitable form. Attracts.

The channel begins to flow through it. And after twenty years of work, this person is no longer a “believer in Anubis.” He is the conductor of the channel. Not a person with his own individual beliefs, but the point at which the channel manifests itself in this body, in this era, in this geography. APPLICATION TO THE MAAT PROJECT Now — why is all this important for you, reading these lines now? When you buy a MAAT token, read the manifesto, go to a telegram channel, deal with DRS, think about Guardians — you are not doing what you think. You think you are “supporting the project.” This is a superficial description. In fact, you are flowing into the channel that we (me and those before me) dug for the sake of that very riverbed of Maat. Every action you take is a drop of water. If you do one action, it is a weak drop, it will quickly evaporate.

If you do actions for five years in a row — year after year, ritual after ritual, decision after decision — then by the end of the fifth year you will be a different person. Not because I “re-educated” you — I don’t have such a task and such opportunities. But because the channel in which you flow shapes you. Just like a canyon shapes the water that flows in it. In five years you will be a conductor of principle. Without words. No doctrines. You will not need to say “I follow Maat” — you will radiate it in every action. This will happen automatically if you are in the channel. This is the main mechanism that MAAT relies on. Not “we will force participants to follow the rules.” And “we will create a channel in which the participants themselves mold themselves into the desired shape.” It works because that’s the nature of the channel. This is a small copy of what Ancient Egypt did on a large scale three thousand years ago.

And that is why the priesthood in Ancient Egypt was not a “theater with masks.” When the priest Sem put on the mask of Anubis during the ritual, he was not “playing a role.” He became the point of manifestation of Anubis at that moment in this body. Because years of practice, ritual, fasting, initiation have already formed a channel in him. The mask was not a disguise. The mask was a technological interface that closed the final gap between man and principle. Purely an engineering decision. WHY COPIES DON’T WORK This same model explains one thing that is often asked: “What if someone copies the MAAT architecture? Same contracts, same DAO, same Guardians according to the scheme?” The answer is straightforward: you can copy the shape of the riverbed. It is not possible to copy accumulated water. MAAT does not work because it has the “right smart contract”.

It works because I am the point at which the channel accumulated by thousands of years of Maat practice in Ancient Egypt continues into 2026. I didn’t “invent” this project. The channel found me and worked its way through me. I simply agreed to be the guide. If someone copies my smart contracts, tokenomics and DAO architecture, they will get a dry deal. The form has been copied, there is no water in it. To pour water, you need to pour it for a long time. For a very long time. And from the right source. The copier does not have this source. You can answer him: “And I will pour artificial water into my channel — advertising money, marketing budget, hired bloggers.” Can. It works as long as you pay. I stopped paying — the riverbed dried up. This can be seen with the naked eye in hundreds of crypto projects with large budgets, which are forgotten two years later: the water was not proper, and when the pump was turned off, it dried up.

MAAT stores natural water. Attention, time, practice, reflection, debate, stories, conflicts, victories. Nobody hires this water. It flows on its own because it falls into a ready-made channel. Already dug up. This channel is a four thousand year old

line, which I simply continue. This is absolute protection of the project from copying. Not a code. Not a legal trick. Not marketing. Age of the riverbed. WHY SHADOW NETERU ARE STRONG NOW This model — the principle forms the entity through the channel — also answers the question that I asked in the first part, but did not fully explain: why is the world in this state now? Why are Shadow Neteru so strong, but Maat so weak? It's not because people have gotten worse. The people are about the same. This is because over the past fifteen hundred years, water in human culture has flowed predominantly into the Isfet canyons. Greed, fear, comparison, consumption, parasitism.

And the Maat canyons — the old Egyptian canals — were filled in. Gradually. First the churches were closed. Then the oral line of transmission was destroyed. Then they burned the libraries. Then hieroglyphics were banned. Then they erased the names. The canals silted up, filled with debris, and lost their geometry. By the end of the first millennium CE, these canals were almost completely filled up. The water, of course, continued to flow — human energy does not disappear. But it flowed past the old channels, because there were no more channels. She looked for any other channel and often found it in the Isfet canyons, which were and remain well dug. This is the “current architecture of chaos.” Not the evil will of any specific people. Not the malice of the rulers. And the geometry of the channels that remained after the maat channels were filled up.

This means that the engineering task is not to “convince people to be good” (this does not work in principle, because water flows where it flows, and not where it is asked). The engineering challenge is to re-excavate the Maat canals. So that human energy has an alternative path into which it will flow automatically, because the channel is ready. Each MAAT participant who bought a token, read the manifesto, entered the channel and dug out one centimeter of the riverbed. One person is a centimeter. One thousand — ten meters. A million is a kilometer. Ten million is the river into which the main flow of human energy in the financial plane begins to flow. And from that moment on, the channel deepens itself, because a lot of water flows in it. This is the strategy. Not “defeat BlackRock.” Not “overthrow Wall Street.”

And dig a parallel channel into which human energy will flow on its own, because the path is shorter, the geometry is better, and in the end the real Maat awaits, and not its simulation. PERSONAL NOTE And the last thing I want to say in this chapter. This is about me, to clarify. I often hear: “Telim is a person. When Telim is gone, the project will fall apart.” These words make me smile. I am not a person in the sense of “a unique personality with my own will and my own merits.” I am the point of manifestation of the principle in the window 2010–203x. I found myself at

the right time, in the right place, with the right biography, in the right body, and the channel found the right configuration in me. That's why it's flowing through me now. When I leave — and I will leave, like everyone else, sooner or later — the channel will not disappear. He will find the next point of manifestation.

Someone else will be born at the right time, be in the right place, with the right biography, and the channel will begin to flow through him. This is not my merit, and this is not my concern. Anubis is not going anywhere. Maat is not going anywhere. I am simply the current point of manifestation. Therefore, I do not work for fame, not for money, not for the sake of a “moral mission”, and not because “I am special.” I work because the energy of a particular channel is flowing through me at the moment, and the most useful thing I can do with my life is to allow it to flow and deepen the channel for those who come after. And this is the main practical consequence of this entire chapter. One person in the wall is already a builder. Not because one person is great. Because a wall is a wall. A stone placed in it with the right intention will remain there for a hundred years. Then they will find him.

The established channel along which the water began to flow will continue to deepen for another thousand years. Maat works through your work. Regardless of whether they remember you or not. Regardless of whether the project is successful over short distances or not. Regardless of whether they will know about you in a thousand years, as I learned about Nesmeretakhem on Philae. You place your stone. Maat does the rest. Djed Medu.

Chapter 26: Neteru — Former Humans.

EARTH IS NOT A PRISON, BUT COURSES In the last chapter we got to the water and the riverbed. To the point that the principle forms the essence, and not vice versa. So much so that Maat and Isfet are not “good and evil gods”, but the geology of human practice. There remains the question that the reader asks most often — and I myself asked it for ten years until I received an answer that suited me: “Okay, Telim. Who are Neteru? Do they really exist? Or are these metaphors for psychological functions? And if they exist, why do they behave like people with characters, and not like abstract laws?” This chapter is the answer. It is radical. It changes the optics. And without it, everything that we will build next — DRS, DAO, priesthood, the eternal fund — looks like a “crypto project with Egyptian cosmetics.” With it — as a continuation of the same line that the priests kept for three thousand years. Only on new media.

OLD SCHEME: THE GODS “FROM ABOVE” The Western picture of the world (including Christian and post-Christian) is structured like this: there is God (or gods) — subjects outside the world. They created the world. People are creatures. There is an abyss between them. Sometimes — intermediaries (angels, saints, prophets). Sometimes — an agreement (commandments, laws, sin and salvation). In this scheme, Neteru are “aliens of the spirit world.” They know more. They are stronger. They sometimes interfere. But they are not of our kind. We are to them like ants are to humans. Sometimes the ant gets a crumb. Sometimes — a boot. The Egyptian scheme is different. It was not "polytheistic" in the Western sense (many competing gods). It was functional: many principles, each serving a node of the overall architecture of Maat. But even in the Egyptian scheme, the modern reader is left with the feeling: “the gods are somewhere out there, we are here.”

I propose a third scheme. It follows logically from Chapter 25. If a principle forms an entity through millennia of practice, then “an entity called Osiris” or “an entity called Anubis” is not an extraterrestrial commander. This is crystallization. Canyon. And who then “speaks” to the priest? Who comes to the initiation? Who stands next to the Scales? The answer, which I tested on myself and which is consistent with the texts that we analyzed in “Mysteries 2”: Neteru are former people. People who have passed through the Scales in their earthly lineage have integrated into the principle and now act from within it — as an organizing force, and not as a “person in a toga.” WHY IT'S NOT FICTION: MYTHS BEHAVE LIKE BIOGRAPHIES If Neteru were pure abstractions—the “law of justice,” the “law of death,” the “law of writing”—the myths would behave ideally. No errors. No emotions. No plots. See how they really behave. Osiris trusted Set.

Accepted the invitation to the feast. He ended up in a sarcophagus. This is not the behavior of the “absolute resurrection principle.” This is the behavior of a person who made a mistake in assessing his brother. Human error. Human pain. Ra is getting old. His strength is weakening. Offerings are needed to continue the journey. He gets angry when the offerings stop. He sends Sekhmet when people “forget”. It is not an eternal, unchanging absolute. This is an entity with a cycle, with fatigue, with a dependence on maintenance — like any large system that needs DevOps. Seth is jealous. Wants power. Tearing his brother apart. This is not the “chaos principle” in a vacuum. This is a story about power, family, ambition — recognizable to anyone who has lived in a body. He writes, argues, cheats, and wins against Ra in the game of senet. This is not a “knowledge database”. This is character.

If you put these pieces together into one picture, what you get is not a pantheon of “ideal entities.” It turns out to be a family chronicle of people of high order, written

down by priests in the language of principles. Not because the priests did not understand physics. Because the principle has already crystallized into characters — and characters in myth always behave like people. Because the source material is human. ZEP TEPI AS A MEMORY, NOT A FAIRY TALE In the Egyptian tradition there is an expression “the times of Zep Tepi” — “the first time”. It is usually translated as the myth of the golden age. “When the gods still walked the earth.” I read it differently: as a memory of a period when the border between “people in the body” and “people in principle” was thinner. When initiation was not uncommon for the chosen few, but the norm for those who held the temple.

When the priest and Neteru stood in the same hall — literally, not metaphorically. Zep Tepi is not a “fairy tale for the people.” This is a technical term: the configuration of a system before the first major failure. Before Akhenaten. Before the capture of the priesthood of Amon. Before the temples close. Before the channels fell asleep, which we talked about at the end of the last chapter. When the temple was closed, Zep Tepi did not disappear. He went into the text. Into the formula. Waiting. In what Nesmeretakhem carved on Philae: “for all times and eternity.” He knew: they would read it later. He didn't know how long. He knew they would. THE EARTH IS NOT A PRISON Modern popular spirituality often speaks of the Earth as a “school”, but almost always adds: “we need to get out of here.” Prison with lessons. Sandbox with a warden. Matrix.

There are studies of near-death and regressions — Michael Newton, other authors — who saw part of the truth: yes, there is “advice”, there is “life planning”, there are “lessons”. But they often didn't see the other half: the examiners and the parasites in the same building. They described the library, but not the security at the entrance. They described the courses — but not those who replace the test. I don't deny their observations. I'm updating the map. The earth is not a prison. Earth is a campus. Refresher courses. A place where a being in a dense body learns what you cannot learn in the subtle world: to maintain a principle under load. Hold Maat when you are in pain. Don't steal when no one is watching. Do not sign the Isfet contract when everyone has signed. Build when it is easier to destroy. In the subtle world everything is softer. There's less friction. It's easier to agree with yourself there. Here the friction is maximum.

Therefore, those who need the next level come here voluntarily. And this is where those who want to parasitize the training hall are drawn, passing it off as a prison: “buy your release, give up your freedom, sign the debt, hand over the keys — and you'll get out.” The difference between “courses” and “prison” is whether you have a way out according to Maat. In prison, the way out is through bribery or the death of a body without memory. During the courses, the exit is through the Scales. With

memory. With Ib, which you recorded yourself. AH IS NOT “THE SOUL AT REST” The Western schema often portrays the afterlife as a vacation. Paradise. Clouds. "Peace at last." The Egyptian scheme is different. Akh — this is not a “resting soul”. This is a functioning configuration in other planes of Maat. She's working. She is participating.

She can influence the living — not as a “guardian angel from a fairy tale,” but as a continuation of a line that did not end with the death of the body. When a priest speaks with the Akh of the ancestors, this is not schizophrenia or theater. This is working with a line that has already completed part of the course and is holding a piece of the map. When you read Nesmeretakhem after 1632 years, you work with his Akh through the text. When you buy a MAAT token and enter the House, you enter a line that accumulates Ib with more than one life. Therefore, “died” in the Egyptian sense does not “disappear.” Switched to another mode of operation of the same system. PRIESTHOOD — MAAT TECHNOLOGY If Neteru are former people in principle, and Earth is a campus, then the priest is not “a priest in beautiful clothes.” The priest is a student and a laboratory assistant at the same time. He learns to hold the principle in the body.

He maintains the channel. He takes the minutes. He conveys not “faith”, but technology: how to prevent Isfet from filling up the riverbed, how to call a spade a spade, how to lead one through the threshold, how to weigh the heart — literally in ritual and figuratively in decisions. MAAT as a project is an attempt to bring the same technology from one temple to the institutional level. Not “Telim and his telegram”. And a million people who are learning to control their voice, their weight, their Ren — in the financial plane, where there are now the most Isfet leaks. I'm not saying, "everyone should become a priest." I say: the levels are the same. Someone comes for \$200 and a dividend — this is normal, this is also a course. Someone coming for a vote against the CEO is also a course. Someone hears the call of Anubis — another corridor is open for him. The house holds all floors.

But the foundation is the same: Maat as engineering, and not as a moral declaration. PRACTICAL CONCLUSION If you've read this far and think: “Well, okay, the gods are former people, the Earth is courses. So what should I do about it on Monday?” — that's what. Stop looking for the “right faith.” Start looking for the right practice. The principle does not ask what you believe. He watches where your water flows. Every contract, every click “I agree”, every ETF through a broker, every debt “at 0%” is either a centimeter of the Maat riverbed or a centimeter of the Isfet canyon. Neteru are not judges from the cloud. These are those who have already passed the exam and now hold part of the building. You can argue with the wording — but the building does not disappear because of this. And the Earth is not

a place from which to escape. This is the place where you learn not to be a parasite and not to feed parasites.

If you learn this skill, it will then work on its own. If you can't bear it, you'll rewrite the same lesson in the next body. Without the anger of the system. Just geometry. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 27: WHAT BITCOIN AND ETHEREUM DIDN'T HAVE (VIROLOGICAL ANALYSIS) In the first part we ended with a hard diagnosis.

Chapter 22 called Bitcoin and Ethereum captured freedom.

Temples without guards. I said Ren: BlackRock, JPMorgan, CME, Infura, ConsenSys. He said: next we'll build a space where they won't enter — with the antibody in the DNA. Fine. Now — virology. Not an “evil versus good” metaphor. And the analysis: why the same capture pattern worked twice. And why “openness” without immunity is not freedom, but an invitation. ROLL CALL WITH CHAPTER 22: WHAT IS ALREADY ESTABLISHED Let me remind you briefly, without repeating the entire text. Bitcoin was intended to be peer-to-peer cash without banks. Satoshi left. One million BTC is untouched. A beautiful myth of the temple founder. Until ~2017 — a community of our own. Then — CME, futures, ETFs. BlackRock, through iShares, gained a significant share of supply. Not a ban. Absorption. Ethereum was conceived as a world computer, smart contracts, an alternative to banks. In the ecosystem — ConsenSys, Infura, MetaMask. Investors: JPMorgan, Mastercard.

Enterprise Ethereum Alliance with banks as founders. Vitalik sees the takeover and speaks about it publicly — but the architecture has already allowed everyone to enter. Both projects are alive. Both are “successful” by market metrics. Both have ceased to be an alternative in the sense in which they promised to be in the whitepaper. They became an asset in the portfolio of the same structures. The question of this chapter is not “who is to blame.” Question: what was missing from the original code of the idea that allowed the virus to pass without resistance? ANTI-VIRUS THAT DOESN'T KNOW THE VIRUS Imagine an antivirus with one setting: “pass everything that is not clearly marked as malware. exe”. The hacker renames the Trojan to report_final. pdf. The antivirus is silent. The system is infected. The antivirus formally works. Formally, “there is protection.” Bitcoin said: permissionless. Without permission. Open to everyone.

This sounds like freedom. Engineering means the absence of a selection criterion at the input. Ethereum said: open to everyone. Plus “we are for innovation together with the industry.” Engineering — an invitation at the marketing level to those from

whom the project was supposed to protect. None of the whitepapers have a level line: "This protocol was created against the concentration of votes and capital in the hands of financial clans. The participation of structures that make money by intermediating other people's voices is contrary to the mission." No — that means the antivirus does not know the signature. This means that BlackRock is not entering as an invader. As a market participant. Like "everyone". This is not BlackRock meanness. This is a design hole. They do what they know how to do: find an open asset, buy, build a wrapper, control the entry point. Raider seizure without trial. Quiet. Legal.

With ETFs in the Forbes headlines. TEMPLE WITHOUT GUARDS — AGAIN, DEEPER In Chapter 22, I compared the Hall of Two Truths with permissionless access. I will repeat the diagram — it is central. The hall is open until: — there are 42 confessions (login checklist); — there are guards at the gates; — there are scales (objective criterion); — there are consequences (Ammit, not "oh well"). Remove the guards — the first to come will not be those who want to weigh the heart. Those who will install an exchanger at the Scales will come. Commission for "access to justice". There is a paid queue. Premium walkthrough package. Bitcoin removed the bank from the transfer. Did not remove the bank from Bitcoin ownership. Ethereum removed the lawyer from the contract. Didn't remove the bank from the node through which you sign the transaction. The temple remained beautiful. No guards were hired.

Ten years later, the same families standing at the altar as at the dollar altar — only in hoodies with the fox logo. I am a former grey infrastructure operator. I've seen "open API" turn into a provider monopoly. Infura is not a conspiracy. This is the natural outcome of an open door without the "you can't own 80% of the inputs" rule. WHY "NOT BAN" IS NOT ENOUGH Libertarian answer: "Let BlackRock buy. The market itself. Decentralization will digest." The market has already digested it. The result is on the table: ETFs, custody from traditional depositories, a "digital gold for a portfolio" narrative, not "money without an intermediary." Decentralization without a vector is not sustainability. This is Brownian motion. All forces are equal — including a force that has \$11.5 trillion and a department of lawyers for every sneeze. Maat says differently: sustainability is a directed configuration.

Not "let everyone in," but "let in those who don't break the scales." Not "ban the rich," but name the mechanism of parasitism and build immunity into the charter. Bitcoin and Ethereum have chosen neutrality. Neutrality when confronted with Isfet Canyon is not peace. This is a delayed surrender. ETHEREUM: INVITING A PREDATOR TO THE TABLE At least Bitcoin didn't have an official "Enterprise

Bitcoin Alliance” with JPMorgan as a founder at the start of the idea. Ethereum did. This is an important point. This is not a stealth takeover. This is a public handshake: “we are not enemies, we are partners.” Partnering with someone from whom you promised to free the user is not a compromise. This is a change in the beneficiary of the mission without renaming the project. The house is still called “freedom”. Inside — the same DNS as the bank. Vitalik sees this. Speaks.

But Ethereum's governance does not give it the right to retroactively rewrite DNA. Permissionless code. A community for inclusion. The predator is also a member of the community. The circle is closed. WHAT IS “ANTIBODY IN DNA” — TECHNICALLY Biology: The antibody recognizes the antigen before the virus has replicated. Recognizes it by its form. Not “everyone in a row.” Project: Antibody in DNA is an explicit definition of what we are protecting ourselves from, hardcoded into the first layer of documents and code, so that the capture becomes: — legally recognizable (violation of the charter); — reputationally expensive (PR-suicide for the clan); — technically meaningless (why buy a token whose mission is to break your proxy voting model?). Not “us against bad people.” A: “We are a coordination of owners of real assets against the concentration of other people’s votes in the hands of intermediaries.” Whitepaper level string.

Memorandum level line. First post level line. Not in the FAQ. In the preamble. Bitcoin didn't say that. Ethereum didn't say that. Therefore, you can love them, trade them, hold them — and at the same time admit: as a model of liberation, they failed not morally, but engineeringly. Uptime of freedom is eight years. Uptime of technology as an asset is longer. These are different metrics. We confuse them when we say “crypto beat the banks.” AN ORDINARY PERSON: WHAT COMES FROM THIS You don't have to “hate Bitcoin”. I don't hate. Bitcoin did the impossible: it showed that money can live in a protocol. It’s like the first temple on the sand — weak, but it proved that the temple is possible. But if you buy BTC through a bank app, you are not in the temple. You're in the hallway of a bank with a picture of a temple on the wallpaper. You don't have the private key. Freeze — freeze.

If you use Ethereum through MetaMask, and the transaction goes through Infura, you are not with the Scales. You are in line at the exchanger at the entrance. The practical conclusion is: don’t confuse technology and mission. Technology will survive. A mission is only possible if it is written into the charter and guarded by guards. The next two chapters are exactly how we sew: first the name (Chapter 28), then three layers of protection (Chapter 29). Now let's fix the intermediate result: Openness without antibody = temple without guards. A temple without guards = a shopping center near the Scales. A shopping center is the financial system that we

described in the first part. We are not repeating the mistake. Not out of anger towards Satoshi and not out of contempt for Vitalik. Out of respect for the lesson, which they paid for with someone else's trust. Djed Medu.

Chapter 28: Name As Protection.

REN IN WHITEPAPER At the end of the first part and at the beginning of this one, we returned several times to the same Heka formula: What is named loses its hidden power. Ren's knowledge of the entity gives her power over it. The nameless is omnipotent. The named can be managed.

Chapter 22 said the names: Shadow Neteru, BlackRock, JPMorgan, CME, debt trap, proxy voting.

Chapter 27 explained why Bitcoin and Ethereum did not pronounce them in their “genome” — and paid with capture.

This chapter is about how naming becomes security engineering in MAAT. Not by magic. Not a "curse". Not hate. Protocol. REN IS NOT A NICKNAME IN TELEGRAM In the Egyptian tradition, Ren is a name as an essence. Not a shortcut. Not a brand. Something without which you do not exist in the coordinate system of reality. Erase Ren — erase a person. Someone else's Ren wrote on someone else's obelisk — he appropriated someone else's line. Ramesses II understood this better than SEO agencies: his name on the stone in Luxor is not graffiti. This is a channel takeover. The modern analogue is not only “reputation”. This is the primary entry in the register: who is the beneficiary, who is the intermediary, who is the owner of the vote, who sets the agenda. While the recording is alien and hidden, you are in Cede & Co. As long as the entry is yours and visible, you are in DRS. The difference is not romantic. Registry.

When we call BlackRock a planet-scale Mut-Hathor (a wet-nurse cow that eats other people's voices), we are not insulting. We fix the function. The function ceases to be a fog “the market works this way.” It becomes a node that can be bypassed, blocked, and not fed. WHY THE NAMELESS IS STRONGER Isfet loves namelessness. "Market". "System". "Globalization". “It just happened that way.” "Everyone does it." The nameless cannot be sued. It cannot be challenged at a shareholders meeting. Cannot be blocked in a smart contract — there is no address. When you say “I was deceived by the market,” you didn't show it to anyone. When you say “structure X extracted Y through mechanism Z,” you have a response vector. Do not take revenge on the “market”. And rebuild the channel. Naming the hack is the oldest responsible disclosure. You don't break the system right away. You're posting a vulnerability.

Then either the system fixes it or admits that it is a vulnerability. MAAT does the same with financial clans — not in the underground, but in the preamble.

WHITEPAPER LIKE A TEMPLE WALL The Bitcoin whitepaper has nine pages of engineering. Beautiful. Exactly. Zero lines about who we are protecting ourselves from. Ethereum has a vision of a world computer. Also strong. Also zero lines: “we are not for JPMorgan.” In MAAT’s memorandum and architectural document, from the first screen: An eternal fund for humanity against the concentration of power in the hands of financial clans. This is not marketing. This is an antibody in DNA. Why doesn't BlackRock buy an "anti-BlackRock token" ETF? Not because it's prohibited. Because PR suicide. Their business is to be the invisible carrier of other people’s votes. A project that calls mediation parasitism is for them like a “plague” sign on the door of a shop.

The predator does not hunt where it has already been identified and the hunting pattern has been described. He goes to where he will blend in with the crowd. Permissionless. Open for everyone. Inclusive finance. We choose something else: exclusive by principle, open by humanity. Anyone can enter. It is impossible to enter as a structure that makes money on other people’s votes, not because we hate them. But because the charter and Ren of the project prohibit this from the first line. NOT HATE IS A CONDITION OF NON-CAPTURE Sometimes they ask me: “Telim, you understand that this is how you scare off institutionalists? The money won't come.” Yes. Exactly. Institutions whose mission is to buy someone else’s vote wholesale and sell power retail should not come as masters. They can come as market participants on equal terms — if they agree with the rules. But not as someone who will rewrite the charter to suit himself.

This is not “us against the rich.” The Rothschilds are not rich because they are bad people. But because three hundred years flowed into the riverbed without a competitive canyon. We do not break their channel. We are digging parallel. But to prevent the parallel from being merged back, there must be a line in its DNA: “they do not enter here with those who parasitize on other people’s votes.” Otherwise, in ten years, MAAT will become “another ETF with a pyramid and an Anubis mask.” The principle forms the essence — remember? Without the DNA line, the water will flow into the old canyon. NAMING RITUAL IN MANAGEMENT Naming is not a one-time event on a landing page. This is a ritual. Before voting, DAO — fixing the context: what we protect, what we consider Isfet, what weight the decision has. Not a ceremony for the sake of aesthetics. Resetting focus. Micro-Uab of the entire system. Each Guardian’s veto has a name and reason.

Not “for good reason, we do not disclose details.” Otherwise, in a year the veto will become a black box. The black box is the Vatican again without protocol. Every

major counterparty is a function test: does it feed Maat or Isfet? Not a “partnership for scale.” Partnership for the sake of scale without naming is Akhenaten in reverse: one principle is “growth at any cost.” Naming is the priestly function of distinction. Anubis does not “punish”. He calls: this is living, this is dead. This goes away, this doesn't. In the project it's the same: this is compatible with Maat, this is not. No drama. With a record on the blockchain. FIRST LINE OF CODE Programmers like to say: “code is law.” Fine. Then the first line of the law is not technical. Semantic. In a smart contract you can set up the following parameters: supply, burn, rights. But before the contract there is a human layer: a memorandum, AIFC charter, public manifesto, DAO rules.

There should be a level formula: MAAT exists to coordinate the ownership and voting power of the people outside the intermediaries that concentrate the power of financial clans. If tomorrow someone forks the contract, the form will go away. Ren will remain original. The copier will get a dry channel — we have already sorted that out. But a community that reads the first line and lives by it for ten years does not fork with one click. It needs to be renamed. And renaming a line is more difficult than copying GitHub. This is the answer to the skeptic “you will be captured through VC.” Capture is possible if you are shy about your name. If the name is in the charter, in every vote, in every public veto, the takeover is visible from day one. And a visible seizure is no longer a seizure, but a war in plain sight. And war in plain sight is expensive. Clans prefer a quiet entrance. We close the quiet entrance with a word, not a fence.

BRIDGE TO THE NEXT CHAPTER The name is not enough. He named it and built a sand castle, but he also lost. Three layers are needed: mathematics, democracy, priesthood. A name is DNA. Layers are organs. In the next chapter, we will examine the manifesto of the three layers just as directly: what DRS does, what DAO does, what Guardians do — and why not a single layer alone holds the roof. As in Chapter 24 about twelve pillars — only now about three load-bearing walls of the living fund. In the meantime, take note for yourself: To say Ren is not to offend the enemy. Say Ren — turn on immunity. BlackRock, JPMorgan, debt trap, other people's votes through ETFs — this is not a taboo. These are the coordinates on the map. A map without coordinates is not a map. A prayer without a name is not Heka. MAAT starts with the name. Continues with practice.

It ends not with the death of the project, but with the depth of the riverbed that we dig together. Djed Medu.

Chapter 29: Three Layers Of Protection — Manifesto (Mathematics, Democracy, Priesthood) In The Last Chapter We

Said Ren.

We turned on immunity at the DNA level. Fine. But immunity without organs is a PDF about health lying in your desk drawer while you eat fast food. Now — organs. Three layers. Not because I love the number three (although the Egyptians did too). Because one layer in this game is like one password “admin/admin”. Works until the first botnet. I've been in grey infrastructure for fifteen years. I saw how “everything is encrypted with us” is broken through a colocation neighbor. I saw how “we have a democracy” they break through one admin with root. I saw how “we have a mission” was broken through a beautiful slide at an investor pitch. MAAT is assembled so that each layer covers the hole of the previous one. Like defense in depth. Only instead of CVE — Isfet. LAYER 1: MATHEMATICS (BLOCKCHAIN, CONTRACTS, DRS) The first layer is what can be formalized. Smart contract. Fixed supply. Public registry. Burn events. Bridge with rules.

DRS as a record of the owner in your name, and not in “Cede & Co” — a common nominal wallet of the entire planet, where you are a guest without administrator rights. Mathematics doesn't argue with you. It either converges or it doesn't. You are not “almost owner” of 10 shares of Apple. You're either on the register or you're not. Like git: either commit to main, or you look at someone else's fork and think that this is your prod. Layer 1 limitation is fair: math can be bought. Hire hackers. Buy 51% of mining in theory. Buy Infura and call it an “infrastructure partnership.” BlackRock does not crack SHA-256. He buys entry through the ETF and says: “we are also holders, why are you nervous?” Therefore, mathematics alone is not enough. Bitcoin has proven it. Ethereum has proven it. Beautiful TLS on a site whose root certificate belongs to someone else.

LAYER 2: DEMOCRACY (DAO, ONE PERSON — ONE VOTE) The second layer is coordination without transfer of ownership. Don't “give the shares to the fund, it will vote beautifully.” And “hold your shares, we will agree on how to hit the same target.” DAO here is not a cult or an emoji chat. This is a load balancer for votes. A million weak signals turn into one readable stream at a shareholder meeting. Without DAO you are noise. With DAO, you are a VLAN that is suddenly seen in the switch fabric of the corporate network. Key: one person — one vote. Not “whoever has more tokens is right.” This is Maat's conscious choice against capital voting. Yes, the whales won't like it. Great. Whales are the fish from which we build a network with filters. Layer 2 limitation: DAO can be captured by tokens. Buy governance on OpenSea as an NFT collection. Vote for “let's make a meme token and fly to the moon.” This is not a bug of democracy.

This is a bug of democracy without a priestly WAF. LAYER 3: PRIESTHOOD (7–12 GUARDIANS, INITIATION) The third layer is something that cannot be bought. Can't be inherited. Cannot be obtained for 100,000 MAAT on Uniswap. Seven — because there are seven gates in the Book of Two Paths. Twelve — because there are twelve clocks of Amduat, twelve supports of the dome. Not magic. Address space: enough people for a quorum, not enough for an oligarchy. Guardians do not manage the treasury. The DAO manages the treasury. Guardians protect the principle. Five functions, without pomp: — Distinction: seeing Isfet in the costume of “strategic partnership.”

— Veto with public text: not “403 Forbidden without response body”, but “403, here is the RFC with justification.”

— Boundary: who can be a Guardian — only the initiated.

— Connection with Neteru: not a KPI, but a channel (yes, it sounds strange until you check it for yourself).

— Anti-degradation: remember the law of the six steps from the future chapter 38 before it happens again.

Layer 3 limitation: Priests are human. People are getting tired. People are jealous. People sometimes want PR. Therefore, rotation, transparency, veto of other Guardians, 75% of DAO for review. Priesthood is not a lifelong root. This is sudo with logging. WHY DOES NOT SINGLE LAYER SUPPORT THE ROOF? Table for those who love tables (me too): Only mathematics -> they will buy entry, they will be called institutions. Only DAO -> will vote for capture with memes. Only priests -> theater without scale, sect with API. Three together -> like HTTPS: encryption + certificate + HSTS policy. Remove one and you will get a beautiful hack in the pentest report. It was the same in the Egyptian model. Maat is the law. People — participation. Priests — discrimination and ritual of precision. Remove the priests and you get a market for amulets. Take away the people and you get a theocracy without feedback.

Take away Maat and you will get the Ramessides, which we have already discussed. DOUBLE LOCK I call this the "double lock". External — mathematics and DRS: strangers cannot lend your shares to short sellers while you think that you are “just holding it in the app.” Internal — priesthood: outsiders cannot push into the DAO the decision to “sell the principle for the sake of a quarterly report,” even if they have a million tokens. Between the locks is the DAO as a democratic corridor. You are not walking alone. But not like a sheep in an ETF either. BlackRock holds the outside lock of someone else's house. We propose to build your house with three

walls. Modestly. But you, your neighbor, and the one whom Anubis called have the keys — and not Cede & Co, LLC, which doesn't even know your name.

PERSONAL NOTE FROM IT WORKER When I explained this to the investor in the suit, he said: “Telim, this is over-engineered.”

I replied: “Sir, your portfolio sits on an infrastructure where one broker turned off the Buy feature flag button for retail in 2021. And you're talking about over-engineering.” He didn't laugh. But I understood. Three layers is not paranoia. This is the experience of a man who has seen “simple solutions” end in complex disasters. The next chapter is about the first layer in detail: DRS. Self-host of your shares. Without DevOps on the knee, but with instructions. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 30: DRS — BOUNDARY DESIGNATION (SELF-HOST FOR SHARES) If Chapter 29 is about data center architecture, then Chapter 30 is about how not to store assets in someone else's SaaS, where the admin is your competitor and sometimes your creditor.

Drs.

Direct Registration System. Boring name. Behind it is the most unromantic and most powerful threshold ritual in modern financial Maat. WHAT DO YOU HAVE NOW (EVEN IF “I'M ALREADY AN INVESTOR”) You bought shares through a broker. Beautiful app. Chart. Notifications. Feeling of control. The registry says otherwise. The chain is usually like this: You -> Broker -> DTCC -> nominee (often Cede & Co.) You are the beneficiary. Not the owner on the primary record. As a user of a free cloud: the files are “yours” until the provider changes the ToS, freezes your account and sends you to read the FAQ about force majeure. The broker can: — freeze the account; — limit trading (hello, GameStop 2021 — the Buy button disappeared faster than a Friday-night hotfix); — go bankrupt — and then you're in the creditors' queue with a PDF “we're sorry.” DTCC can lend your shares to short sellers. Legally, this is “normal market practice.”

According to Maat, it's like giving your neighbor root of your server, because “that's how it's done in our data center.” Are you voting? Theoretically. In practice, the person who has the aggregated block votes for you. You don't even know the AGM date until you Google it. And googling is already a loss in terms of attention. WHAT DOES DRS CHANGE? DRS scheme: You -> Transfer agent (often Computershare) -> entry FOR YOU. Self-host. Your domain. Your SSL. Your Ren is on the register. What changes in engineering terms: — You vote, not the intermediary.

— Shares are more difficult to borrow without your knowledge.

— Brokerage bankruptcy does not take your asset into the fog.

— Freezing is a court level, not “risk management at 3 am.”

What does NOT change: — The market is still falling. Maat does not cancel gravity.

— Taxes have not gone away.

Sorry.

— It’s not always possible to sell faster than the broker’s T+0.

Computershare is not an exchange. This is a registry, not an HFT terminal. It’s as if you hosted the site yourself: there is freedom, but no CDN. STEP-BY-STEP WITHOUT BOREDOM (LEVEL L2 SUPPORT) I won’t rewrite the forty page manual. Scheme for adults: 1) Open an account with a broker with DRS (often IBKR for non-residents). Documents: passport, proof of address, tax ID. Your country of residence is more important than your passport — like a region in AWS, it determines what you will be allowed to do.

2) Buy shares.

Regular deal.

3) Wait for settlement T+1.

One working day. Like cron, which must work before you touch prod.

4) Request DRS outbound.

In IBKR: Transfer -> Outbound -> DRS. The commission is about five dollars. Cheaper than one extra latte, and more expensive than “doing nothing” — in terms of consequences.

5) Computershare creates an account.

Sometimes they will send you a code by mail. Yes, paper. 2FA via snail mail. Funny until you remember that this is the only channel that the broker cannot “accidentally” disable in the API.

6) Check the status.

Share in your name. Screenshot for archive. Not for Instagram. For grandchildren and for the court. The full statement “Uab for a portfolio” will be in the appendix to the book or on the project website — with a checklist by ticker, who has which transfer agent. Not all companies are on Computershare. Just like not all services can be self-hosted on one hardware. WHY IS THIS A THRESHOLD GUARDIAN

In Chapter 14 of the first part we said: the guardians of the Duat are not from the dead, but from the Isfet-entities crawling upward. DRS is the same pattern in the SEC world. You draw the line: Behind this line is my voice. My Ren. My responsibility. Behind the line is the common pool of DTCC, where you are not invited to the meeting because “you are already represented.” By whom? Cede & Co. Thank you, I don’t remember giving a power of attorney. This is not a flight from the market. You stay in the economy.

You are changing the ownership model. Redirect, not revolution. Traffic leaves their balancer for yours. SANCTIONS 2022 AS A FIELD TEST I come from a country where many have experienced this themselves. Frozen assets. Locks. “Your broker no longer serves you.” It’s as if there are shares, but they can’t be sold. Voting is funny. DRS shares in a Western register in your name are a different risk class. Not zero. But different. The broker may die. The register remains. It’s like keeping backups not only from the cloud, which suddenly decided that you are “in the wrong region.” I don’t promise magic against all sanctions in all jurisdictions. I promise engineering: fewer middlemen means less leverage for the middleman. Mathematics of risk, not prayer. DRS + MAAT: WHY IS IT IN ONE BOOK “Telim, DRS is not crypto.” Right. MAAT is not just a token. MAAT is owner coordination. Token — membership and ritual of fixation.

Stocks in DRS are the material part of the scale. You can be in a DAO without DRS — but then you vote in a whisper. You can do DRS without a DAO — but then you’re a lone hero with ten shares and a letter that no one will read. Together, this is a letter from a million addresses with one MX record. Corporations love mail. Especially when the mail says “no” to CEO bonus. TYPICAL EXCUSES (AND ANSWERS) “Too difficult.” Opening a broker is more difficult than pressing DRS once. You’ve already completed KYC for Tinder and sushi delivery. “I’ll lose liquidity.” Partially true. This is the price for sovereignty. As a self-host: you pay with time, you don’t give it to root. “I don’t care, I’m small.” A million small holders — this is Engine No. 1 from 0.02%. You are small alone. You are big as a VLAN. “The state will take it anyway.” Maybe. But first, don’t let the broker pick you up on Monday morning, because there are “risks.”

CHAPTER FINALE DRS is not a fancy Reddit hack. This is a legal mechanism created for institutions. Clans use it. We use the same API. Responsible disclosure: the hole in their OS is called the “direct registration right”. It is impossible to close the exchange without rewriting it. The next chapter is how to avoid being a lonely self-hosted server. About DAO. About the shareholders' union. About how 12 people took three seats on the ExxonMobil board with 0.02% — and why this is the best proof of concept in the history of corporate wars. Djed Medu.

Chapter 31: Dao Is A Shareholders Union, Not A Fund (Engine No. 1) Drs — You Left Someone Else'S Saas.

DAO — you are no longer the only pixel on the shareholder meeting screen. In short: MAAT DAO is not an ETF. Not a hedge fund. Don't "give us the money, smart people will sort it out." This is a trade union. Only instead of a strike at the workshop, there is an agreed proxy vote at the workshop of the owners of Apple, Robinhood, BlackRock (yes, irony — sometimes we vote within the system to redirect the system).

Why Etf Is A Trap With A Beautiful Ui You Buy An Etf.

You see "I own the S&P 500." You feel diversification. You drink coffee. What actually happened: — You gave the money to the fund.

- The fund bought shares in its own name.
- The fund has the right to vote.
- The fund is often BlackRock/Vanguard/State Street.

"You're funding a machine that votes against you at the AGM while you're scrolling through TikTok." It's like giving the root of the server to a DevOps team, which hands over your CPU to miners, and sends you a report: "uptime 99%" — according to their definition of uptime. The problem is not that you are stupid. The problem is coordination. You have 10 shares. They have a billion. You don't know the agenda. You know the date — you don't understand the agenda. You see it, you don't vote. If you don't vote, they vote for you. Isfet configuration. Not a conspiracy. Design.

What Is Maat Dao In The Language Of Networks Imagine Multicast.

Each participant sends an IGMP join: "I am the owner, I am here, I am against the CEO's 400:1 bonus to the median." One join is noise. One hundred thousand joins synchronized through the platform is already the traffic that ISS and Glass Lewis sees. They are weathervanes. Not gods. They look where the wind is blowing and write a recommendation. We need wind. DAO: — aggregates upcoming votes; — gives a simple interface (human, not Form 13D in Sanskrit); — conducts internal voting of participants; — forms a single block; — submits a proxy vote on behalf of coordination. You're not giving away the shares. You don't cast a vote. You synchronize it with your neighbors. Like NTP, only for conscience and capital. ONE PERSON — ONE VOTE In crypto they are used to: "the whale decides."

Ours is intentionally different. One person — one vote in DAO governance.

Yes, you may have a portfolio worth \$50,000, and your neighbor has a portfolio worth \$500. At the shareholders' meeting, the weight of shares is based on capital. Inside MAAT — per person. Why? Because otherwise we are building a mini-BlackRock with a pyramid and an Anubis mask. The principle forms the essence, remember? If you vote “who has more tokens” for ten years, you will get whales. If it’s “one person, one vote” for ten years, you’ll get a union. Whales can hold shares. They cannot buy priesthood. They cannot rewrite the charter without 90% — and 90% of people, not capital, is a different geometry.

Engine No. 1 — The Best Cve In History 2021.

ExxonMobil. Capitalization ~\$250 billion. Oil titan. Engine No. 1 — a fund of 12 people. \$250 million under management. Sounds like a lot? This is 0.02% of Exxon shares. Dust. Rounding error in Excel at BlackRock. They didn't buy the company. They coordinated the votes. Pensioners. Small funds. People who are tired of “ESG in the presentation, but the board is the same.” Result: three seats out of twelve on the board of directors. Three. At the giant's. From 0.02%. This is a proof of concept, which the IT director immediately understands: “this means the problem is not in RAM, the problem is in routing.” MAAT scales routing. Not for 12 people.

At 100K -> 1M.

With instructions, DRS, token as membership, priests as WAF. WHAT WE ARE NOT Not a pump & dump syndicate. Not “we bought everything, sold everything, and dumped it on retail.” This is Isfet. We are for governance. Not a party. Not “left versus right.” Maat is about weight, not about the color of a tie. Not the Telim sect. I am a point of manifestation, not the owner of souls. The Guardian Council rotates. I'm BDFL at the start, not forever (chapter 39 later). Not a “you’ll be rich by Friday” promise. There are dividends. Growth happens. But the main asset is the vote, which is no longer free for BlackRock.

Gamestop As A Lesson And How Not To Do January 2021.

GameStop is a chain of stores selling video games. Business is dying: everything is going digital, offline stores are closing. Large hedge funds bet on the stock to fall and “shorted” it. This means: they borrowed shares, sold them now, and hoped to buy them back cheaper later — the difference is in their pocket. This was noticed on Reddit in the WallStreetBets (WSB) community. And they did a simple thing: they coordinated and began buying shares en masse. When everyone buys, the price rises. Hedge funds felt bad: the stock was going up, and they needed to buy it back

at a rising price in order to repay the debt. This is called a short squeeze. GameStop shares rose from \$20 to \$480 in a few days. One large hedge fund (Melvin Capital) lost \$6 billion and nearly went bankrupt. Regular people on Reddit dealt Wall Street a real blow. For the first time in history, it was through coordination, not money.

And then Robinhood turned off the “Buy” button. Robinhood is the broker through which most WSB members purchased shares. In the midst of events, they simply removed the ability to buy GameStop. Selling is possible. Buy — no. The price began to fall. Official explanation: Collateral requirements increased and Robinhood ran out of liquidity. Maybe. But the effect is the same: at the moment when retail investors were winning, the instrument in their hands was broken. Through an intermediary. The conclusion that the smartest participants of WSB made: while the share is with the broker, the broker is root. He can do anything with it. This is how the DRS movement was born — “move shares from the broker to direct registration.” When a stock is registered in your name directly through a transfer agent (Computershare), the broker no longer stands between you and the stock.

He can't freeze it, ban it, or lend it to short sellers without your knowledge. WHAT GAMESTOP SHOWED AND WHAT WAS MISSING Showed: coordination works. Thousands of ordinary people without institutional capital really moved the market and scared Wall Street. Three things were missing. The first is stability: a spontaneous meme flares up and goes out, there is no structure that would maintain coordination constantly. The second is the agenda: the goal was “to punish short sellers”, and not “to change something in the company.” Speculation, not management. Third, protection from the intermediary: the broker turned off the button and that's it. WHAT DOES MAAT ADD? DRS solves the problem of the intermediary — shares are in direct registration, the broker cannot disconnect. The DAO solves the problem of sustainability — not a one-time meme, but a permanent, coordinated structure with a charter and a treasury.

The agenda is changing: we don't “put pressure on short sellers”, but “vote at AGM on specific issues” — CEO bonus, environmental obligations, supply chain transparency. WSB fought with shouts. We are building a post office that delivers letters to the board of directors. Regularly. At the address. Signed by a million senders. LINK WITH MAAT TOKEN “Why a token if there are shares?” Token — membership in coordination. Governance inside DAO. "I'm in the channel" signal. Not a replacement for shares. Not “security” in the legal sense for retail — utility, ritual, access to the voting interface and education. 42 million supply — separate chapter 33. The important thing here is that a token without DRS is a chat. DRS without DAO is a loner. Together — infrastructure.

WHAT TO DO AFTER READING If you are a pragmatist: buy the MAAT token on www.maax.io — this is your contribution to the general treasury and your vote in the DAO; join coordination when the platform opens; follow the voting once every few weeks, not every day. If you want to go further and coordinate your personal actions through MAAT, there is DRS for this (Chapter 30). This is not necessary. It is optional. If you are a skeptic: check my words on Exxon 2021, Engine No. 1, on the DTCC/Cede chain. I'm an IT guy, I love reproducible builds. If you are an embryonic priest: don't rush. First the token and the vote. The channel will deepen itself.

And yes: it's not fast. Nothing real is fast. But ten years later, the riverbed remembers who poured the water — and not just who liked the post. BRIDGE TO BLOCK 4 The next three chapters are Engine in detail at scale (Chapter 32), 42 as tokenomics (Chapter 33), AIFC and liminal (Chapter 34). Now you have three layers, DRS and DAO. This is a skeleton. Bones don't exist without meat. But without bones, meat is a puddle. You are no longer a puddle if you have read this far. You are the person who knows where the balancer is located in the system and where to direct the traffic. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 32: ENGINE NO. 1 — PARABLE ABOUT 0.02% (SCALE OF COORDINATION) In the last chapter I mentioned Engine No. 1 as proof of concept. Now I'll go into more detail — because this is not an “inspirational story”; this is a reference implementation. A reference build from which you can fork. I love this story for the same reason that IT people love the story about how two students in a garage destroyed the IBM monopoly. There were also “ridiculous” numbers: a couple of computers against one hundred thousand employees. It's even more clear here: twelve people against a corporation that everyone considered impregnable. DRY FIGURES ENGINE NO. 1 Year: 2021. Team: 12 people. Capital: \$250 million under management. Target: ExxonMobil, \$250 billion capitalization. Share: 0.02%. Result: 3 of 12 seats on the board of directors. Read it again. Zero point zero two percent. Two hundredths of one percent. This is not “a small company bought a controlling stake at a fire sale.” This is a floating-point error on ExxonMobil's balance sheet. This is noise a risk manager would wrap in ROUND() and go get coffee. And with this noise they entered the board of directors of one of the largest oil giants on the planet. Not through court. Not through a raid. Through voting, according to the rules of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Completely legal. With a press release and a smile. HOW THEY DID IT The idea was simple, like git rebase: you don't need to own a lot — you need to coordinate those who already have. ExxonMobil is a public company. Most of the shares are held by pension funds, mutual funds, and millions of retail investors through

brokers. Everyone has a voice. Only a few vote. Most people check the “vote as management recommends” box and forget.

Engine No. 1 did three things: 1. Formulated a clear thesis: “ExxonMobil is not preparing for the energy transition, it will kill shareholder value in ten years.” Not an ideological manifesto. Financial argument in the language of the funds themselves. 2. Proposed alternative candidates for the board — with real expertise in the energy transition. Not activists with posters. Former CEOs of oil and energy companies. People whom CalPERS cannot call “not suitable for the profile.” 3. Went to the large pension funds (CalPERS, CalSTRS, New York State Common) and said: you own Exxon, you have a fiduciary duty to the beneficiaries, here is our thesis: vote with us. The funds ran the numbers. ISS and Glass Lewis (they will be discussed in Chapter 36) supported some Engine candidates. Then came the avalanche. Voting May 26, 2021. Three places out of twelve. ExxonMobil learned the results live.

WHY THIS IS NOT JUST A CASE The skeptic will say: “The stars have aligned. ESG wave. Climate agenda. You won’t repeat it.” Partially true. But if you remove the ESG label and look at the mechanics, there is not a single link that would be available only in 2021. Mechanics: — A public company with a dispersed ownership structure. Every S&P 500 company is like that.

— Each shareholder has the right to a proxy vote.

Law of 1934. They won’t cancel it without rewriting the exchange.

— Possibility to nominate your candidates through a proxy contest.

Expensive, but legal.

— Coordination through public narrative and direct outreach to large holders.

Email, presentation, meeting. Not a single element requires approval from above. This is the exchange's API, exposed since its creation. Engine simply read the documentation that others were skimming. ROLL CALL WITH CHAPTER 16 OF PART 1: RAMSES INVERSION Ramesses II in Chapter 16 is our scalable capture case. One person, a PR machine, erasing the names of predecessors, copying the pattern thousands of times. SEO for three thousand years. Capture through volume. Engine No. 1 is the reverse inversion of the same pattern. It’s not just one person scaling capture—many people scaling coordination. Not erasing names, but putting names on the ballot. Not a copy of one Ren for each stone — but one common vector for a million different Rens. This is important to understand: we did not “invent new magic.” We took the scaling pattern that Ramses used for Isfet and

turned it 180 degrees. Same geometry, reverse flow direction.

Engine did this for 12 people and 0.02%. MAAT plans to do it for 1,000,000 people. Not because a million is a beautiful number. Because a million coordinated small holders in small-cap is no longer an “activist fund”, it is a tectonic shift.

SMALL-CAP AS THE RIGHT GOAL FOR STARTING A big mistake of people who hear the idea for the first time: “Okay, let's go straight against Apple.” We count on a napkin. Apple ~\$3 trillion capitalization. To take a significant share (at least 1%), you need \$30 billion. If you have 100,000 people, that's \$300,000 each. Not a people's story. A story for a different Engine with a different budget.

Small-cap (\$300M–\$2B): — Piedmont Lithium ~\$500M. $100K \times \$200 = \$20M = 4\%$ equity. Already the largest coordinated bloc.

— Benson Hill ~\$300M.

$$100K \times \$200 = \$20M = 6\%.$$

A controlling vote is realistic.

— Stem, ChargePoint, Solid Power — similar.

These companies are not small fish. NYSE/NASDAQ listing, hundreds of employees, real product (lithium, batteries, food), Bloomberg coverage. A win at their AGM ends up in Bloomberg in the same font as a win at Exxon. MEDIA EFFECT IS NOT A SIDE EFFECT BUT A GOAL “100,000 Ordinary People Beat BlackRock in Piedmont Lithium” is the headline. Not for narcissism. For the funnel. Each such victory: — Confirms proof of concept for the next 100,000.

— Forces ISS and Glass Lewis to reckon with a new actor.

— Attracts pension funds that are looking for allies in shareholder activism.

— Gives lawyers and regulators a precedent: “coordination of small holders through a DAO is a normal practice, not market manipulation.”

One ExxonMobil case gave Engine No. 1 ten years of recognition. Three or four small-cap cases give MAAT the trust infrastructure that is usually purchased with billions of marketing budgets. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN TO YOU? If you're reading now and thinking, “I feel sorry for 100 bucks for an experiment,” that's fine. This chapter is not about selling you a token. This chapter is about how the mechanism works. And it works not on “faith in the project,” but on the mathematics of coordination. If MAAT disappears tomorrow, Engine No. 1 will still remain a case others will learn from. The 1934 law has not been repealed. Proxy contest is not prohibited. DRS is open. I'm simply building a tool that lowers the

threshold for entering this mechanism from “you need a team of lawyers and \$250M” to “open the app, press a button.” This is the work of an engineer, not a prophet. Engine proved that the scheme is alive. Next is the scale.

This is what the rest of the book is about. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 33: 42 – MAAT NUMBER (TOKENOMICS WITHOUT COSMETICS) At this point every crypto project pulls out a forty-slide deck: tokenomics, vesting, allocation, lockup, incentives.

Usually by slide three it is already clear: 30% goes to team & advisors, vested over five years with a three-month cliff. In investor language: the founder takes a third, and you sit there politely. MAAT is different. Not because I'm nobler. Because a project that calls itself “against concentration” and at the same time builds classic founder concentration is schizophrenia. Anyone who read Chapter 27 sees the virus in the first line. Therefore, a short chapter. No slides. With arithmetic that can be checked in Explorer. NUMBER Total supply: 42,000,000 MAAT. Issuance: completed. Burns: periodic, event-based, public. Forty-two. Not forty million were “rounded up nicely.” Forty-two million, because there are 42 Confessions before Maat in the Hall of Two Truths. One Confession per million tokens. Every million MAAT is a symbolic Confession minted on the blockchain. This is not marketing. This is naming engineering. Hello, Chapter 28.

When you hold 1,000 MAAT, you hold a share in a specific confession, which has a name: “I did not steal.” “I didn't kill.” “I did not commit perjury.” The full list is in the Book of the Dead, chapter 125. I didn't make them up. They have been there for four thousand years. WHY 42 AND NOT ROUND Round numbers are marketing for those who don't count. 1 billion, 100 million, 21 million Bitcoin. All of these numbers are chosen aesthetically or based on “feel”. Bitcoin has 21 million because Satoshi made issuance converge by geometric progression, and that is where the number landed. 42 is the only supply number in the history of crypto projects that has a protocol justification that is four thousand years older than all living central banks. This is not a feature. This is an anchor. And yes, I know about “42 - the answer to the ultimate question of life, the Universe, and everything” in Douglas Adams. A wonderful coincidence.

It doesn't hurt if someone chooses a project because it's a joke. The main thing is to stay for the Confessions. DEFLATION THROUGH BURN Issuance is closed. This means: no new tokens are created. Unlike Ethereum, where issuance is dynamic and formula-based, and unlike classic corporate shares, where an additional issuance can be announced and you can be diluted without consent. A burn means sending tokens to the address `0x000...dead`, where no one can retrieve them because no private

key exists for it. Each burn is visible in the explorer, each burn is irreversible, each burn reduces the total supply. First burn event: May 22, 2026, 42 MAAT sent to the dead address. Symbolically: one Confession dedicated to the launch of the project. Technically, it was a public demonstration of the mechanics for anyone who wanted to check that the words about deflation were not empty.

Further burn events are tied to stages: Mainnet launch, first AGM victory, crossing 100K holders, migration of the treasury into the endowment. Each one is public, announced in advance, indicating the quantity. No “they quietly burned a couple of million.” WHAT IS NOT IN MAAT TOKENOMICS This is no less important than what is.

— There is no hidden premine for the founder “for development.”

My share, if it exists in operational form at all, is stated in the Memorandum and visible in the explorer. No “separate wallets for strategy.”

— No vesting for ten years “for founders and advisors.”

This mechanism is beautiful on paper and in 95% of projects in crypto it ends with the founders reaching liquidity after two or three years, and the community remaining with the schedule.

— There is no “private round at \$0.001 for our own, public at \$0.05.”

When projects like that list, early insiders get 50x; late holders get the bag.

— There is no perpetual issuance “to stimulate the ecosystem.”

This is a standard way to inflate supply under the guise of staking returns. For MAAT, issuance ends with a period, not a comma. WHAT DOES THE TOKEN GIVE Not a financial promise. Membership and features.

— Governance within the DAO: a vote on coordination decisions, budget, and Guardian candidates.

— Identification in the House: your Ren is in the system, a visible record: “I am in the channel.”

— Access to interfaces: AGM voting, educational materials, coordination with other holders.

— Membership level: 1,000 MAAT - basic, 10,000 - advanced, and so on.

Without “premium statuses” like in a fitness club. Just different functions. This is a utility token. Not a security. I don’t promise you a share of the profit, I don’t

promise a price increase, I don't promise dividends from the project. Dividends can come from community activities. This is another layer. Don't be confused.

TRANSPARENCY AS IMMUNITY The MAAT Memorandum states: all key wallets are public. The Treasury is public. Burn address is public. The founder's share (if it exists in operational form) is public. Anyone can open Etherscan and check. This is not "good intention." This is a technical necessity. A project that calls financial clans parasites on votes cannot afford the founder's hidden wallet at 30% supply. One screenshot from Explorer and the whole narrative falls apart. Therefore, the only safe configuration is full visibility. In IT this is called defense by transparency.

This is rare in crypto: projects hide addresses under the word "security." For MAAT it's the opposite. The hidden will die first. The visible survives. **WHAT'S IN IT FOR YOU?** If you came for coordination, the token is a pass. Not to the office. To another future. A pass into a world where the decision about where \$4 million of the bank's surplus goes is made by Rustam, Anya and Pedro - and not by a board of directors behind closed doors. Where Rustam's mother has a place in the equation. Where Anya's son has a place. Where your vote counts not because you are rich, but because you are human. This world is not built. It's under construction. A token is not a share in a finished project. This is a brick in the wall that is being laid right now while the window is open. The one who enters at sowing is a builder. The one who comes later is a tenant. Maat is in no hurry. But the window is closing.

CHAPTER 34: YOUR MAINNET AND REAL ASSETS (NEW BENBEN) In the last chapter we laid the legal foundation for the project.

This one is technical and material. Because a jurisdiction without its own infrastructure and without real assets is a beautiful stamp on an empty folder. I'm again in engineer mode, looking at the product system stack and asking: where is our critical dependence on someone else's vendor? Where is the single point of failure? Where is the lease that can be revoked tomorrow? And the answer is predictable: we move other people's pieces into our own data center. Gradually. No revolution. With a migration plan. **ERC-20 AS A RENTED OFFICE** Currently MAAT is an ERC-20 token on Ethereum. At the start, this is the right choice: maximum liquidity, maximum compatibility with wallets, maximum audience. No one has to install "MAAT Wallet" first to hold the token. This is the barrier to entry that kills 90% of potential participants. But Ethereum is not a neutral world computer. We looked at this in Chap. 27.

ConsenSys owns Infura, through which about 80% of nodes operate. ConsenSys received investments from JPMorgan, Mastercard, UBS. JPMorgan gave

ConsenSys its Quorum blockchain. The Enterprise Ethereum Alliance, with banks among its founders. That means the “decentralized platform” has a concentration point equivalent to one provider with an office in Brooklyn. If tomorrow JPMorgan decides through ConsenSys that the addresses of projects “against financial clans” need to be filtered at the Infura RPC level, filtering will take an hour. This is a rented office. Nice office. With good furniture. But rented. With an owner whose interests do not match ours. YOUR OWN MAINNET AS YOUR OWN BUILDING The solution is our own network. MAAT Mainnet. EVM-compatible so as not to break compatibility with development tools. But with an independent validator network, independent RPC nodes and independent governance.

Technically, this is a fork or a new chain based on one of the open platforms (Cosmos SDK, Substrate, or modified go-ethereum). Not the subject of a religious war, the choice is made according to operational criteria: throughput, gas price, maturity of the validator ecosystem, ease of migration. The specific technology is not the point. Stack sovereignty is. What we get: — Our own validator network of 21–100 nodes, geographically distributed, without dependence on one cloud provider.

— Our own RPC endpoints for users.

Without Infura.

— Gas is paid in MAAT (or its derivative for payment of transactions).

There is no need to hold ETH for gas.

— DAO voting works on-chain, without off-chain Snapshot.

— Full control over upgrades through governance, without dependence on the Ethereum EIP process.

MIGRATION: BRIDGE 1:1, WITHOUT INJURIES “Okay, Telim, this is all great, but I now have tokens on Ethereum. Will I lose them? No. Migration is not “turn off one blockchain and turn on another.” This is a step-by-step bridge: Phase 1 (Year 1–2): MAAT on Ethereum as mainnet. The community grows, liquidity deepens, the project reaches 100K holders. Phase 2 (Year 2–3): Mainnet launched in parallel with Ethereum. Bridge 1:1: for every ERC-20 MAAT sent to the bridge contract, you receive native MAAT on the Mainnet. Reverse conversion also works. We've been testing for months. Phase 3 (years 3–5): Gradual transition of activity to the Mainnet. Incentives for migration: lower gas, on-chain voting, integration with your own exchange. ERC-20 continues to operate as "legacy mode". Phase 4 (year 5+): when 90%+ of supply has migrated, the bridge switches to one-way mode, only into

Mainnet.

The ERC-20 contract remains available for historical verification, but active trading and governance are on the Mainnet. In IT this is called blue-green deployment. We do not disable the old version until the new one has proven uptime. No one loses access to tokens at the time of migration. This is an engineering norm, not a “new feature.” REAL ASSETS AS A SECOND FOUNDATION Mainnet is infrastructure. But any infrastructure without a real economy becomes speculation. Dozens of L1 blockchains have already shown this path: beautiful technology, gigantic valuation, zero real activity in five years. MAAT goes the opposite route: first real assets, then valuation as a consequence. Not “buy a token, maybe the price goes up.” But: “the token gives access to real things that generate cash flow.” Real Asset Plan: Lithium mine: \$50–200M. Lithium is a strategic raw material for batteries and the energy transition.

Prices are cyclical, but the demand horizon is decades. Ready production infrastructure in Australia, Argentina or Canada. Purchase through a special purpose vehicle (SPV) registered with AIFC. Solar farm, 100 MW: \$80–120M. Ready-made facility with a PPA contract, an agreement to sell electricity for 15–25 years. Predictable cash flow. Minimal operational risk (no production, no processing). Agricultural land, 10,000 acres: \$30–50M. In a jurisdiction with secure title: USA, Canada, Australia, Uruguay. Available for long-term rent. Inflation protection. Data center: \$50–100M. Regional facility with long-term hosting contracts. In parallel, it is used as a validator infrastructure for MAAT Mainnet (double function). Logistics hub or port: \$100–300M. Optional at a later stage. Entry point into global trade. More complicated legally, but gives real leverage in the supply chain. This is not “I’ll buy everything at once.”

This is a roadmap for 5–10 years, as capital accumulates in the endowment. We are not “speculating on a token,” but slowly collecting the material base. NEW BENBEN In Egyptian cosmology, Benben is the primordial mound that rose from the waters of Nun. The point at which Atum first stood up and began to create an ordered world. Without Benben there is no fulcrum, no differentiation, no movement. Real assets for MAAT are the new Benben. Not a metaphor. Specific engineering role: the fulcrum in physical reality on which everything else rests. Without Benben: — A token is a record in a distributed database.

— DAO is the coordination of records.

— An endowment is a number that any party with enough computing power can recalculate.

With Benben: — Each token is backed by a share in a lithium mine that exists in real land, with real licenses, with real production.

— The DAO makes decisions on real operational issues: expanding production, hiring managers, contracts with buyers.

— An endowment is not “5% per annum on paper,” but real cash flow from real assets.

This is the materialization of collective property. Each DAO participant does not own a “promised share”, but a legally registered stake through an SPV, whose shares are tokenized and distributed proportionally to membership. ASSET TOKENIZATION: HOW IT WORKS TECHNICALLY Step 1: An SPV is created in AIFC (a separate company for each asset class: lithium, solar energy, land). Step 2: The SPV purchases the asset. Legally, the SPV is the owner. Step 3: SPV shares are tokenized - a security token is issued (separate from the MAAT utility token). Step 4: These security tokens are distributed among MAAT holders according to the rules of the DAO (proportional to membership, or by voting decision). Step 5: Cash flow from the asset - rent, dividends from the SPV - is automatically distributed to security-token owners through a smart contract. This is no longer a “crypto project”.

This is a hybrid structure: utility token MAAT as membership, SPV security tokens as shares in real assets, real regulator AFSA as supervision, smart contracts as settlement mechanics. Difficult? Yes. This is engineering. But from the outside, for the user, it looks simple: “I hold MAAT, I am in the DAO, and I have shares in the fund’s assets.” Under the hood are three layers of legal and technical structures that hold the system together. WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT RIGHT NOW All permanent funds of financial clans are based on real assets. Not on speculation, not on the “token”, not on the “brand”. Rothschilds: banks, real estate in London and Paris, wineries (Château Lafite), ore deposits. Rockefellers: oil assets (although Standard Oil was broken up, a stake in JPMorgan, Chase, real estate remained). Grosvenors: 300 acres of land in central London. Three hundred years. Never sold. The value today is ~£9 billion.

MAAT is built on the same model, but with a different beneficiary (Chapter 25 about the channel). Real assets are anchors. They keep the system in physical reality as the token goes through cycles of speculation and oblivion. If tomorrow all of crypto goes to zero, the mine will not disappear. The solar farm will continue to produce electricity. Land will not fall in price by 90% in a week. An endowment built on real assets can survive a crypto winter, a financial crisis, and a generational change. This is not “diversification for peace of mind.” This is an engineering necessity for a project that claims a 100+ year horizon. PERSONAL NOTE I realize

that this chapter sounds "too serious" for a book that began with the Duat and Anubis.

But this is exactly the assemblage point: the ancient Egyptian Benben principle (support in reality) + modern engineering (SPV, tokenization, smart contracts) + AIFC as a legal framework = an eternal fund that can realistically survive several generations. Benben was not a metaphor for the Egyptians. It was a specific point in the temple of Heliopolis, a specific stone. They understood that without material support, the spiritual system would collapse within a couple of generations. MAAT repeats the same logic on a new medium. Mainnet is the "temple infrastructure". Real assets are Benben. Without both, the system remains virtual, and in ten years it will be swept away by the next wave of narratives. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 35: ISS AND GLASS LEWIS - LARGE MIRRORS (CATALYST, NOT RAM) If you carefully read Chapter 32 about Engine No. 1, you noticed one invisible knot in their victory.

Engine did not simply coordinate pension funds. Engine received support from ISS and Glass Lewis. And this is not a small detail - it is leverage, without which 0.02% does not turn into 3 seats on the board. In this chapter, we look at what it is and why MAAT is not a battering ram against the system, but a catalyst within it. WHAT ARE PROXY ADVISORS ISS (Institutional Shareholder Services). Founded: 1985. Clients: 1,700+ institutions. Influence: ~\$40 trillion in assets. Owner: Deutsche Börse (Germany). Glass Lewis. Founded: 2003. Clients: 1,300+ institutions. Influence: ~\$35 trillion in assets. Owner: Pearlharbour Investments (Canada). These are two companies that write recommendations on how to vote at shareholder meetings. Their clients are pension funds, mutual funds, and insurance companies. All these structures hold shares in thousands of companies. Each shareholder meeting receives an agenda with 10–30 items.

None of them can physically read everything. That's why funds subscribe to ISS or Glass Lewis. These offices: — read all proxy materials for all companies; — analyze each proposal; — issue a recommendation "for" or "against"; — clients automatically vote on recommendations in 70–80% of cases. Translated into IT language: these are two proxy servers through which most of the voice traffic of American corporate finance passes. Not control over votes. Control over the default route. WHY IS THIS NOT A CONSPIRACY There is an important fork here. If you are now thinking "yeah, ISS and Glass Lewis are the hidden rulers of the world" - no, not that. ISS and Glass Lewis are neither allies of the Big Three nor their adversaries. They are a weather vane. Their business model is "to guess how customers themselves would vote if they had time to figure it out." If ISS makes a

recommendation against a majority of clients, clients will unsubscribe.

Revenue falls. So ISS has to capture public mood, not set it. This is not magic or evil will. This is a business model of an analytical firm that makes money on the correctness of the forecast. This leads to an important technical conclusion: ISS and Glass Lewis can be moved. Not by bribery. Not by pressure. But by creating clear, visible public pressure that they will be forced to reflect in the recommendation so they do not lose customers. CATALYST MECHANICS Step 1: The MAAT DAO (let's say 200,000 people) publicly votes against the CEO bonus at fictitious company X. Voting is visible in the explorer, visible on social media, visible in specialized media. Step 2: Financial journalists pick up the story: "200,000 retail investors vote against board decision in a coordinated manner." Step 3: The ISS analyst who writes the recommendation for Company X sees this.

The analyst now has a dilemma: if the recommendation says "yes" on the bonus and a majority of small shareholders vote "no," ISS looks out of touch with the market. Step 4: ISS changes the recommendation to "against" (or at least to "neutral", which for the CEO bonus is actually "against"). Step 5: 1700+ institutions automatically vote on the recommendation. 15–25% of votes switch. Step 6: CEO bonus declined. Not with our voices. The votes of BlackRock, Vanguard, and State Street, following the ISS recommendation that we shifted. This is the catalyst. A stone that starts an avalanche. The rock itself is not an avalanche. But an avalanche does not happen without a stone. ROLL CALL WITH THE "INSTALLATION OF MIRRORS" FROM THE MYSTERIES In *Mysteries 2* (part 28) I examined the priestly technology, which I called "installation of mirrors." The principle is this: you do not fight the Isfet structure directly.

You place a mirror of such a size that the structure sees itself from the outside - and its Ib (heart) cannot digest it. In individual practice, a mirror is the public naming of a fact without evaluation. "You cheated here. You stole it here. You rewrote the name of your predecessor in this place." No screaming. No accusations. Just fixation. ISS and Glass Lewis are large industrial-scale mirrors. When the MAAT community votes publicly against a particular decision, and this becomes known, the company sees itself through the ISS recommendation. The company sees that its CEO bonus looks like Isfet in the eyes of 200,000 people who are not loyal to management, do not depend on it, and have no reason to lie. This is an industrial scale mirror. A mirror that reflects not just one person, but the entire industry. And in this mirror, most corporate configurations find it difficult to look decent.

WHY DOES THIS ONLY WORK IN PUBLIC Hidden pressure doesn't work with ISS. If you come to them privately and say "we have 200K votes against" - they will

listen politely, thank you for the information, and the recommendation will not change. Because a private conversation is not a public signal. Only visible, measurable, public voting works. On-chain (or at least Snapshot with transparent addresses). With a narrative that the media can quote. With data that the ISS analyst can insert into his report. This means: DAO voting in MAAT is not an “inner kitchen” of the community. This is a public signal aimed at external weather-vane structures. Each vote is part of our communication layer with the market. INDIVIDUAL VOICE AND CATALYST “If ISS still decides for the funds, what difference does it make whether I vote or not?” The difference is that without your voice there is no public signal. ISS does not count “sentiment in general.”

ISS counts specific votes of specific shareholders. The more coordinated voices in one direction, the stronger the signal. One person, one vote is not only a declaration of democracy. This is an engineering signal generation mechanism for the weather vane system. Engine No. 1 won not because 12 people agreed. They won because these 12 people made a public thesis that ISS and Glass Lewis could not ignore. MAAT scales the same model: not 12 people, but 200K, 1M, 10M. Not just one Exxon case, but hundreds of cases from different companies. ETHICAL FORK “If we use ISS as leverage, are we becoming manipulators like the clans?” Good question. The difference is two points. First: we do not mask the signal. DAO voting is the voice of real people with real shares, acting in their own interests. No bots, no fake accounts, no “10 funds linked by one beneficiary vote in sync.” KYC, DRS registration, transparent addresses. Second: we don't buy ISS.

We use a public mechanism that ISS is required to take into account in its business model. If tomorrow another group (even one opposite to us in mission) coordinates the same number of real small shareholders, ISS will take them into account too. This is not manipulation. This is the awakening of that level of corporate democracy that was originally built into the proxy voting mechanism, but remained idle for decades due to the disunity of small shareholders. We don't break the rules. We're finally starting to use them. BRIDGE TO THE DIVISION OF THE PRIESTRY Three layers of protection (Chapter 29). Name in DNA (Chapter 28). Real assets and Mainnet (Chapter 35). Mirror for the weather vane system (this chapter). This is all a technological and strategic layer. Next is the human governance layer. Priesthood. Guardians of the principle.

Because without Guardians, in ten years all this will turn into an ordinary fund with a beautiful landing page. The Law of Service Degradation (Chapter 38) is waiting for every system. And the only defense against it is constant checking with the principle, which is done by specific living people with a specific initiation. This is what the next four chapters are about. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 36: GUARDIANS AS FIREWALL (MAAT THRESHOLD GUARDIANS)

In the first part, in chapter 14, I examined the guardians of the Duat.

Not as “scary creatures with knives in the underworld,” but as a function: a firewall between levels. The Guardian does not protect from the dead; the Guardian protects from Isfet-entities that try to penetrate up the levels of the Duat, masquerading as legitimate passers-by. The same function is needed in any working system. In an IT network these are firewalls, IDS, WAF. In the corporation - compliance, internal audit, board of directors. In the temple of Ancient Egypt there is a priesthood with the right of discrimination. In MAAT, these are the Guardians. They don't manage money. They protect the principle. This is a critical division and must be fully understood for what follows to make sense. HOW MUCH AND WHY Maximum: 12. No more. This is not “optimally 9.5.” These are engineering boundaries with a basis.

Twelve, because there are twelve hours of Amduat, twelve Neteru in the main pantheon, twelve supports of the geodesic dome (Chapter 24). Twelve is the maximum number at which the board is still capable of regular meetings and coordinated decisions. More than that becomes a parliament with factions. 7–12 is the range in which the exact number can be chosen for the current stage of project development. At the start - 7 (easier to gather quality people, less coordination costs). As functions grow and become more complex, expand to 12. FIVE FUNCTIONS OF A GUARDIAN These are not “job descriptions.” These are five circuits in which the Guardian works simultaneously. One circuit does not work - the entire guardian function breaks down.

1. **DISTINCTION** Seeing Isfet under the guise of “innovation”, “partnership”, “scaling”, “new opportunities”. I thought for a long time about how to formulate this in engineering. The best thing I found: this is pattern recognition on a signature that cannot be written down on a checklist. When a so-called “investment fund” comes to MAAT, which offers \$50 million “for the development of the ecosystem” in exchange for a “small adjustment of the charter”, the engineering layers have no trigger. Legally everything is clear. Technically, a smart contract allows. Marketing looks good. The Guardian must see: this bylaw adjustment is a Trojan horse. In two years, it will give the fund a de facto veto over DAO decisions. After five: absorption. This is the priestly function of discernment. It does not come down to rules, because rules are circumvented. It requires a person who has worked with Maat for ten years and has learned to feel the geometry of the channel (Chapter 25).

2. **VETO WITH PUBLIC JUSTIFICATION** The right to block a DAO decision if it violates Maat. But not “quietly”. Each veto is a public text with justification. This is

critical. The Vatican of the 16th century also had a cardinal veto on doctrinal issues. And these vetoes were secret. And two hundred years later it turned into the Inquisition. A secret veto is always degradation. A year after the first “veto for special reasons,” the veto becomes a tool of pressure. After five - a control tool. Ten years later - an instrument of usurpation. That’s why it’s ironclad: the veto is published. The text of the justification is published. The DAO has the right to override a veto by a qualified majority (e.g. 75%). This is the three layers from Chapter 29 in action: the priesthood vetoes, democracy can override it, mathematics records all this in the blockchain.

3. BORDER (WHO CAN BECOME A GUARDIAN) The guardian becomes the one called by the principle. This is not an “elected office.” This is not “buy a seat for a million MAAT.” This is not “appointment by the founder.” The criterion is initiation. Undergoing priestly training in a tradition that MAAT recognizes. These can be different traditions (not only Egyptian), but they must be verifiable, long (decades, not three months of courses), and related to the work of Maat, not Isfet. The DAO has the power to confirm candidates, filtering out obvious impostors, but it does not have the power to appoint. Voting “let’s choose a popular blogger as Guardian” is unacceptable. The Guardian is not a blogger, not an opinion leader, not the “face of the project.” The Guardian is the conductor of the principle, and this function is not performed by someone who lands in the frame for media reasons. Difficult? Yes. This is what distinguishes the priesthood from any other management structure. In a regular corporation, you can hire a director by advertisement. Guardian - no.

4. CONNECTION WITH NETERU This function is the most “incomprehensible” from the point of view of corporate management. And yet it exists, and without it the first three functions degrade in five years. The Guardian regularly works in a practice that keeps the channel open: ritual, meditation, reading texts, journaling, periodic retreats, sacred places, dreams, thresholds. This is not a “religious obligation.” This is operational hygiene, similar to regular code reviews for a developer. If you don't write code for weeks, you get out of shape. If you do not work in your priestly practice for years, you lose discernment (function 1). The geometry of the channel is no longer felt. You begin to accept “innovation” as a real benefit. In operational terms, this means that the Guardian cannot be a “busy manager who occasionally pops in to vote.” It's part of his weekly routine. Part of his discipline. Without this, the Guardian is an empty position.

5. ANTI-DEGRADATION Remember the law of degradation of service. Know that any system takes six steps into the abyss, described in the next chapter. See signs of these steps in MAAT - and signal. This is the function of historical memory. The

Guardian must know the stories of all systems that have gone the same way and failed: from the Vatican to Bitcoin, from communism to Akhenaten. Not for love of history. To see the same patterns in your own system in time. “We just started selling VIP access to meetings with the founder” is step 2 (substitution). Signal. “We decided to lower KYC requirements in order to attract large wallets” - this is step 3 (corporation without content). Signal. “We launched several meme tokens on our exchange to increase volume” - this is step 5 (capture of the vacuum by speculators). Signal. The Guardian is the institutional memory of what happens next. And the right to vote at a time when you can still turn around. WHAT GUARDIANS DON'T DO This is as important as the functions. — Guardians do not manage the treasury. This is a DAO function. — The Guardians do not appoint the management of the platform. This is a function of the DAO + operating structure of MAAT Ltd. — Guardians do not vote on the listing of new shares or assets in the portfolio. This is a DAO function. — Guardians do not decide which marketing campaigns to launch, what rebranding to do, or which integrations to implement.

ROLL CALL: THRESHOLD GUARDIANS AND ENGINEERING ANALOGY

In IT, a firewall does not control the applications behind it. It does not write code, set the roadmap, or hire developers. It stands on the border and allows (or does not allow) traffic according to the rules. Guardians are exactly the same firewall. They don't manage the project. They are on the border of principle. Decisions that go through the DAO are checked through them: is it compatible with Maat? If yes, let it pass. If not, a public veto with justification. Seems like bureaucracy? Yes, this is the bureaucracy of principle. Just like a firewall is a bureaucracy of network traffic. Without it, everything starts leaking within a week. ROTATION AND RECALL To prevent Guardians from becoming a caste, there is a rotation mechanic: — Possibility of revocation: by a panel of other Guardians plus 75% of the DAO.

Double lock: internal (colleagues see that the Guardian has lost the channel) plus external (the community sees that the Guardian has lost trust).

— Restriction on re-entry: after rotation there is a mandatory break (for example, 2 years) so that a person does not remain in a position for the rest of his life.

This is not lifetime root access. This is sudo with logging and expiration. The six nails in the coffin lid of “family priesthood” are in Chapter 39. PERSONAL NOTE I understand that to the crypto audience, “priesthood” sounds like a red rag. “We are promised decentralization, but here are some guardians.” I also react to this with suspicion when I see it in other projects. But without this layer, in ten years the project will inevitably turn into either purely democratic chaos (where meme culture eats up the mission) or into a hidden oligarchy (where several whales seize

governance through tokens, and no one resists it). Priesthood is not “centralization.” It is a specialized layer that performs a specific function that neither mathematics nor democracy alone can perform. Three layers from Chapter 29 in action. Without one layer, the roof collapses. I personally am the Sem-priest of Anubis in the House of Maat’s Transformed.

This is not a “title for authority.” This is a working role with responsibilities. And in the role of BDFL at the start, I work as the first Guardian in practice until the board is formed. But the goal is to assemble a full-fledged board of 7–12 people and become one of the equals in it. No more. How exactly this works for a founder is the topic of Chapter 39. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 37: SIX STEPS INTO THE ABYSS (LAW OF SERVICE DEGRADATION) In the previous chapter I mentioned the “law of degradation of service” several times and promised a separate chapter.

Here it is. If you read only one chapter from the entire second part, let it be the 38th. Because without understanding this law, the rest of the conversation about MAAT is a conversation about a ship without understanding what an iceberg is. You can build the strongest building. If you don’t know about icebergs, you will drown just like the Titanic. I did not invent this law. I assembled it from a pattern that repeats through dozens of analyses in Mysteries 2: Christianity, communism, ancient Egyptian priesthood, Bitcoin, Silicon Valley, any large idea that began as service. GENERAL VIEW OF THE LAW Any system built to serve a principle degenerates into the same six-step pattern unless it is counteracted by constant reconciliation with the principle. The word “any” means without exception. I have not found a single historical system of this type that has completely escaped this degradation.

Systems differ only in the stage at which the current era finds them. Six steps: 1. Service 2. Substitution 3. Corporation 4. Crisis 5. Capture 6. Scaling (virus) Each step takes decades to centuries. At each step, the system still looks similar to itself. It’s different inside. And this is precisely what makes the law such a trap: by the time the inhabitants of the system notice the degradation, it is already one step ahead - and it is almost impossible to correct it. One by one. STEP 1: SERVICE Someone with real initiation from principle begins work. Jesus and the apostles. Marx and Engels with the idea of workers’ solidarity. Satoshi with Bitcoin as peer-to-peer cash. Ancient Egyptian priests of the first dynasties with the practice of Maat. Ancient Chinese Taoists. Buddha and his Eightfold Path. This phase is short. From several years to a couple of decades. The group is small. The work is real. The initiation is genuine.

The principle flows through specific people. In this phase there are no structures yet. There is no hierarchy. No budget. There is no "corporation". There is a circle of practitioners and a principle that works through them. This is the purest stage, and at the same time the most fragile. Because there are few carriers of the principle, and when they leave, a vacuum remains. STEP 2: CHANGE Towards the end of the first phase - or immediately after the departure of the first carriers - people of the second generation arrive. They no longer have direct initiation from the principle. They have knowledge about the principle. Texts, stories, traditions, rituals. And a subtle substitution begins: the principle that previously worked from the inside (because it was in people's experience) is now reproduced from the outside (because there is only a description). These are different modalities. Outwardly everything is similar. The same words.

The same rituals. The same texts. But the movement stopped. The water stopped flowing; only the riverbed remained (Chapter 25). In Christianity, this happened between the apostolic and post-apostolic fathers of the Church. In communism - between Marx and Lenin, still within Lenin's lifetime, but after the death of the theory's founders. In crypto - between Satoshi and the era of "Bitcoin as portfolio digital gold." The main sign of step 2: it still works, but it works "from memory" and not "from the living". Internal discrimination is replaced by external rules. STEP 3: CORPORATION The system is growing. Buildings appear. Accounting. Departments. Positions. Regulations.

Kpi.

What was a circle of service becomes an organization. And in parallel, which is critical, the content finally separates from the form. The form continues to exist, sometimes even flourishes. There is almost no content inside. This is the Vatican's step towards the 12th century. This is the step of the Byzantine Church towards the era of the Crusades. This is the step of the Soviet apparatus towards the 1970s. This is ConsenSys and the Enterprise Ethereum Alliance in crypto. This is a step taken by the ancient Egyptian priesthood of Amun under the later Ramessides. Signs: beautiful buildings, developed hierarchy, budget, influence. Inside - functioning for the sake of functioning. The principle no longer exists, but none of the participants would say that it does not exist. Everyone sincerely believes that "everything is working as it should."

In IT, this is an analogue of a legacy system that has long lost its original purpose, but has acquired dependencies, and now the entire support department is busy trying not to drop something that no one actually needs anymore. STEP 4: CRISIS A corporation without content cannot maintain scale indefinitely. External conditions

are changing. Dysfunction accumulates inside. And at some point a crisis happens. A crisis is not necessarily a disaster. This is the moment when the system itself notices that something is wrong. And it tries to fix itself - usually unsuccessfully, because its tools are corporate, while the problem is ontological. Akhenaten in Ancient Egypt is a classic crisis. The priesthood of Amun has become too corporate. Akhenaten tried to fix this by closing all the temples and leaving only Aten. Autoimmune reaction. Too harsh, destructive. After 17 years, everything rolled back, and the system degraded even more.

The Reformation in Christianity is a similar crisis. Martin Luther saw the Vatican become a corporation. Tried to clean it up. He received Protestantism, which a hundred years later also became a corporation. In communism, the crisis occurred in 1989–1991. The system dismantled itself, admitting that it could no longer support its own narrative. Signs of step 4: “reformers” appear within the system who want to “return to the roots.” The reform is either suppressed (then degradation continues) or destroys the supporting structure (then move to step 5). STEP 5: GRAB Those who had nothing to do with the original principle enter the vacuum created after the crisis. They see the infrastructure. They see weak management. They see leverage. And they take it. After Akhenaten - Horemheb (military) and then Ramesses II (military plus PR machine). They took over the priestly infrastructure and reoriented it towards their own cult of Ren.

After the Reformation in Western Europe, banking families flourished. They gained access to capital and infrastructure that previously belonged to the Church. After the collapse of the USSR - oligarchs. They received factories, resources and media that previously belonged to the state. After the “crypto winter” of 2018–2019 and the subsequent institutional adoption - BlackRock and JPMorgan through ETFs and the ConsenSys infrastructure. Capture of crypto as a platform for financial clans. This is not a moral assessment. This is an engineering inevitability. In any system with infrastructure and without strong content protection, there will inevitably be those who use this infrastructure for purposes unrelated to the original principle. STEP 6: SCALING (VIRUS) The captured system begins to operate in a new mode. It no longer produces service to the principle, but a parasitic copy of itself.

And this copy scales faster than the original, because it does not have “extra” restrictions (moral, ritual, operational). The captured priesthood of Amun under the Ramessides is a parasite on the system. Captured Church after the Counter-Reformation - export of the parasitic model to the colonies. Captured communism of the 1980s is a nomenklatura that turned ideology into a tool for personal enrichment. Captured Bitcoin as “portfolio digital gold” scales the intermediation pattern on a new technology platform. At this step, the system

becomes a virus: it uses the energy around it without returning anything in equivalent. This is no longer a Maat structure. This is a full-fledged Isfet canyon, into which the water flows itself, because the channel is well dug.

And at this point the cycle is ready to begin again: somewhere, in another place, someone with genuine initiation will begin a new service beside this squeezed-out infrastructure. And everything will follow the same six-step route. WHAT STEP IS MAAT AT NOW? Now MAAT is the end of step 1 and the beginning of step 2. I can still (with a stretch) be called the first carrier with direct initiation. The community is small. There is almost no structure. The principle still passes through specific people in experience. In 5–10 years, we will be at step 2 (transferring knowledge to the second generation). In 20–30 years - in step 3 (corporation). If you do nothing. And this is not a prediction. This is an engineering guarantee. The law works for everyone. MAAT is no exception. The only question is: is it possible to slow down the degradation enough to maintain service to the principle for as long as possible? And the answer is yes, you can.

Not completely prevent it (this is an illusion), but significantly slow it down.

Protection Against Degradation - Six Points 1.

Constant checking against the principle through initiated priesthood (Chapter 37). Not “rules written in the charter,” but real people who run the channel. 2. Default transparency (Chapter 33). Any attempt to hide is a signal for step 3. 3. Rotation of guardians. So that no one stays in a position longer than necessary. 4. Architectural multiplicity of principles (Chapter 24). Not one pillar, but twelve. If one pillar is rotten, the rest hold on. 5. Institutional memory of this very law. Guardians are required to know it by heart and regularly check the status of the system with the steps. 6. Endowment reserve. So that the crisis (step 4) does not lead to sudden commercialization for the sake of survival. These six points are not a guarantee. These are six control points. Each reduces the rate of degradation, none completely stops it. PRACTICAL CONCLUSION I am not writing this chapter to scare you.

I am writing it to fix one simple thing in MAAT’s collective memory: the project is not eternal by default. Only principles are eternal. Projects live as long as they are able to stay close to the principle. If in 50 years MAAT becomes “a fund with a beautiful landing page and no real coordination,” this will be a normal outcome according to the law of degradation. Then another project will appear somewhere else with a different initiation, and the work will continue. This is not a tragedy. It's a cycle. My task is to stretch the service phase as long as possible. Thirty years instead of three. One hundred years instead of thirty. And during this time, deepen

the channel as much as possible (Chapter 25). So that even after the inevitable degradation of MAAT, the very channel into which we pour water continues to flow - and accept the next generation of carriers. This is the strategy. Not to “defeat the law of degradation.”

But to work inside it, knowing it, and leave a legacy for those who come after. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 38: THE HIGH PRIEST AS BDFL (WHY TELIM AND WHY TEMPORARY) This chapter is about me as the founder.

Not the most comfortable chapter for me, because writing directly about yourself is always difficult. But without it the structure is not closed. If I do not explain exactly what role I play and what limitations this role has, any attentive reader will rightly ask: “Aren’t you yourself step 5 of this law of degradation?” Therefore, a direct conversation. REALITY: IT DOESN’T WORK WITHOUT A LEADER AT THE START All successful projects that started with an idea and reached scale had one leader in the early phase. No exceptions. Linux - Linus Torvalds. Wikipedia - Jimmy Wales. Bitcoin - Satoshi Nakamoto. Ethereum - Vitalik Buterin. Python - Guido van Rossum. Tesla, SpaceX - Elon Musk. Apple - Steve Jobs. This is not an accident. In the early phase, there are hundreds of decisions to be made that have no obvious “right” answer. Architectural design, technology selection, prioritization, hiring the first people, creating a culture.

If you vote on every decision, the system does not move. If one person with a deep understanding of the goal decides, the system moves quickly. A DAO without a leader at the start is either stagnation (nothing happens) or chaos (we move in random directions). I have seen this in dozens of crypto projects that started “as a DAO.” The result is always the same: after a year they either died, or an informal leader still emerged, but through intrigue and without recognition. MODEL BDFL In the open-source world there is a term for this: BDFL - Benevolent Dictator For Life. Benevolent dictator for life. Not the best wording, because the words “dictator” and “for life” sound scary out of context. But in open-source the meaning is different: a leader who has the last word on key architectural decisions, but acts in the interests of the project, not his own, and from whom anyone can leave by forking the code at any time.

Linus Torvalds for Linux is a classic BDFL. He has final veto power over changes to the kernel. Nobody chose him. The community trusts him because for 30+ years he has acted in the interests of the kernel, not his personal interests. If tomorrow he started making decisions “for his company,” the Linux kernel would fork in a month. Guido van Rossum for Python was BDFL until 2018, when he himself left

this role, transferring control to the board. This is a normal pattern: BDFL is not meant for the grave, but the project is not yet mature enough for collegial management. MY ROLE IN MAAT I am the BDFL for MAAT in the starting phase. This means: I can: — Make strategic decisions (direction of development, priorities).

— Form the first board of Guardians (with the right of the DAO to reject candidates after evaluation).

— Make emergency decisions in crisis situations (urgent technical incident, legal threat, compromise of keys).

— Veto decisions of the DAO if they contradict the mission stated in the Memorandum.

With mandatory public justification. I can't: — Withdraw funds from the DAO treasury for personal use. All treasury expenses go only through DAO voting.

— Change tokenomics unilaterally.

Total supply, burn mechanics, distribution are fixed by the Memorandum and can only be changed by 90% of the DAO votes.

— Appoint an heir.

When I step down from the BDFL role, a successor is chosen by a panel of Guardians plus the DAO.

— Cancel the DAO financial decision.

If the DAO votes to buy some asset or carry out some operation, this decision is executed. My veto only works on the mission, not on financial decisions. These boundaries are not cosmetic. They are recorded in the Memorandum and in the Articles of Association of MAAT Ltd in AIFC. Legally, I cannot bypass these restrictions, even if I decide to try tomorrow. WHY THESE BORDERS? I chose them based on the historical mistakes of other founders. Satoshi had no formal authority, but had a million BTC. This is a potential concentration risk that he himself removed by simply doing nothing. I cannot rely on “I hope I can be that humble too.” Therefore, my share of operational compensation is written in numbers, and it is tens of times less than the “founder's share” in most crypto projects. Vitalik had and still has enormous influence on Ethereum, but does not have the right of direct veto. It works because Vitalik is Vitalik.

But this is a vulnerability: if he became “not Vitalik,” Ethereum would not have a quick response tool. Therefore, I have a formal veto - but a narrow one (only on the

mission, not on operations). Elon Musk showed the opposite example: the concentration of powers in one hand without formal restrictions leads to the fact that the company becomes a continuation of the personality of the founder. It works as long as the founder is in shape. When not, the company loses its guidelines. Therefore, MAAT has a multi-component control structure, where BDFL is one node, not the root of the tree. ROLL CALL WITH RAMSES II In Chapter 16 of the first part, I examined Ramesses II as an example of a viral founder. A military man without priestly initiation, who seized temple infrastructure, reoriented it to his own Ren, and wrote his name on every available stone. I deliberately build my role in reverse to Ramesses.

Specifically: — Ramses erased the names of his predecessors. I regularly refer to the priests who worked before me (including Nesmeretakhem on Philae, and the ancient Egyptian Sem-priests in general).

— Ramses inherited power in the family.

My position is not inherited. The principle of transmission is through initiation, not through biology.

— Ramses scaled his Ren.

I scale coordination. The name “Telim” is not an end in itself. If tomorrow my name is forgotten - but Maat as a principle works in the project - this is a success.

— Ramesses became indispensable; after 3,300 years his name is on obelisks in five capitals.

I work for my replacement. The goal is to become unnecessary. BECOMING UNNEEDED AS AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM “Becoming unnecessary” is not a moral gesture or self-deprecation. This is the engineering task of the project. If after 10 years MAAT works only because Telim is alive and actively involved in management, the project has failed. That is a “personal foundation named after Telim,” and it will die with the founder. If after 10 years MAAT works because there is a board of Guardians, a transparent DAO, real assets, established processes, and Telim is one of the equal Guardians (or has left the role altogether) - the project works. Therefore, my job at the starting phase is not to “become the main person of the project,” but to quickly build a structure that does not depend on me. This is the opposite of how ego projects work. Specific steps: — Selection of the first board of Guardians (7 people) during the first 2–3 years.

— Transfer of strategic decisions to the board of Guardians as it matures.

— Documentation of all key processes and protocols (open documentation, not “knowledge in Telim’s head”).

— Openness to criticism and revision of decisions.

— Preparing the next BDFLs in case something happens.

By the 10-year mark, my role should be reduced to: one vote in the board of Guardians, no veto power alone (only collectively), the right to a public opinion, like any other participant in the project. TRANSMISSION: HOW I LEAVE I don't know the exact date. But I know the conditions under which I am ready to leave the BDFL role: — The board of Guardians has been fully formed (12 people) and has been working steadily for at least 3 years.

— DAO is capable of making decisions without my participation (this has been tested for 2 years: I do not vote or interfere in current operational decisions, I look from the outside).

— The endowment has reached a size at which the project is financially stable without my active fundraising.

— A successor for the role of coordinator of the board of Guardians has been selected and confirmed by the DAO.

If all four conditions are met, I resign from the role of BDFL and remain one of the Guardians (if the board elects me). Or I'll leave completely, if it's more useful for the project. I know this is a rare position. Most founders hold onto the role for life. I watched how it ends: the degeneration of the project into an extension of the individual. MAAT should not be a continuation of Telim. MAAT must be a continuation of the principle of Maat. PERSONAL NOTE I understand how that sounds. “Telim says beautifully that he will leave, but we will see in 20 years.” Fair. Words are always words. I can promise anything. The real test is behavior over decades. The only thing I can do now is to record these obligations publicly, in a book that will be published and remain in the archives. If in 20 years I violate these obligations, any reader will have a tool to call me to account: “Telim, you wrote this in book 5, chapter 39. Explain.”

This is Ren in action. A name spoken publicly becomes an obligation. The principle described in the book becomes a contract. This is the application of naming Heka to oneself. I'm not 100% sure that in 20 years I will be able to fulfill these obligations. People change. Conditions change. But I am recording them now as an intention, and publicly promise to work in this direction. If someone sees me deviating from the trajectory, it will be a public signal for the DAO and Guardians to take action.

This is the best protection against degradation that I have found. Not a hidden promise to yourself. Not a corporate contract. Public recording of intent with the possibility of external verification. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 39: SIX RULES OF THE MAAT PRIESTHOOD (HOW NOT TO REPEAT THE RAMESSIDES) This chapter contains six specific rules written into the MAAT charter to prevent the MAAT priesthood from repeating the path of the ancient Egyptian Ramessides in two or three hundred years.

The warning is the later priesthood of Amun: servants of the principle turned into a family clan that owned a third of the country's land. These rules are not "fine words". These are six nails hammered into the coffin of the "family priesthood." Each nail blocks a specific degradation scenario that actually happened in history.

RULE 1: PRIESTRY IS NOT INHERITABLE The son of a priest does not automatically become a priest. The daughter of a priest does not receive a position through kinship. Nephew, grandson, son-in-law - nobody. The son of a priest can become a priest - but only if he himself has undergone full initiation, been called by the principle, and independently confirmed by the college. Not by kinship, but by the fact of initiation. This rule directly targets what happened to the priesthood of Amun at the end of the New Kingdom of Ancient Egypt. Priestly positions began to be inherited.

After four or five generations, priesthood stopped being a class of the called and became a class of the born. The principle of Maat no longer flowed through them. But ownership of temples and land worked perfectly well. The same thing happened in Japan with the Buddhist priesthood after the Meiji Restoration: temples became family property, priestly positions were inherited. A hundred years later - formal rituals without content. In MAAT this scenario is blocked by statute. The position of Guardian is not transmitted through kinship in any form. **RULE 2: PRIESTRY IS NOT BUYABLE** No amount of money gives anyone the right to become a Guardian. Not a million MAAT, not a hundred million dollars, not any other contribution. This rule directly addresses what happened to the Christian priesthood of the Middle Ages. Simony—the sale of church offices for money—was a common practice by the 12th century.

Pope Leo X actually sold indulgences in the early 16th century to finance the construction of St. Peter's Basilica. This became one of the triggers for the Reformation. The same pattern occurs in late Ancient Egypt: under the Ptolemies, when priestly positions were sold for money (2200 drachmas for the position of prophet of the temple of Soknebtunis is a specific documented case). Modern crypto analogue: "governance tokens" as a paid position. If you own 5% supply, you are

automatically on the council. This is the purchase of a position through capital, covered with the word “governance”. In MAAT this mechanism is blocked. Membership in the DAO is purchased; the token is membership. The position of Guardian is not. RULE 3: PRIESTRY DOES NOT VOTE (DAO CONFIRMS, DOES NOT APPOINT) This rule is subtle and easy to bend. Therefore, I will analyze it in detail.

The DAO has the right: — Reject a candidate for the role of Guardian if he clearly does not meet the requirements (no initiation, reputational problems, conflict of interest).

— Recall the current Guardian by a qualified majority (85%).

The DAO does not have the right: — Appoint a person as a Guardian based on popularity or media influence.

— Choose “your own” from several candidates.

— Vote “let’s make a famous blogger a Guardian.”

This is close to the division between electoral democracy and the judicial function in a rule-of-law state. Parliament is elected by vote. Judges are not (or are elected according to very specific rules). Because the function of a judge requires a professional standard that is not determined by popularity. The Guardian in MAAT is a “judicial function” in principle. The DAO is a “parliamentary function” for coordination. Guardian candidates are nominated either by current guardians or by recognized priestly traditions (through a formal verification process). The DAO either approves or rejects. But it does not “choose volunteers from a pile.” RULE 4: TRANSPARENCY IN EVERY VETO Each veto of the guardian is public, with justification. No exceptions. This rule directly targets the closed mechanisms of the Vatican, the hidden decisions of the Politburo, and the secret behind-the-scenes collusions in corporate boards.

Wherever a “closed discussion” appears, degradation begins. In MAAT the rule is simple: if the Guardian has used the veto, he must publish: — Which DAO decision did he block?

— On what clause of the charter or Memorandum does it rely?

— What kind of violation of Maat does he see in this decision?

— What alternatives does he offer?

The DAO has the right to discuss the veto publicly and challenge it with a qualified majority. These are three layers in action: the priesthood applies a veto, democracy

can overturn it, mathematics records everything on-chain. “I vetoed for reasons that I cannot disclose” is unacceptable. This is an automatic violation of the charter, grounds for revocation. RULE 5: ROTATION AND RECALL The term of office of the Guardian is finite. Specific terms are established by the charter (for example, 5 years with the possibility of re-election for another term, then a mandatory break). Recall is possible in two modes: — At the initiative of the board of Guardians: if the board sees that one of its members has lost the channel, is breaking the rules or is working against the mission, it initiates a recall.

— At the initiative of the DAO: by a qualified majority (75%) the DAO can revoke any Guardian, including the founder.

Double lock. Internal (colleagues see) and external (community sees). No one can hold a position against the will of both circuits. This rule directly targets lifetime cardinal positions, lifetime positions in the Politburo, lifetime positions on boards of directors. Wherever “office until the grave” appears, inertia and degradation begin. RULE 6: MINIMUM (NO MORE THAN 12) The number of Guardians is fixed: no more than 12. Not “let’s expand to 50 for greater representativeness.” We will not “create a hierarchy of the main and the junior.” Not “let’s add 20 more to the honorary council.” This rule is directly aimed at the bureaucratic proliferation that always accompanies degradation. Any organization that started with 10 key employees will have 50,000 after 50 years. Most of them will work to maintain the organization’s existence, not its mission. In MAAT, the size of the priesthood is constitutionally fixed.

Changing this number requires 90% of the DAO's votes. This rule protects against silent growth, which always begins with “well, let’s add one more, an exception to the rule.” WHY THERE ARE SIX RULES I thought for a long time whether more rules were needed for greater protection. No need. For two reasons. First: more rules mean more hooks for manipulation. If there are 30 rules, someone will find a contradiction between rule 7 and rule 23, and through this contradiction they will drag something that contradicts the spirit. Six rules are the minimum that covers the six main scenarios of degradation, and at the same time they can be kept in mind entirely. Second: these six rules are not rules for “how to do priesthood well.” They are six “no’s.” They describe the boundary beyond which priesthood ceases to be priesthood. Inside the border there is a huge space for practice that cannot be regulated in advance.

ROLL CALL WITH THE FIRST PART In Chapter 15 of the first part, I examined the chronology of the degradation of the ancient Egyptian priesthood. Step by step, generation by generation. From service to corporation, from corporation to capture.

Details, with dates. The six rules in this chapter are a direct response to that chronology. Each rule blocks one of the specific steps that happened in Ancient Egypt. Rule 1 (inheritance) - blocks what happened after the XX Dynasty: priestly positions became family positions. Rule 2 (sale) - blocks what happened under the Ptolemies: positions began to be bought for money. Rule 3 (voting) - blocks what happened under the later Ramessides: priestly positions began to be appointed by the court for political reasons. Rule 4, veto transparency, blocks what happened in Byzantium after the 6th century: the priesthood began making decisions behind closed doors.

Rule 5 (rotation) blocks what happened in 19th century Japan: abbots for life transferred power only to their chosen successors. Rule 6 (minimum number) - blocks what happened to any large priestly organization: bureaucratic expansion to the point of complete separation from the original function. These rules are not my invention. These are lessons paid for by four thousand years of degradation. I simply wrote them down in a form that is suitable for the blockchain era.

PERSONAL NOTE The six rules sound harsh, and that's okay. The priesthood is not a club with a friendly atmosphere and mutual support. Priesthood is service to a principle, and in this service you do not have the right to many things that are the norm in ordinary life: secrecy, inheritance, buying influence, a lifelong position. These rules are harsh precisely because the law of degradation (Chapter 38) is a tough law. Without strict rules, it works faster than 50 years.

With strict rules - it can be extended for 200, 300, 500 years. I don't promise that these rules will hold up to everything. I promise that they are written into the bylaws, they are public, and they are grounds for recall of any Guardian who violates them. This is the best I can do at the start. Next comes the work of the Guardians and the DAO. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 40: THE GOLDEN AGE OF KALI YUGA (FINAL WINDOW) This chapter answers a simple question that I often hear: "Telim, okay, this is all serious.

But why now? Why such intensity? Shouldn't we wait for some quiet time?" There will be no quiet time. Now this is the last window before a very long darkness. And I'm not being dramatic. This is a technical characteristic of the era in which we live. In short: we are in the phase of Kali Yuga, closer to its end than to its beginning. In 5–10 thousand years this window will close completely. And "will close" does not mean "things will get worse." It means a completely different operating mode for the planet, one in which the current technologies stop working. KALI YUGA - OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ERA The Bhagavata Purana and other Vedic texts describe four large cosmic cycles: Satya Yuga (golden age), Treta

Yuga, Dvapara Yuga, Kali Yuga (Iron Age, age of conflict). We are now in Kali Yuga. Kali Yuga lasts about 432,000 years. Of these, about 5,100 have passed. That is, we are at the beginning of the phase.

But - and this is important - Kali Yuga has its own structure of four internal phases. And I want to examine these internal phases specifically, because the entire strategy depends on what phase we are in. Phase 1: "The Golden Age of Kali Yuga."

Paradox: the first part of the conflict era is its softest part. The channels to the divine are still open. Initiations are possible. The texts are still alive. Teachers are still available. Although the general trend is degradation, specific people can still go all the way. Phase 2: Increasing separation. The channels are narrowing. Initiation becomes rare. There are only a few teachers. The texts live on, but it becomes more difficult to understand them. Phase 3: The "receivers without transmitters" period. There are texts, but there is no one to interpret them. There are rituals, but it is not clear what is behind them. People search, but most of them find imitations.

Phase 4: Complete closure. Channels are blocked. Not because "the gods have left," but because there are no receivers. Several generations after the closure, children are born who at birth no longer even have the potential ability to discriminate. We are now at the junction of phase 1 and phase 2. According to various estimates, the junction point occurred in the 20th century (after two world wars and industrialization). Some people think that we are already in phase 2. Others think not yet. In any case: the phase 1 window is closing before our eyes. RA IS A RADIO STATION THAT BROADCASTS Imagine a powerful radio station that never turns off. Its signal has been going on for millions of years. Always. No break. At one frequency. This is Ra. The channel to Neteru. An open channel along which life force, truth, and the coordinating structure of the cosmos flow - call it what you want, I do not insist on terminology. Ra is speaking.

This is its function. He does not "decide whom to transmit to" and "who to cut off." It operates in constant broadcast mode. In phase 1 of Kali Yuga there are many receivers. They are of different models and of different quality, but they exist. Many people are born with the ability to pick up a signal. For some it manifests itself automatically (mystics, saints, initiated priests), for others it requires configuration (initiation classes, training, practice). In phase 2, there are fewer receivers. Not because Ra transmits weaker. Because people's receivers are born with worse parameters. Information noise around the child from the moment of conception (screens, mother's anxiety, chemicals in food, lack of silence) - all this reduces the sensitivity of the receiver, which in each generation is weaker than the previous one. In phase 3, most receivers do not work at all. There is a signal, but people don't catch it.

They hear noise around them and think that this is life. For them Ra does not exist, not because Ra is absent, but because they are not tuned to receive. In phase 4, no receivers are born. People come into the body with an attunement in which the channel to Neteru is technically absent. This is complete closure. Not punishment, not “the wrath of the gods.” Simply the exhaustion of the resource of receivers in the population. 420,000 YEARS OF CYCLES WITHOUT WEIGHTS After the closure (somewhere towards the end of phase 4) - those who continue to be born go through cycles of incarnation without access to the Scales of Maat. This means: there is no opportunity to weigh the heart, pass the final exam and integrate into Neteru. Lives, accumulation of experience, some achievements are possible - but integration in principle does not occur.

It's like going to a gym without certification: you can go for decades, but you can't get a qualification. And this lasts 420,000 years. Until the next Satya Yuga, when the full cycle opens again. I realize this sounds extreme. But this is a technical fact, described in the texts, verified by priests of various traditions, and consistent with my personal experience and the experience of those with whom I have worked. **ROLE OF THE PRIEST: KEEP THE WINDOW OPEN** Here comes the key point, and I want to state it as directly as possible. The priest in this era is not the “savior of the world.” This is not “the person who stops Kali Yuga.” No one can stop Kali Yuga, it's a cosmic cycle, it will go its own way. The priest does something else: he holds the window open as long as possible. For as many people as possible. Until the last possible moment. Every book written is a window prop.

Each person who read and heard it is a new receiver tuned to Ra's signal. Every ritual performed in community is calibration maintenance. Each initiation is a transfer of tuning to the next generation of receivers. MAAT in this context is an institutional receiver designed for mass scale. Not for one priest with one group of students, but for a million participants, each of whom has their own channel at their own access level. This is the strategic meaning of the project in an epochal perspective. Not “defeat BlackRock.” BlackRock is a local task, a convenient battering ram for focus. The real challenge: — keep as many working receivers as possible alive for as long as possible. So that the window stays open for another hundred, two hundred, five hundred years. **WHY NOW AND NOT LATER** “Okay, the window is closing, but not right away tomorrow. Maybe we should start in thirty years?” Thirty years is a generation.

Over the course of thirty years, the current generation of receivers is partially gone, and a new generation is born with worse parameters. The quality of the public available for initiation is falling. I didn't start work in 2024 because it “happened suddenly.” This was the last moment when all the conditions coincided for me

personally: the body is in shape, experience has been accumulated, initiation has taken place, the technological infrastructure (including AI) has appeared, the audience is still able to hear. In ten years, maybe I could do it too. But part of the audience that is now able to hear and take a step will not be able to in ten years - not because they will "go bad", but because they will leave (biologically), and the next generation will be worse tuned. The priest works within the window of his physical life. My window is approximately 2024–2055.

If I manage to lay the channel deep enough during this time, the next generation will have a chance to continue. If I don't have time, the riverbed silts up. This is not pessimism. This is engineering planning with epochal constants included. THE WINDOW IS STILL OPEN The good news is that right now the window is still open. We are still in phase 1 (or at the junction with phase 2). Most of those reading these lines have a working receiver - otherwise they would not have made it to this chapter; it would have irritated them or seemed pointless. The fact that you are now reading and understanding is technical proof that the window is still open and your receiver is working. Not everyone is like that. Many people around you do not have such a receiver, and they will never read to this point. It doesn't make you "better" or "higher." It makes you responsible. You have a tool that others don't have.

And there is a choice - what to do with it. WHAT YOU CAN DO IN AN EPOCHAL CONTEXT It is clear that one person will not stop Kali Yuga. This is not necessary - it will go through its own cycle. One person does another: keeps their own receiver in working order and helps keep others working. Specifically: — Don't let the noise block your channel. Silence, meditation, reading texts, working with dreams, walking in the forest - all this is calibration of the receiver.

— Pass the setting on to others.

Family, children, friends - those who could potentially hear. Not forcefully, not intrusively. Simply available when they are ready.

— Support structures that work to hold the window.

MAAT is one such structure, but not the only one. Any community that practices, any school that imparts knowledge, any project that works on Maat - they all keep the window open.

— Don't waste resources on a meaningless fight with those who are already without a receiver.

It's not their fault. This is the era. This is the priestly work in Kali Yuga. Not heroic. Not loud. Calm, consistent, daily work to maintain the channel. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 41: WHAT AN ORDINARY PERSON GETS (\$100–500) For ten chapters in a row I wrote about architecture, priesthood, epochal context and road maps.

This is all important, but if you are not a Sem-priest or a founder, there is a logical question in your head: “Telim, what do I get with \$200 in my pocket?” Good question. Direct, without esotericism. I will answer just as directly. This chapter is not for those who came at the priestly call. This is for those who came because: a) they are tired of watching BlackRock vote for them; b) they want to park \$100–500 somewhere so it does not just sit there; c) they are curious how this thing works. Profit plus principle. Maat plus mathematics. IMMEDIATELY CONTEXT \$100–500 is not a “future millionaire’s investment portfolio.” This is the amount for which you: — You acquire access to the MAAT DAO.

— You get access to the project infrastructure (voting, education, coordination, community).

— You receive a proportional share of dividends from shares owned by the community treasury.

The community holds shares. Shares pay dividends. Dividends are distributed among participants in proportion to their share. Not once in a lifetime, but regularly while the portfolio is working. (The DAO votes on how much of the dividend to pay out to members and how much to reinvest in new shares. This decision is made by the community every year - not automatically and not solely by the founder.) This is not a “buy it and forget it” thing. It is “connect.” POINT 1: DIVIDENDS FROM SHARES Most mature public companies pay dividends. This is not a marketing fiction. It is documented cash flow that automatically goes to shareholders. Examples at the time of writing: — ExxonMobil: ~3.5% annual dividend yield.

— Verizon: ~6.5% per annum.

— Coca-Cola: ~3% per annum.

— Pfizer: ~6% per annum.

— Realty Income (REIT): ~5% per annum.

With \$200 invested in the MAAT token, you receive \$6–13 per year in dividends. Not wealth. But this is money that comes to you quarterly simply because you have a share. With DRS, dividends go directly to your Computershare account. No broker is between. No commissions for accrual. POINT 2: CAPITAL GROWTH Historically, the S&P 500 has averaged ~10% annual growth (including dividends, in dollars, over the period 1928–2023). This is an average over long periods.

Specific years may be +30% or -20%, but over a 15–20 year horizon the average converges to this level. \$500 invested in the S&P 500 (through DRS-available ETFs or directly through a diversified portfolio) turns into \$3,363 over 20 years at 10% per annum. It's not magic. This is a compound interest rate on stocks with a compound effect. Of course, past performance does not guarantee future performance. It could be less, it could be more.

But as a backdrop to a long-term horizon, it's better than holding \$500 in cash in the form of a depreciating currency. POINT 3: PROTECTION AGAINST INFLATION Currency inflation is the slow loss of purchasing power. The US dollar has lost about 96% of its purchasing power since 1913 (the year the Federal Reserve was founded). The ruble since 2000 is also not an outstanding example of preserving value. Shares of companies with real assets are not ideal, but they work. Companies that own physical goods (oil, metals, real estate, infrastructure) raise prices during inflation and continue to make money. Their shares follow this. With \$200 in a diversified stock portfolio, you are better protected from ruble inflation than the same \$200 lying on a ruble deposit. Not ideal. But better. POINT 4: REAL OWNERSHIP THROUGH MAAT TOKEN The MAAT token is not an entry in someone else's database.

This is a record in the blockchain that belongs to the person who has the private key. That is, for you - if you have the key.

— The company cannot go bankrupt and block you; the blockchain does not belong to one company and cannot be switched off unilaterally.

— Sanctions, freezes, decisions of regulators — they cannot remove tokens from your wallet without your key.

In contrast to shares held by a broker, which in 2022 simply became unavailable to Russian residents by decision of the broker.

— The token can be transferred, divided, and moved without a bank, without a notary, without permission from a third party.

With \$200 you don't get a "piece of paper with hope" or an entry in someone else's registry. You get a share in the community, fixed in mathematics, which no one can erase as long as you keep your key. POINT 5: RIGHT TO VOTE In MAAT DAO, one verified person equals one vote. No matter how many tokens you have. No matter how much money you have. This means one thing: your vote inside MAAT is equal to the vote of the billionaire who also holds the token. Not "almost equal". Not "share-adjusted." Exactly equal. This doesn't work anywhere in the current system. On the board of directors, whoever has more shares has more votes. In the

government, whoever has more money for lobbyists decides. In DAO MAAT, the math is different: one person, one vote. “One vote doesn’t solve anything” - alone, yes. Coordinating a million equal votes through a DAO is Engine No. 1 at scale (Chapter 32).

Twelve people with a share of 0.02% beat the board of directors of ExxonMobil. Because they coordinated. Not because they were rich. With the MAAT token, you are not just a “small participant”. You are an equal participant. These are different things. POINT 6: FINANCIAL LITERACY This may sound like “a bonus that does not count.” But this is one of the most important points. When you own shares directly, you start reading the companies’ financial statements. Understand the corporate governance structure. Distinguish between dividend stocks and growth stocks. See how the board of directors and management work. This is knowledge that most people receive only through paid MBA courses, and which is practically not taught in school in our country. With \$200, you enter a learning mode that, after five to ten years, gives you an understanding of the corporate world at the level of a professional investor.

This knowledge stays with you even if tomorrow you leave MAAT and go do something else. POINT 7: DIVERSIFICATION With \$200 in one currency/one country, you are as unprotected as possible. With \$200 in shares of several companies from different sectors, you are diversified. Even if one company goes bankrupt, the others will continue to operate. If one jurisdiction imposes restrictions, you have assets in others. This is a basic risk management strategy that is used by all professionals, and which becomes available to the average person thanks to the MAAT platform. POINT 8: FREEZE PROTECTION In a geopolitically unstable era, this is important. If tomorrow your bank/broker is frozen for political reasons, MAAT tokens will continue to exist. This is not “complete protection from all sanctions” - but it is one of the few available mechanisms for diversifying jurisdictional risks for the average person.

POINT 9: COMMUNITY Something that is not measured in dividends. When you join MAAT DAO, you join a circle of people who think about finance and property differently than most. Not “how to make a million,” but “how to structure the system so that it works for people.” These conversations, discussions, common projects, educational materials are an intangible but real asset. Many MAAT members end up receiving more from the community than from dividends. Professional connections, ideas, support, intellectual environment. POINT 10: LEGACY This is a point for those who think on the horizon of decades. MAAT tokens can be inherited. In the name of a child or relative. This is not a way to “give children a million.” This is a way to give them a working infrastructure: an

understanding of finance, access to coordination, a share of real assets. If they want, they will continue. If they don't want to, they'll sell it and get the money.

In any case, this is better than "leaving savings in a rubble deposit that will depreciate by the time they reach adulthood." PROFIT PLUS PRINCIPLE I want to emphasize an important thing: these ten points are not "ideological motivation." These are rational financial arguments. Each of them works, even if you don't share MAAT's priestly philosophy. If you come for dividends, you will receive dividends. If you came for financial literacy, you will get it. If you came simply because "I'm tired of watching BlackRock vote for me," you'll get the opportunity to vote yourself. And at the same time, as a bonus, you are participating in building a system that works not for financial clans, but for people. This is not an obligation. This is a side effect of a sound investment strategy. Maat does not require sacrifice. Maat requires precision.

If you do precise actions for your interests - and these actions simultaneously support the principle - this is the work of Maat. Without strain, without heroism, without sacrifice. In this sense, MAAT is a rare project in which self-interest and the common good are not contradictory, but coincident by design. This is the engineering solution that I wrote about in Chapter 23: not "persuading people to be good," but "building a system so that rational behavior based on interests automatically works for Maat." THE BACK SIDE: WHAT IT DOESN'T DO To be fair, I will also mention the opposite.

— This is not quick riches.

No "x100 per month." Dividends and capital growth work for years and decades.

— This is not passive income without participation.

Voting, reading materials, participating in the DAO, and building the future through voting and discussion takes time. At least a few hours a year.

— This is not insurance against all risks.

The market is falling, companies are going bankrupt, specific strategies are not working. Financial risks remain.

— This is not an alternative to a basic financial cushion.

First, saving for a rainy day, then investing. Not the other way around. This is a tool, not a panacea. Djed Medu.

CHAPTER 42: THE HOUSE OF MAAT'S TRANSFORMED (COMMUNITY AND LEGACY) I usually avoid the word "community" in crypto because it has

become empty.

“Joining our community” in the marketing of crypto projects means “buy tokens and join Discord.” I call what is around MAAT differently: the House. Specifically, the House of Maat's Transformed. This follows the Egyptian “Per”: a house that is at once a physical place, a ritual structure, and an organization of succession. Per-Ankh - House of Life. Per-Nefer - House of Purification. Per-Djed - House of Stability. The House of Maat's Transformed is the House of those who decided to live according to the principle of Maat in an era when the world around works according to Isfet. Not a “sect” or a “movement”. A structure with responsibilities, roles, and legacy. WHAT IT IS NOT To make it clear what the House of Maat's Transformed is not, I will list it directly. This is not a sect. Sects have: — One leader whose word is absolute.

— A closed structure from which it is impossible to exit without losses.

— Secret knowledge that is transmitted only to initiates.

— Prohibition of criticism.

— Financial dependence of participants on the leader.

— An exclusive truth, after which “everyone else is mistaken.”

In the House of Maat's Transformed: — BDFL in the starting phase, with specific restrictions on authority and term (Chapter 39). The goal is to become unnecessary. — The structure is completely open. If you bought a token, you are in the DAO. If you don't buy it, there are no sanctions. If you want to leave, you sell the token and leave. No “expulsion from the community with public ostracism.” — All knowledge is public. Books, materials, displays are in the public domain. No “deep levels of initiation” available only for money or loyalty. — Criticism is welcome. I regularly publish texts where I myself criticize the weak points of my project. Disagreement is the norm. — The participants do not depend on me financially. I'm not a “\$500 a month subscription course guru.” — There is no exclusive truth. Maat is an ancient Egyptian principle, but it does not contradict the working practices of other traditions. I respect Buddhists, Christians, Muslims, shamans - everyone who works with the principle without violating Maat. This is not a movement. Movements have: — A clear ideological platform that no one objects to. — A leader whose quotes turn into mantras. — Enemies against whom the movement unites. — Emotional dependence on the rhythm of events.

In the House of Maat's Transformed: — The ideological platform is open to revision. The books are written not as dogma, but as a current record of

understanding. In 10 years, half of what is written in them will most likely be revised. — I am not a leader in the sense of a “guru.” I am the coordinator for the start-up phase. Quotes can be used, but as material for discussion, not as a mantra. — There are no enemies in the sense of “human opponents.” There are Isfet configurations, which we call by name (BlackRock, clans, parasitic structures). But this is not “they are bad”, but “their function is incompatible with our mission.” — There is no emotional drive. This is not a movement that gives you the warm feeling of belonging to a big cause. This is a job in which you participate as much as you want and can. WHAT IS THE HOUSE OF MAAT'S TRANSFORMED The House of Maat's Transformed is a structure with multi-level participation, where different people enter with different goals and at different depths.

Level Title Egyptian term The essence 1 Awakened Shema Reader, token holder, votes 2 Voter Khem-Maat Regular and active DAO participant 3 Coordinator Imi-Ra Professional involvement, payment 4 Adept Iry-Aat On the path to initiation, Neteru has not yet been called 5 Summoned Shemsu-Neteru Called by Neteru; preparing for Guardianship, helping the Guardians 6 Guardian Iri-Aa-Maat College, initiated, accepted And here's what's important: no level is “higher” than another in human value. Levels are functional roles, not social statuses. A Guardian is not “better” than a regular member. They simply have more obligations and more responsibility to the principle. ROLES FROM THE TEMPLE ANALOGY In ancient Egypt, the temple was a complex organization with dozens of roles. Not all of them were “priests” in the modern sense.

— The High Priest (Khem-necher) is the coordinator of the temple, the conductor of the main rituals.

— Sem-priest is a specialist in funeral rituals and transitions.

— Wab-priest - engaged in purification and daily practice.

— Uer-jou-tj-pjr - “treasurer of the house of God,” responsible for temple property.

— Sesh-peren-Ankh - “scribe of the House of Life,” worked with texts.

— The singers of Amun performed the musical part of the rituals.

— Khem-ka - “servants of Ka,” performed funeral rituals.

— Managers of temple lands, workshops, warehouses.

These were all formal roles with specific responsibilities and obligations. Everyone worked in the temple. Everyone was part of it. But the functions differed. In the House of Maat's Transformed, I gradually see the formation of similar roles: —

DAO coordinator (analogous to Hem-necher) — someone from the board of Guardians responsible for operational management.

— Sem-priest (my current role) — work with transitions, initiation, connection with tradition.

— Scribe (anyone who works with books and materials).

— DAO Treasurer (elected through voting, responsible for the operational management of the endowment).

— Educational coordinators (Wab function in its modern form).

— Voting coordinators (analogous to temple affairs managers).

This is not dogma. This is an emergent structure that will evolve as the project grows. But the basic pattern, a cross-functional team with a division of roles, is taken from the temple model. LEGACY The word "legacy" is usually used for financial assets that you pass on to your children. In the House of Maat's Transformed, legacy means something different and more.

— First of all, this is knowledge.

Books, materials, process documentation. Everything we write now can be read in 50, 100, 500 years. Nesmeretakhem on Philae left one inscription for all time and eternity - and it reached us after 1,632 years. Our books in the Internet archive and in blockchain copies have a chance to live even longer.

— This is infrastructure.

Working DAO, established processes, legal structure, endowment. If we build everything correctly, in 100 years it will work without us. How the Grosvenor Estate has operated for 340 years.

— This is a community with tradition.

People who will pass on understanding to the next generation. Not in the form of a "mandatory ideology," but in the form of accessible practice. Whoever wants it will take it. Those who don't want to will pass by. But there will be an opportunity.

— This is the very fact that in an era when this was not done, someone tried.

Even if MAAT degrades after 100 years according to the law of service (Chapter 38), the fact of its existence will be known. And the next generation of priests, who will start anew, will have a precedent. They won't have to invent everything from scratch. This is heritage in the fullest sense. Not "money for children," but a

working model left for those who come after. HOW TO ENTER The easiest way is to read the books (this and the previous ones) and decide whether it resonates with your inner experience. If yes, the next step according to your level of readiness: — Buy MAAT tokens in the public domain.

— Connect to communication channels (website, Telegram).

— Participate in the first DAO vote when the platform opens for voting.

— Gradually increase involvement if it resonates.

No “entry fees,” no “initiations for initiates on closed weekends.” A gradual path on which you spend as much as you are ready. And - what's important - no pressure. If after a year it seems this is not for you, leave without regret. The House is open in both directions. This is the difference from a sect: the freedom to leave is the same as the freedom to enter. Djed Medu. EPILOGUE · THE PRINCIPLE NEVER DIES If you've read this far, thank you. I'm serious. Most books of this size are not even read halfway through. If you went through all of Part 2 with me, through all the architecture, priesthood, eras and roadmaps, you now have a deeper understanding of how the financial system works, and what can be done with it, than 99% of people on the planet. This is not easy knowledge. I understand. Therefore, the epilogue is short and direct. No new concepts. With three options for what you can do with this knowledge.

OPTION ONE: HEARD, BUT NOT READY You may have read all of this and are thinking, “I see. Sounds reasonable. But I’m not ready to buy tokens or join the DAO right now.” This is fine. This is already a lot. Here's what you can do without money and without active participation: — Do not sign Isfet contracts. Read the terms and conditions of any financial documents carefully. Understand that “free service” usually means that you are the product.

— Don’t put all your funds into one institution.

Minimal diversification is already a step.

— Do not buy ETFs for indices in which you proxy Big Three votes.

If you want an index, look for structures with “pass-through voting”, where you retain voting rights.

— Don’t teach your children that “you have to trust banks.”

Teach discernment.

— Tell your friends what you learned.

Not how you “promote a sect,” but how you share useful information. This is already work. Each person who has stopped automatically feeding the Isfet structure is a working receiver that keeps the window open (Chapter 41). **OPTION TWO: READY TO INVEST** If you have \$100–500 that you are ready to place with a long-term horizon, and you are really interested in participating, here are the steps:

- Open a brokerage account with IBKR (or a similar broker with DRS). This takes 1–3 business days.

- Buy 2–5 shares of companies you understand and that are included in the starting list of the MAAT strategy.

- Request a DRS transfer.

\$5 commission, 3–8 business days.

- Register in the MAAT platform (when it launches in phase 2).

Buy a small amount of MAAT tokens for membership.

- Participate in the first votes.

Even if you don’t fully understand the agenda, that’s normal, you’ll gradually figure it out. That’s enough. You don’t need to become a financial analyst. No need to spend hours a day on a project. One hour a month for voting, plus automatic receipt of dividends to your DRS account - this is already full participation. And most importantly: you are not “investing \$200 in crypto.” You are buying a working share that pays dividends and is in your name. MAAT is coordination on top of that, not a separate investment thesis. **OPTION THREE: CALLED** This is for the rare ones. For those who read this book, they felt not just agreement, but recognition. It’s as if the text responds to something that was already in you. For those who have begun to dream, or have realized that Neteru are calling them. If this is about you, write. MAAT website, contacts in books, open communication channels. Not “joining a sect.” Not “applying for priesthood.”

Just a conversation. The House is open. Guardians are looking for Guardians. In 10–20 years, the board should be fully staffed (7–12 people), and some of them may be reading this book now and not yet fully understand that I am addressing them. This does not mean “I come and immediately become a Guardian.” The preparation is long, the initiation is serious, and the responsibility is not for everyone. But the first step is to write. Let’s figure it out together later. **FINAL FORMULA** You finished reading. Good. The temple is open. The window is still open. There is time. The decision is yours. Djed Medu. Ankh Uja Seneb. **BOOK V - THE END** Telim - Sem Hem-Netjer en Anubis House of Maat’s Transformed 2026 **APPENDIX 1**

MAAT MANIFESTO HOUSE OF MAAT'S TRANSFORMED 2026 PREAMBLE

This document is written on behalf of the House of Maat's Transformed (Pr en Maat Iret) - a priestly house restoring the line of Maat to its original engineering meaning.

The House is not a corporation, government agency, religious organization, or political party. The House is an association of people who have taken on the obligation to compare the current structure of the world with the engineering drawing of Maat and to do practical work wherever that drawing is violated. The manifesto is neither a prospectus, nor investment advice, nor a prediction of the future. The manifesto is a declaration: what the House sees, what the House builds, and on what terms the House invites others to do this work. The document is deliberately written in the third person. The House explains its position not on behalf of one person, but on behalf of the function that this House performs. The signatures on the document are at the end.

I.

About The Principle Of Maat 1.1.

Maat is not only a moral category or a spiritual concept. Maat is the truth, measure, justice and consistency of the world. Maat is the cosmic order, the engineering specification of a stable reality. Configurations that agree with it exist for a long time. Configurations that contradict it fall apart - regardless of the efforts of the parties holding them. 1.2. The enemy of Maat is Isfet. Isfet is chaos, lies, violence, injustice, arbitrariness, and everything that breaks this order. Isfet is a set of configurations that do not have internal stability and which maintain their existence by extracting resources from stable structures around them. Parasitism. 1.3.

For thousands of years, the principle of Maat was implemented through the system of Neteru - the operators of reality: Ra, Thoth, Anubis, Osiris, Isis, and others - and through the priesthood as living infrastructure for maintaining channels between these operators and the human world. 1.4. Every Neteru has a Shadow Neteru—an inversion and distortion of function. A configuration in which the function works in the opposite direction: instead of renewal there is stagnation, instead of knowledge there is propaganda, instead of exchange there is parasitism. 1.5. While the priesthood maintained the channels, the Shadow Neteru were kept in a subordinate role. After the destruction of the priesthood (three waves: the reform of Akhenaten, the military Ramessides, the closure of the temples by Justinian in 537), the Shadow Neteru received freedom of action and retain it until the present day.

Ii.

Diagnosis Of Current Condition (2026) 2.1.

The total global debt according to the Institute of International Finance for 2024 exceeds \$315 trillion. Each debt contract is a configuration of a one-way transfer of vital energy from the debtor to the creditor during the term of the contract. In Maat terminology, this is binding (sat) in its parasitic form. 2.2. The concentration of global capital management has reached an all-time high. The three funds—BlackRock, Vanguard, State Street—manage more than \$25 trillion in assets and control 20 to 25 percent of the voting power in each S&P 500 company. Moreover, these funds are not the owners of the shares - the shares are placed at their disposal through ETFs by millions of investors who have lost their voting rights. This configuration represents Shadow Ra in its purest form: endless copying of captured positions without updating and without feedback. 2.3.

First-generation cryptocurrency systems, which claimed to protect against capital concentration, turned out to be compromised. Bitcoin: By 2026, BlackRock, through its ETF, controls between three and five percent of all Bitcoin in existence. Ethereum: Through the Infura and ConsenSys infrastructure, eighty percent of the network's nodes operate through entities affiliated with JPMorgan, the bank that Ethereum was originally supposed to protect against. 2.4. These facts are not the subject of conspiracy theories. They are publicly documented in the annual reports of the relevant structures, in SEC materials, and in blockchain analytics data. They are rarely collected together. The House collects them. 2.5. The assembly leads to one observation that the House states bluntly: Bitcoin and Ethereum are temples without Guardians. Their architecture lacks an anti-capture antibody.

By declaring themselves “open to all,” they opened up access to financial clans on an equal basis with others.

Iii.

Time Frame 3.1.

According to Indian cosmological tradition, the current era is designated as Kali Yuga - a phase in which the principles of Maat have become thinner, and the Shadow Neteru operate almost unhindered. The duration of Kali Yuga is 432,000 years, of which, according to most calculations, about 5,100 have passed. 3.2. Within Kali Yuga there is a short window designated as the Golden Age of Kali Yuga - a period during which spiritual practices still produce results, the channels to Neteru are still open, and restoration of the principle is possible. The duration of this window is about 10,000 years, and part of it has already expired. 3.3. After the

closing of the Golden Age, a phase of total darkness will begin, during which the possibility of conscious work with the principles of Maat will be minimal. The duration of this phase is about 420,000 years. 3.4.

The House does not consider the current emergence of the global Internet, open blockchain systems, artificial intelligence, and the ability of one person to build structures that previously required a state to be accidental. These are tools that are opened at the very last moment before closing the window.

Iv.

About The Maat Project 4.1.

MAAT is the first attempt to build a structure based on the principles of Maat in the financial plane of the modern world. 4.2. MAAT is not: — a speculative instrument in any form. 4.3. MAAT is an antibody built into the source code from the first line. 4.4. MAAT declares its purpose: a parallel infrastructure to protect the voice of humanity from the concentration and manipulation of people's votes in the hands of financial clans and Isfet. 4.5. Ancient Egyptian principle of Ren: what is named loses hidden power. The nameless is omnipotent. The above is manageable. The House names the predator on every page, in every smart contract, in every community post. 4.6. On the reproducibility of architecture. The technical part of MAAT can be copied, but the uniqueness of MAAT is not in the code. The uniqueness is in the lineage: the priesthood of Maat, initiated by Neteru, creating a pure environment in which the platform can work.

4.7 and 4.8. The main antidote of the project: it cannot be fabricated. You can only pass.

V.

SECURITY ARCHITECTURE The House builds MAAT on three interconnected layers of protection, none of which work alone. 5.1. LAYER ONE – MATHEMATICS. Composition: Ethereum blockchain, verified ERC-20 smart contract, liquidity on Uniswap V2, in the future - Direct Registration System (DRS). DRS is a legal mechanism in which shares are registered in the name of the final owner with a transfer agent (Computershare and analogues), bypassing brokers and central depositories. After registration, the shares belong to the owner in an unconditional sense: they cannot be borrowed, cannot be frozen, and cannot be voted without the consent of the owner. DRS exists because large funds themselves use it. Closing it is impossible, as this will destroy the system itself.

5.2. LAYER TWO—DEMOCRACY. Composition: DAO (Decentralized Autonomous Organization) is a union of shareholders, not a fund. Precedent: In 2021, hedge fund Engine No. 1, with twelve employees and a 0.02 percent stake in ExxonMobil, won three of the twelve seats on the \$250 billion oil corporation's board of directors. Achieved not by the amount of capital, but by coordination. MAAT scales this approach to millions of participants. One small shareholder is powerless. A million coordinated small shareholders are stronger than any fund. DAO technology enables coordination without transfer of ownership. Rule: one verified person - one vote in the DAO. There can be as many wallets as you like, as many tokens as you like, but only one vote. Verification of the uniqueness of the participant is carried out through Proof of Personhood mechanisms without transferring personal data to the House. This is a solution to the principle of Maat, not the principle of capital.

5.3.

Layer Three - Priestry.

Composition: Guardians - up to twelve persons (according to the number of Neteru in the tradition of the House). Ra, Maat, Osiris, Isis, Nephthys, Anubis, Hathor, Geb, Nut, Sekhmet, Ptah, Thoth. Guardians serve for the benefit of Neteru and Humans. Guardians do not manage House funds alone. The Guardians build and maintain the Maat structure in the House. The Guardians protect the principle: they compare the structure and actions of the House with the engineering drawing of Maat and, with the permission of Neteru, can intervene if the principles of Maat are violated. The Guardian position is: — not inherited. Kinship does not give the right to be a priest. Priestly initiation comes from Neteru.

— not bought.

No amount of money gives the right to be a priest.

— transparent.

All decisions of the Guardians are public. Priesthood is something that neither Bitcoin nor Ethereum had. They are protected only by mathematics. Mathematics is hacked through social engineering, accumulation through ETFs, and infrastructure takeover. Priesthood is an antibody that cannot be cracked by the code. JPMorgan can hire the best hackers on the planet. But it will not be able to obtain initiation from Anubis for its executive director. This is a structural limitation.

About The Number 42 6.1.

The total supply of the MAAT token is 42,000,000 units. Issuance is complete. There will never be new tokens. 6.2. The number 42 is not arbitrary. In the Hall of Two Truths, the heart of the deceased was weighed before the forty-two Confessions - negative statements about the observance of the forty-two principles of Maat. Each million MAAT is the symbolic equivalent of one Confession. 6.3. This is not a marketing decision or a numbers game. This is a seal of origin and confirmation of the line.

Vii.

About The House Of Maat'S Transformed 7.1.

The House is a priestly house in the Egyptian sense of the word Per (Pr). Not a startup team. Not an NGO. Not a corporation. Not a “group of like-minded people.” A priestly house, existing for a specific function and subject to a principle, not an owner. 7.2. House Structure: — Sem Hem-Netjer en Anubis. Sem-priest of Anubis. Called, not appointed. At the time of compiling this manifesto, this function is performed by Telim (pseudonym; biography is public, books were published under this name). The function is described in paragraph 7.3.

— Guardians (up to twelve persons).

— Dao Maat.

All participants holding the MAAT token. Every vote is equal to every other vote. 7.3. About the function of the Sem-priest. Sem Hem-Netjer - a special role on the border of the House: confirmation of initiation and verification of the Guardians. The Sem-priest does not appoint priests and does not replace Neteru. The priest verifies a real channel with Neteru. The Sem-priest confirms: the channel exists, initiation has passed, the person acts in the range of Maat - and not in Isfet, not in “neighboring” traditions, not in a fake line. During the creation of the House, Sem-priest Telim is the only official verifier of the House line. As the Guardians are summoned, the Sem-priest passes on to them the methods of checking initiation. The authority of verification is not based on corporate position, but on the lineage of Maat and on the verified biography of the bearer of the function (para. 7.2). 7.4.

The House is built with the expectation that the role of the Sem-priest will eventually shrink to symbolic size. The principle that a living person carries through himself must learn to work without this person. The model is close to BDFL (Benevolent Dictator For Life) in a Linux system: guidance until the structure learns

to work itself. The goal is to transfer knowledge. 7.5. The House does not follow the trajectory of the Isfet operators who seized the priesthood and inscribed their names on every temple stone. The House is building the reverse trajectory: a system in which the principle will outlive the founders. The main thing for the House is to preserve Maat in the House, and not to remember the names of the founders.

Viii.

Obligations And Limits Of Liability 8.1.

The House undertakes: — Transparency. All financial flows are public on the blockchain and can be verified by anyone.

— Open naming.

No hidden interests. No closed sales at preferential prices for “our own”. All conditions are public.

— Dedication to the mission.

The Sem-priest and the Guardians will not give up on the project in exchange for personal gain.

— Communication with the source.

While the living carrier of the line is in this body, that carrier works for this project.

— Transfer.

As the DAO and Guardians mature, the role of the founder is transferred. 8.2. The House does not promise: — Guaranteed profit to token holders. The price of a token can rise, fall, or go to zero. This is a risky investment.

— Speed.

The project is designed for years, not weeks.

— Return on investment at any time.

Crypto liquidity is variable.

— Ease.

Working against Isfet operators is not easy by definition.

Ix.

About The Time Cycle 9.1.

In Ancient Egypt, grains were placed in tombs along with the deceased. Not for food. For germination: when the water comes - in a hundred years or a thousand. 9.2. MAAT in its current phase is a grain. Each person who buys a token and holds it adds a drop of water. If enough drops collect, a large tree will sprout. If they do not collect, the grain remains grain and waits for the next cycle. 9.3. Buying a MAAT token is not buying a finished product. This is participation in the sowing phase. 9.4. The difference is critical: — Those who came to Bitcoin before 2013 built it.

— Those who came after 2017 bought a share in what was already built.

— The first group made the world.

The second made money. MAAT is currently in the first group phase.

X.

Address To The Reader 10.1.

The House does not encourage blind participation. The House calls for reading.

10.2. Read books. They are available on the Ridero platform and from partners. The books are also available for reading online on the website:

<https://maat.occultism.ru/#books> 10.3. Subscribe to the House's Telegram channels

(@maat_crypto and @telim_maat). There are daily publications, reviews, and the

current status of the project. Subscription is free. 10.4. Read this manifesto again in a few days. The manifesto is composed so that on repeated reading it reveals layers

that were not visible the first time. 10.5. If after this you want to become a co-author of the sowing phase, purchase the MAAT token. The contract address is given in the

signature part of this document. 10.6. If you feel called to be a Guardian, read five books and write to the House. Contact details are below. The House is looking for

Guardians. This is not a position on a resume.

This is a ministry that requires personal experience. 10.7. If you are not ready to participate financially, that is a legitimate position, and the House accepts it. Keep reading and observing. Time is an ally.

Xi.

Final Provision 11.1.

The House of Maat's Transformed does not claim to be the only correct reading of reality. The House claims only one thing: that it is restoring a working engineering blueprint for Maat, and that anyone who inspects the blueprint directly will see that

it works. SIGNATURES House of Maat's Transformed (Pr en Maat Iret) Sem Hem-Netjer en Anubis: Telim (Moscow - St. Petersburg) Guardians: in the calling stage DAO: being formed. All holders of the MAAT token upon ownership become participants in the DAO. IDENTIFICATION Network: Ethereum mainnet. Standard: ERC-20. Contract address: 0x1ae560e95d0c8ec6B338DeAD8f44A3BAeE48d4e9. Total supply: 42,000,000 MAAT (fixed, non-expanding). Website: maatx.io. Project Telegram channel: @maat_crypto. Sem-priest Telegram channel: @telim_maat. Email: info@maatx.io. May 2026 · Djed Medu. Ankh Uja Seneb. CONTACT THE AUTHOR

Dear Readers! If after reading the book you still have questions, you want to leave a review or share your comments, get advice or talk about your experience, you can contact the author personally. Telegram channel @telim_maat t.me/telim_maat Email telim@occultism.ru Website maat.occultism.ru Announcements of the next books in the “House of Maat's Transformed” series are on the author’s Telegram channel and on the website.